

Virginia Department of Health
Office of Licensure and Certification

Revised: Jan. 2008

The Informal Dispute Resolution Process

Introduction

It is expected that facilities will assure compliance with all applicable laws and regulations through their quality assurance and improvement programs. State and federal regulations require that facilities make available to surveyors all reports and information necessary to establish compliance with regulation and applicable law at the time of an on site inspection. However, when deficiencies are cited, federal regulation¹ provides an opportunity for a federally certified facility to respond to survey findings and deficiency citations they believe were made in error.

The purpose of this informal process is to give the provider one opportunity to demonstrate that a deficiency was cited in error or there has been a misjudgment of true facts. It is not an opportunity for a provider to offer materials that should have been provided to surveyors during an on site survey. The objective of the IDR process is to avoid the imposition of unnecessary sanctions and to diminish the need for more formal administrative hearings with the remedy-enforcing agency, i.e., the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and/or the Virginia Department of Medical Assistance Services.

The IDR process can be used only to challenge the validity of one or more deficiencies cited as a result of a federal certification inspection. It cannot be used to impede or delay the timely submission of the facility's Plan of Correction (PoC), the enforcement "clock", the formal imposition of remedies, or to argue the citation of a state licensure deficiency.² Providers may not seek a delay of any federal enforcement action on the grounds that an IDR has not been completed.

The IDR process is *not* a formal administrative or evidentiary hearing. Therefore, it is not necessary to obtain counsel or other qualified representation as doing so will have no bearing on the nature of the proceeding or its outcome.

¹ §488.331 of title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulation.

² Providers seeking relief for a state licensure deficiency should refer to 12 VAC 5-371-90 "Administrative Sanctions" of the Virginia Administrative Code.

Virginia Department of Health
Office of Licensure and Certification

Revised: Jan. 2008

The recommendations resulting from an IDR are not binding on CMS or the state survey agency.

General Rules

A. Following *receipt* of the survey report (i.e., the 2567), the provider may contact the assigned LTC supervisor to attempt to resolve any problems.

Note: Providers are advised to wait until receipt of the 2567 *before* calling the assigned LTC supervisor. Action on the deficiency report cannot be considered until *after* the report has been received by the facility.

B. If a provider cannot resolve the problem with the supervisor, the provider may request an IDR.

Providers have 10 days from receipt of the survey report to file a complete and accurate IDR request. No extensions will be granted to this requirement.

Note: Providers are required to submit a completed, acceptable PoC within 10 days of receipt of the 2567. Failure to do so may result in termination of the provider's federal certification agreement.

C. An IDR cannot be used if a provider:

1. Agrees that a deficiency existed, has corrected it, and wants the deficiency erased from the record; or
2. Agrees that a deficiency exists but disagrees with the requirement.

D. In addition, providers may not use the IDR process to impede or delay any federal certification enforcement proceedings, or to challenge any other aspect of the survey process, including:

1. Scope and severity assessments of deficiencies with the exception of scope and severity assessments that constitute substandard quality of care or immediate jeopardy;
2. Remedy or remedies imposed by the enforcing agency;
3. Alleged failure of the survey team to comply with a requirement of the survey process;
4. Alleged inconsistency of the survey team in citing deficiencies among facilities; or
5. Alleged inadequacy or inaccuracy of the IDR process.

Virginia Department of Health

Office of Licensure and Certification

Revised: Jan. 2008

E. The provider has only one opportunity per survey report to resolve disputed deficiencies. An IDR may be conducted in one of three ways: i) desk audit, ii) telephone conference call with the IDR presiding officer and OLC staff, or iii) face-to-face meeting with the IDR presiding officer and OLC Staff.

The provider and OLC are each allowed one cancellation of a scheduled IDR telephone call or face-to-face meeting.

F. Facility staff, or facility consultants, may be in attendance during a conference call or face-to-face meeting to provide support and corroborate the provider's case.

G. Only the deficiencies detailed in the survey report and information clearly related to those deficiencies may be addressed during the IDR. Factual data, arguments, or proof only as it relates to the findings and conclusions that the provider is disputing may be submitted.

H. There will be no opportunity for cross-examination. The rules of evidence *do not* apply. Only VDH's Adjudication Officer, as the presiding official, may ask questions.

I. If the Adjudication Officer agrees with OLC staff, the deficiency stands as cited and the facility is expected to comply with its stated plan to correct the situation leading to the cited deficiency.

J. If the Adjudication Officer agrees with the provider, the scope and severity assessment may be adjusted as determined by OLC and the enforcement actions imposed solely because of the deficiency citations may be rescinded as determined by CMS. The deficiency may be marked "deleted" on the 2567 and signed by the appropriated LTC supervisor.

K. A provider may request a clean (new) copy of the survey report in order to remove the deleted deficiency from the public report. However, the original, marked report remains on file and is publicly disclosable until the provider's revised plan of correction in response to the "cleaned" survey report is received.

Note: A PoC for all certification and licensure deficiencies cited on the Statement of Deficiencies and Plan of Correction report (the 2567), as previously approved by the OLC, must be submitted to the appropriate long term care supervisor within five calendar days of receipt of

Virginia Department of Health

Office of Licensure and Certification

Revised: Jan. 2008

the revised survey report. To be considered acceptable, a facility’s PoC must contain any subsequent revisions requested by the staff of the OLC.

L. Regardless of whether the provider has already used the one opportunity for IDR, the following table indicates when another opportunity for IDR is appropriate, if requested by the provider, based on the results of a revisit or of an IDR:

Results of Revisit or of IDR	Eligibility for another IDR
Continuation of same deficiency at revisit	Yes
New deficiency (i.e., new or changed facts, new tag) at revisit or as a result of IDR	Yes
New example of deficiency (i.e., new facts, same tag) at revisit or as a result of IDR	Yes
Different tag but same facts at revisit or as a result of IDR	No, unless the new tag constitutes SQC

NOTE: A second IDR is not offered on the existence of the deficiency or deficiencies as of the date of the first survey.

Rights of the Provider

The provider has the right to:

1. Request an IDR for a disputed deficiency;
2. Receive notification of any contrary fact basis or information in the possession of OLC used in making an adverse action decision; and
3. Be informed, briefly and in writing, of the results of the resolution decision.

Procedure for requesting an IDR

1. IDR requests must be in writing and received by OLC within 10 calendar days of the provider’s receipt of the survey report. The request must be sent to:

Director - LTC Services
 VDH/Office of Licensure and Certification
 9960 Mayland Drive, Ste. 401
 Richmond, VA 23233
 Or Faxed to: 804.527.4502

Virginia Department of Health

Office of Licensure and Certification

Revised: Jan. 2008

Recording disagreement with a deficiency on the survey report *is not* a substitute for a written IDR request.

Note: The enforcement clock remains in effect for all deficiencies listed in the survey report.

2. The written request shall include:
 - a. The specific deficiency or deficiencies in dispute;
 - b. The reason or reasons the deficiency or the related survey finding is disputed;
 - c. The desired method to resolve the issue: i) desk audit, ii) telephone, or iii) face-to-face meeting; and
 - d. Whether counsel will represent the provider so that OLC may also arrange for counsel.

3. The provider will be notified in writing of IDR requests that are incomplete, incorrect or invalid. Failure to correct and return a complete and accurate IDR request within 5 days may result in denial of the request.

The filing date of an incorrect or incomplete IDR is based on its *return* date to OLC, not on its initial date of receipt as incomplete.

4. Each party to the IDR is allowed one opportunity to submit information pertinent to the disputed deficiencies.

Note: This is not an opportunity for providers to submit material that should have been provided to surveyors during an on site survey.

All written information the provider wishes considered during an IDR should be received by OLC within 10 calendar days of filing the request for an IDR.

5. Written information from the survey team will be forwarded to the Adjudication Officer and the provider within 10 working days of written information received from the provider.

6. Failure to timely complete the IDR does not delay the effective date of any enforcement action against the facility.

Components of the decision letter

Virginia Department of Health

Office of Licensure and Certification

Revised: Jan. 2008

Upon reaching a decision about the disputed deficiency or deficiencies, the VDH Adjudication Officer will prepare a written report that includes the:

- a. Authority - the statutory authority or legal basis for the IDR meeting.
- b. Introduction - a summary referencing survey dates and receipt date of the IDR request.
- c. Findings of fact - the relevant facts and comments considered in the decision making process.
- d. Recommendations - the results of the IDR, supporting rationale, and the regulation upon which the recommendation is based. To assure completeness, each deficiency will be addressed separately in this section.