



VIRGINIA EPIDEMIOLOGY BULLETIN

C.M.G. Buttery, M.D., M.P.H., Commissioner
Grayson B. Miller, Jr., M.D., Epidemiologist

Editor: Carl W. Armstrong, M.D.

July, 1988

Volume 88, Number 7

Update: Universal Precautions for Prevention of Transmission of HIV, HBV, and Other Bloodborne Pathogens in Health-Care Settings

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to clarify and supplement the CDC publication entitled "Recommendations for Prevention of HIV Transmission in Health-Care Settings" (1).*

In 1983, CDC published a document entitled "Guideline for Isolation Precautions in Hospitals" (2) that contained a section entitled "Blood and Body Fluid Precautions." The recommendations in this section called for blood and body fluid precautions when a patient was known or suspected to be infected with bloodborne pathogens. In August 1987, CDC published a document entitled "Recommendations for Prevention of HIV Transmission in Health-Care Settings" (1). In con-

trast to the 1983 document, the 1987 document recommended that blood and body fluid precautions be consistently used for all patients regardless of their bloodborne infection status. This extension of blood and body fluid precautions to all patients is referred to as "Universal Blood and Body Fluid Precautions" or "Universal Precautions." Under universal precautions, blood and certain body fluids of all patients are

considered potentially infectious for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B virus (HBV), and other bloodborne pathogens.

Universal precautions are intended to prevent parenteral, mucous membrane, and nonintact skin exposures of health-care workers to bloodborne pathogens. In addition, immunization with HBV vaccine is recommended as an important ad-

Continued to page 2

*The August 1987 publication should be consulted for general information and specific recommendations not addressed in this update.

Copies of this report and of the MMWR supplement entitled *Recommendations for Prevention of HIV Transmission in Health-Care Settings* published in August 1987 are available through the National AIDS Information Clearinghouse, P.O. Box 6003, Rockville, MD 20850.



Continued from page 1

unct to universal precautions for health-care workers who have exposures to blood (3,4).

Since the recommendations for universal precautions were published in August 1987, CDC and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have received requests for clarification of the following issues: 1) body fluids to which universal precautions apply, 2) use of protective barriers, 3) use of gloves for phlebotomy, 4) selection of gloves for use while observing universal precautions, and 5) need for making changes in waste management programs as a result of adopting universal precautions.

Body Fluids to Which Universal Precautions Apply

Universal precautions apply to blood and to other body fluids containing visible blood. Occupational transmission of HIV and HBV to health-care workers by blood is documented (4,5). **Blood is the single most important source of HIV, HBV, and other bloodborne pathogens in the occupational setting. Infection control efforts for HIV, HBV, and other bloodborne pathogens must focus on preventing exposures to blood as well as on delivery of HBV immunization.**

Universal precautions also apply to semen and vaginal secretions. Although both of these fluids have been implicated in the sexual transmission of HIV and HBV, they have not been implicated in occupational transmission from patient to health-care worker. This observation is not unexpected, since exposure to semen in the usual health-care setting is limited, and the routine practice of wearing gloves for performing vaginal examinations protects health-care workers from exposure to potentially infectious vaginal secretions.

Universal precautions also apply to tissues and to the following fluids: cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), synovial fluid, pleural fluid, peritoneal fluid, pericardial fluid, and amniotic fluid. The risk of transmission of HIV and HBV from these fluids is unknown; epidemiologic studies in the health-care and community setting are currently inadequate to assess the potential risk to health-care workers from occupational exposures to them. However, HIV has been iso-

lated from CSF, synovial, and amniotic fluid (6-8), and HBsAg has been detected in synovial fluid, amniotic fluid, and peritoneal fluid (9-11). One case of HIV transmission was reported after a percutaneous exposure to bloody pleural fluid obtained by needle aspiration (12). Whereas aseptic procedures used to obtain these fluids for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes protect health-care workers from skin exposures, they cannot prevent penetrating injuries due to contaminated needles or other sharp instruments.

Body Fluids to Which Universal Precautions Do Not Apply

Universal precautions do not apply to feces, nasal secretions, sputum, sweat, tears, urine, and vomitus unless they contain visible blood. The risk of transmission of HIV and HBV from these fluids and materials is extremely low or nonexistent. HIV has been isolated and HBsAg has been demonstrated in some of these fluids; however, epidemiologic studies in the health-care and community setting have not implicated these fluids or materials in the transmission of HIV and HBV infections (13,14). Some of the above fluids and excretions represent a potential source for nosocomial and community-acquired infections with other pathogens, and recommendations for preventing the transmission of nonbloodborne pathogens have been published (2).

Precautions for Other Body Fluids in Special Settings

Human breast milk has been implicated in perinatal transmission of HIV and HBsAg has been found in the milk of mothers infected with HBV (10,13). However, occupational exposure to human breast milk has not been implicated in the transmission of HIV nor HBV infection to health-care workers. Moreover, the health-care worker will not have the same type of intensive exposure to breast milk as the nursing neonate. Whereas universal precautions do not apply to human breast milk, gloves may be worn by health-care workers in situations where exposures to breast milk might be frequent, for example, in breast milk banking.

Saliva of some persons infected with HBV has been shown to contain HBV-DNA at concentrations 1/1,000 to 1/10,000 of that found in the

infected person's serum (15). HBsAg-positive saliva has been shown to be infectious when injected into experimental animals and in human bite exposures (16-18). However, HBsAg-positive saliva has not been shown to be infectious when applied to oral mucous membranes in experimental primate studies (18) or through contamination of musical instruments or cardiopulmonary resuscitation dummies used by HBV carriers (19,20). Epidemiologic studies of nonsexual household contacts of HIV-infected patients, including several small series in which HIV transmission failed to occur after bites or after percutaneous inoculation or contamination of cuts and open wounds with saliva from HIV-infected patients, suggest that the potential for salivary transmission of HIV is remote (5,13,14,21,22). One case report from Germany has suggested the possibility of transmission of HIV in a household setting from an infected child to a sibling through a human bite (23). The bite did not break the skin or result in bleeding. Since the date of seroconversion to HIV was not known for either child in this case, evidence for the role of saliva in the transmission of virus is unclear (23). Another case report suggested the possibility of transmission of HIV from husband to wife by contact with saliva during kissing (24). However, follow-up studies did not confirm HIV infection in the wife (21).

Universal precautions do not apply to saliva. General infection control practices already in existence—including the use of gloves for digital examination of mucous membranes and endotracheal suctioning, and handwashing after exposure to saliva—should further minimize the minute risk, if any, for salivary transmission of HIV and HBV (1,25). Gloves need not be worn when feeding patients and when wiping saliva from skin.

Special precautions, however, are recommended for dentistry (1). Occupationally acquired infection with HBV in dental workers has been documented (4), and two possible cases of occupationally acquired HIV infection involving dentists have been reported (5,26). During dental procedures, contamination of saliva with blood is predictable, trauma to health-care workers' hands is common, and blood spatter-

ing may occur. Infection control precautions for dentistry minimize the potential for nonintact skin and mucous membrane contact of dental health-care workers to blood-contaminated saliva of patients. In addition, the use of gloves for oral examinations and treatment in the dental setting may also protect the patient's oral mucous membranes from exposure to blood, which may occur from breaks in the skin of dental workers' hands.

Use of Protective Barriers

Protective barriers reduce the risk of exposure of the health-care worker's skin or mucous membranes to potentially infective materials. For universal precautions, protective barriers reduce the risk of exposure to blood, body fluids containing visible blood, and other fluids to which universal precautions apply. Examples of protective barriers include gloves, gowns, masks, and protective eyewear. Gloves should reduce the incidence of contamination of hands, but they cannot prevent penetrating injuries due to needles or other sharp instruments. Masks and protective eyewear or face shields should reduce the incidence of contamination of mucous membranes of the mouth, nose, and eyes.

Universal precautions are intended to supplement rather than replace recommendations for routine infection control, such as handwashing and using gloves to prevent gross microbial contamination of hands (27). Because specifying the types of barriers needed for every possible clinical situation is impractical, some judgment must be exercised.

The risk of nosocomial transmission of HIV, HBV, and other bloodborne pathogens can be minimized if health-care workers use the following general guidelines:†

1. Take care to prevent injuries when using needles, scalpels, and other sharp instruments or devices; when handling sharp instruments after procedures; when cleaning used instruments; and when disposing of used needles. Do not recap used needles by hand; do not remove used needles from disposable syringes by hand; and do not bend, break, or

otherwise manipulate used needles by hand. Place used disposable syringes and needles, scalpel blades, and other sharp items in puncture-resistant containers for disposal. Locate the puncture-resistant containers as close to the use area as is practical.

2. Use protective barriers to prevent exposure to blood, body fluids containing visible blood, and other fluids to which universal precautions apply. The type of protective barrier(s) should be appropriate for the procedure being performed and the type of exposure anticipated.
3. Immediately and thoroughly wash hands and other skin surfaces that are contaminated with blood, body fluids containing visible blood, or other body fluids to which universal precautions apply.

Glove Use for Phlebotomy

Gloves should reduce the incidence of blood contamination of hands during phlebotomy (drawing blood samples), but they cannot prevent penetrating injuries caused by needles or other sharp instruments. The likelihood of hand contamination with blood containing HIV, HBV, or other bloodborne pathogens during phlebotomy depends on several factors: 1) the skill and technique of the health-care worker, 2) the frequency with which the health-care worker performs the procedure (other factors being equal, the cumulative risk of blood exposure is higher for a health-care worker who performs more procedures), 3) whether the procedure occurs in a routine or emergency situation (where blood contact may be more likely), and 4) the prevalence of infection with bloodborne pathogens in the patient population. The likelihood of infection after skin exposure to blood containing HIV or HBV will depend on the concentration of virus (viral concentration is much higher for hepatitis B than for HIV), the duration of contact, the presence of skin lesions on the hands of the health-care worker, and —for HBV—the immune status of the health-care worker. Although not accurately quantified, the risk of HIV infection following intact skin contact with infective blood is certainly much less than the 0.5% risk following percutaneous needlestick exposures (5). In universal precautions,

all blood is assumed to be potentially infective for bloodborne pathogens, but in certain settings (e.g., volunteer blood-donation centers) the prevalence of infection with some bloodborne pathogens (e.g., HIV, HBV) is known to be very low. Some institutions have relaxed recommendations for using gloves for phlebotomy procedures by skilled phlebotomists in settings where the prevalence of bloodborne pathogens is known to be very low.

Institutions that judge that routine gloving for all phlebotomies is not necessary should periodically reevaluate their policy. Gloves should always be available to health-care workers who wish to use them for phlebotomy. In addition, the following general guidelines apply:

1. Use gloves for performing phlebotomy when the health-care worker has cuts, scratches, or other breaks in his/her skin.
2. Use gloves in situations where the health-care worker judges that hand contamination with blood may occur, for example, when performing phlebotomy on an uncooperative patient.
3. Use gloves for performing finger and/or heel sticks on infants and children.
4. Use gloves when persons are receiving training in phlebotomy.

Selection of Gloves

The Center for Devices and Radiological Health, FDA, has responsibility for regulating the medical glove industry. Medical gloves include those marketed as sterile surgical or nonsterile examination gloves made of vinyl or latex. General purpose utility ("rubber") gloves are also used in the health-care setting, but they are not regulated by FDA since they are not promoted for medical use. There are no reported differences in barrier effectiveness between intact latex and intact vinyl used to manufacture gloves. Thus, the type of gloves selected should be appropriate for the task being performed.

The following general guidelines are recommended:

1. Use sterile gloves for procedures involving contact with normally sterile areas of the body.
2. Use examination gloves for procedures involving contact with mucous membranes, unless otherwise indicated, and for other

Continued to page 4

†The August 1987 publication should be consulted for general information and specific recommendations not addressed in this update.

Continued from page 3

patient care or diagnostic procedures that do not require the use of sterile gloves.

3. Change gloves between patient contacts.
4. Do not wash or disinfect surgical or examination gloves for reuse. Washing with surfactants may cause "wicking," i.e., the enhanced penetration of liquids through undetected holes in the glove. Disinfecting agents may cause deterioration.
5. Use general-purpose utility gloves (e.g., rubber household gloves) for housekeeping chores involving potential blood contact and for instrument cleaning and decontamination procedures. Utility gloves may be decontaminated and reused but should be discarded if they are peeling, cracked, or discolored, or if they have punctures, tears, or other evidence of deterioration.

Waste Management

Universal precautions are not intended to change waste management programs previously recommended by CDC for health-care settings (1). Policies for defining, collecting, storing, decontaminating, and disposing of infective waste are generally determined by institutions in accordance with state and local regulations. Information regarding waste management regulations in health-care settings may be obtained from state or local health departments or agencies responsible for waste management.

Reported by: Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, Hospital Infections Program, AIDS Program, and Hepatitis Br, Div of Viral Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, CDC.

Editorial Note: Implementation of universal precautions does not eliminate the need for other category- or disease-specific isolation precautions, such as enteric precautions for infectious diarrhea or isolation for pulmonary tuberculosis (1,2). In addition to universal precautions, detailed precautions have been developed for the following procedures and/or settings in which prolonged or intensive exposures to blood occur: invasive procedures, dentistry, autopsies or morticians' services, di-

agnosis, and the clinical laboratory. These detailed precautions are found in the August 21, 1987, "Recommendations for Prevention of HIV Transmission in Health-Care Settings" (1). In addition, specific precautions have been developed for research laboratories (28).

References

1. Centers for Disease Control. Recommendations for prevention of HIV transmission in health-care settings. *MMWR* 1987; 36 (suppl no. 2S).
2. Garner JS, Simmons BP. Guideline for isolation precautions in hospitals. *Infect Control* 1983;4: 245-325.
3. Immunization Practices Advisory Committee. Recommendations for protection against viral hepatitis. *MMWR* 1985;34:313-24,329-35.
4. Department of Labor, Department of Health and Human Services. Joint advisory notice: protection against occupational exposure to hepatitis B virus (HBV) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Washington, DC: US Department of Labor, US Department of Health and Human Services, 1987.
5. Centers for Disease Control. Update: Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome and human immunodeficiency virus infection among health-care workers. *MMWR* 1988;37:229-34,239.
6. Hollander H, Levy JA. Neurologic abnormalities and recovery of human immunodeficiency virus from cerebrospinal fluid. *Ann Intern Med* 1987;106:692-5.
7. Wirthington RH, Cornes P, Harris JRW, et al. Isolation of human immunodeficiency virus from synovial fluid of a patient with reactive arthritis. *Br Med J* 1987;294:484.
8. Mundy DC, Schinazi RF, Gerber AR, Nahmias AJ, Randall HW. Human immunodeficiency virus isolated from amniotic fluid. *Lancet* 1987;2:459-60.
9. Onion DK, Crumpacker CS, Gilliland BC. Arthritis of hepatitis associated with Australia antigen. *Ann Intern Med* 1971;75:29-33.
10. Lee AKY, Ip HMH, Wong VCW. Mechanisms of maternal-fetal transmission of hepatitis B virus. *J Infect Dis* 1978;138:668-71.
11. Bond WW, Petersen NJ, Gravelle CR, Favero MS. Hepatitis B virus in peritoneal dialysis fluid: A potential hazard. *Dialysis and Transplantation* 1982;11:592-600.
12. Oskenhendler E, Harzic M, Le Roux J-M, Rabian C, Clauvel JP. HIV infection with seroconversion after a superficial needlestick injury to the finger [Letter]. *N Engl J Med* 1986;315:582.
13. Lifson Ar. Do alternate modes for transmission of human immunodeficiency virus exist? A review. *JAMA* 1988;259:1353-6.
14. Friedland GH, Saltzman BR, Rogers MF, et al. Lack of transmission of HTLV-III/LAV infection to household contacts of patients with AIDS or AIDS-related complex with oral candidiasis. *N Engl J Med* 1986;314:344-9.
15. Jenison Sa, Lemon SM, Baker LN, Newbold JE. Quantitative analysis of hepatitis B virus DNA in saliva and semen of chronically infected homosexual men. *J Infect Dis* 1987;156:299-306.
16. Cancio-Bello TP, de Medina M, shorey J, Valledor MD, Schiff ER. An institutional outbreak of hepatitis B related to a human biting carrier. *J Infect Dis* 1982;146:652 6.
17. MacQuarrie MB, Forghani B, Wolochow DA. Hepatitis B transmitted by a human bite. *JAMA* 1974;230:723-4.
18. Scott RM, Snitbhan R, Bancroft WH, Alter HJ, Tingpalapong M. Experimental transmission of hepatitis B virus by semen and saliva. *J Infect Dis* 1980;142:67-71.
19. Glaser JB, Nadler JP. Hepatitis B virus in a cardiopulmonary resuscitation training course: Risk of transmission from a surface antigen-positive participant. *Arch Intern Med* 1985;145:1653-5.
20. Osterholm MT, Bravo ER, Crosson JT, et al. Lack of transmission of viral hepatitis type B after oral exposure to HBsAg-positive saliva. *Br Med J* 1979;2:1263-4.
21. Curran JW, Jaffe HW, Hardy AM, et al. Epidemiology of HIV infection and AIDS in the United States. *Science* 1988;239:610-6.
22. Jason JM, McDougal JS, Dixon G, et al. HTLV-III/LAV anti-

body and immune status of household contacts and sexual partners of persons with hemophilia. *JAMA* 1986;255:212-5.

23. Wahn V, Kramer HH, Voit T, Brüster HT, Scrampical B, Scheid A. Horizontal transmission of HIV infection between two siblings [Letter]. *Lancet* 1986;2:694.
24. Salahuddin SZ, Groopman JE, Markham PD, et al. HTLV-III in symptom-free seronegative persons. *Lancet* 1984;2:1418-20.
25. Simmons BP, Wong ES. Guide-

line for prevention of nosocomial pneumonia. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, 1982.

26. Klein RS, Phelan JA, Freeman K, et al. Low occupational risk of human immunodeficiency virus infection among dental professionals. *N Engl J Med* 1988;318:86-90.
27. Garner JS, Favero MS. Guideline for handwashing and hospital environmental control, 1985.

Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, 1985; HHS publication no. 99-1117.

28. Centers for Disease Control. 1988 Agent summary statement for human immunodeficiency virus and report on laboratory-acquired infection with human immunodeficiency virus. *MMWR* 1988;37(suppl no. S4:1S-22S).

Reprinted from *MMWR* 1988;37:77-82,87-88.

Heat-Wave-Related Morbidity and Mortality

Recent record-high temperatures in many parts of the United States highlight the need for awareness of the health hazards posed by environmental heat. Heat waves can cause dramatic increases in overall mortality; they have doubled or even tripled the usual number of deaths per day in particularly severe episodes.

Heatstroke, usually diagnosed in a heat-exposed individual whose core temperature is 40.5°C (105°F) or greater, is the most serious of diseases clearly attributable to the heat. It has a high death-to-case ratio. Elderly persons, residents of poorer inner-city neighborhoods, patients taking neuroleptic or anticholinergic medications, and persons confined to bed or otherwise unable to care for themselves are at particularly

high risk (1). Reducing physical activity, drinking extra liquids, and increasing time spent in air-conditioned places all appear to significantly reduce the risk of heatstroke. Measures to prevent heatstroke should target persons at high risk and should promote behaviors associated with reduced risk—for example, elderly persons may be taken to an air-conditioned shopping mall for 2-3 hours per day. Special precautions should be taken to protect workers in certain "hot" industries.

Reference

1. Kilbourne EM, Choi K, Jones TS, Thacker SB, and the Field Investigation team. Risk factors for heatstroke: A case-control study. *JAMA* 1982;247:3332-6.

Reprinted from *MMWR* 1988;37:390.



Notices to Readers

Announcement of the Third National Conference on Chronic Disease Prevention and Control

CDC and the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials (ASTHO) will cosponsor the Third National Conference on Chronic Disease Prevention and Control, *Putting Science Into Practice*, October 19-21, 1988, at the Hyatt Regency Denver, in Denver, Colorado. The conference is open to the public; there will be no registration fee.

The conference will build on the strategies identified by participants at the First and Second National Conferences on Chronic Disease Prevention and Control. Those two conferences placed particular emphasis

on the interactions among federal, state, and local health departments; voluntary health agencies; professional organizations; and others.

This year's conference will include the following plenary sessions:

- Health Education/Mass Media Approaches for Changing Behaviors
- Preventive Health Services in Primary Care Settings (including the cost-effectiveness of chronic disease prevention and control strategies)

- Long-Term/Broad Strategic Issues for Public Health Chronic Disease Control

Concurrent afternoon sessions will focus on breast cancer, cervical cancer, cholesterol/cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and smoking.

Additional information may be obtained by contacting Martha S. Brocato, Division of Chronic Disease Control, Center for Environmental Health and Injury Control, Centers for Disease Control (F10), Atlanta, Georgia 30333; telephone: (404)488-4251 or FTS 236-4251.

Cases of selected notifiable diseases, Virginia, for the period June 1, through June 30, 1988.

Disease	State					Regions				
	This Month	Last Month	Total to Date		Mean 5 Year To Date	This Month				
			1987	1988		N.W.	N.	S.W.	C.	E.
Measles	18	49	1	134	19	0	18	0	0	0
Mumps	16	52	56	96	28	0	1	2	13	0
Pertussis	5	2	37	16	21	1	0	0	0	4
Rubella	11	0	1	11	1	11	0	0	0	0
Meningitis—Aseptic	9	11	64	50	72	0	4	1	0	4
*Bacterial	13	14	94	84	129	0	3	3	2	5
Hepatitis A (Infectious)	34	32	140	194	84	0	1	2	6	25
B (Serum)	29	24	216	142	249	0	6	8	4	11
Non-A, Non-B	11	8	23	41	42	0	0	2	1	8
Salmonellosis	81	73	711	477	585	13	13	12	23	20
Shigellosis	19	27	68	164	64	0	1	3	9	6
Campylobacter Infections	57	32	243	188	242	15	10	7	12	13
Tuberculosis	20	38	194	204	244	1	5	4	6	4
Syphilis (Primary & Secondary)	41	33	134	213	198	2	7	7	16	9
Gonorrhea	949	1093	7346	6283	8777	—	—	—	—	—
Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever	1	3	3	4	12	0	1	0	0	0
Rabies in Animals	19	29	208	196	185	3	0	1	14	1
Meningococcal Infections	5	5	45	35	44	1	1	1	0	2
Influenza	1	23	1214	2393	1600	0	0	0	1	0
Toxic Shock Syndrome	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Reye Syndrome	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Legionellosis	0	1	5	6	9	0	0	0	0	0
Kawasaki's Disease	3	1	14	11	18	1	0	0	0	2
Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome	23	25	106	176	—	1	11	3	8	0

Counties Reporting Animal Rabies: Augusta 1 raccoon; Charles City 2 raccoons; Chesterfield 8 raccoons; Henrico 1 raccoon; Lancaster 1 raccoon; Nottoway 1 raccoon; Page 1 groundhog; Powhatan 1 raccoon; Richmond City 1 raccoon; Scott 1 fox; Shenandoah 1 raccoon.

Occupational Illnesses: Asbestosis 12; Carpal Tunnel Syndrome 1; Dermatitis 1; Loss of Hearing 11; Mesothelioma 1; Pneumoconioses 40; Silicosis 1.

*other than meningococcal

Published Monthly by the
VIRGINIA HEALTH DEPARTMENT
 Office of Epidemiology
 109 Governor Street
 Richmond, Virginia 23219

Bulk Rate
 U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
 Richmond, Va.
 Permit No. 1225