To guide decisions about community mitigation measures needed to reduce the impact of COVID-19 on Virginians, VDH recommends local government and school officials work with local health districts to evaluate epidemiologic and other data to assess the extent of SARS-CoV-2 (the virus that causes COVID-19) transmission within their region, its effect on Virginians, and the ability of the healthcare system to function. These data should then be interpreted within the context of the local jurisdiction. VDH recommends that decisions to alter K-12 school programming, including decisions about in-person instruction, school dismissals or closures be handled at the most local level possible, considering both regional and local epidemiology, community characteristics, and local capacity. Although locality perspective is vital, it may be important to also consider the trends and indicators in neighboring localities. For example, individuals may live in one county but often work in another and/or schools may draw staff or students from multiple localities.

On September 15, 2020, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) published Indicators for Dynamic School Decision-Making in which they propose core and secondary indicators that states, localities and schools can use to aid in decision-making regarding school reopening for in-person learning. CDC recommendations are based on current knowledge of COVID-19 in the United States; they are intended to supplement state and local laws, rules, and regulations with which schools must comply. VDH will continue to monitor COVID-19 activity, guidance and scientific literature and update guidance as needed.

VDH recommends that communities and schools use the CDC Indicators for Dynamic School Decision-Making framework to assess the risk of introduction and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in schools and to help inform decisions about school operations and necessary mitigation strategies. The CDC framework is best used together with the Interim VDH Guidance for Mitigation Measures in K12 Settings. To the extent possible, the CDC Indicators have been aligned to the Phase Guidance for Virginia Schools previously issued by the Commonwealth to further inform local approaches. Below is a summary of how these state and federal guidance documents on school reopening plans should be considered by local school leaders. As per the CDC framework, local data and capacity to successfully implement mitigation strategies should be carefully considered.

VDH created a pandemic metrics dashboard, inclusive of a “CDC School Metrics” tab that includes the CDC Indicators for Dynamic School Decision-Making. CDC recommends the core measures of incidence and RT-PCR test positivity be assessed for the community (e.g., county) and not for the schools or school divisions themselves. On the CDC School Metrics tab, users can find the following metrics:

**Core Indicators:**

- Total number of new cases per 100,000 persons within the last 14 days
- Percentage of RT-PCR tests that are positive during the last 14 days

**Secondary Indicators:**

The following secondary indicators can be used to support the decision making process in local communities but should not be used as the main criteria for determining the risk of disease transmission in schools.

- Percent change in new cases per 100,000 population during the last 7 days compared with the previous 7 days
- Percentage of hospital inpatient beds in the region that are occupied
- Percentage of hospital inpatient beds in the region that are occupied by patients with COVID-19
- Existence of localized community/public setting COVID-19 outbreaks

In addition to considering the extent of COVID-19 transmission, schools should assess their own ability to implement and adhere to the following 5 key mitigation strategies intended to decrease transmission of COVID-19 in their facilities when making decisions to offer in-person classes.

- Consistent and correct use of masks
- Physical/social distancing to the extent possible
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● Hand hygiene and respiratory etiquette
● Cleaning and disinfection
● Contact tracing in collaboration with local health department

More information about these mitigation strategies can be found here. These strategies are most effective when compliance is universal and when all the strategies are used together.

Evaluation and application of several indicators

Evaluating the core disease transmission indicators together with the self-assessed measure of school implementation of mitigation strategies can help a community assess the risk of introduction and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in schools. Secondary indicators can be used to support the conversations, but are not intended to be used as the main criteria to determine the risk of disease transmission in schools. There is a range within each level of a specific indicator, and decisions should consider several indicators. CDC guidance states that “Each indicator or combination of indicators should neither be used in isolation nor should they be viewed as hard cut-offs by state and local officials and school district decision-makers. Rather, they serve as broad guideposts of inherent risk to inform decision-making.”

Considering several indicators can help inform action when any single indicator is at the border of the given range. For example, a school that is consistently implementing all five key mitigation strategies could consider providing in-person instruction for a larger number of students even if the case incidence rate in their community is in the “higher” category, but lower part of the range. For example, if the number of new cases in a locality is 52 new cases/100,000 within the last 14 days, it will be in the “higher risk” category for that indicator but very close to the “moderate risk” category. If strategies such as wearing masks and contact tracing are efficient in the school and if the other disease indicators are favorable (e.g. lower or lowest risk categories) the school could potentially consider providing in-person instruction to a greater number of students than is described in the Phase 2 Guidance. The indicators serve as the starting point to make determinations on what to do in a school, but should not dictate the decisions that school districts make to best serve their individual communities.

Regardless of what the indicators determine, the more students or staff who interact and the longer that interaction, the higher the risk of SARS-CoV-2 spread. While risk of introduction and transmission in a school may be lower when community transmission is lower, this risk is dependent upon the implementation of school and community mitigation strategies. Adherence to mitigation strategies in schools and the broader community will reduce the risk of introduction and subsequent spread of SARS-CoV-2 in schools.

Notably, even when a school carefully plans and prepares, cases of COVID-19 may still occur. Having detailed plans in place for the occurrence of cases in schools can help quickly mitigate the impact and may allow the school to remain open for in-person learning if deemed appropriate in collaboration with the local health department.

No matter the level of transmission risk in a school, the following recommendations currently apply:

● Remote learning exceptions and teleworking should be options for students and staff who are at high risk of severe illness (as defined by the CDC).
● Implement the relevant Department of Labor and Industry (DOLI) 16VAC25-220, Emergency Temporary Standard, which provides guidance for employers regarding COVID-19. More information can be found in the Coronavirus (COVID-19) FAQs webpage.
● At a minimum, schools should implement the 5 key mitigation strategies listed below. Other mitigation strategies are outlined in Phase Guidance for Virginia Schools and within the CDC Indicators for Dynamic School Decision Making, “Mitigation strategies to reduce transmission of SARS-COV-2 in schools”:
  o Consistent and correct use of masks
  o Social distancing to the extent possible
VDH Interim Guidance for Mitigation Measures in K-12 School Settings

- Hand hygiene and respiratory etiquette
- Cleaning and disinfection
- Contact tracing in collaboration with local health department

Messaging/Communications for all levels of transmission risk:

- Wear a [mask](#) while in public and when around other individuals who are not part of your household.
- Follow recommendations for [physical distancing](#) when attending social gatherings or while in public spaces.
- Encourage students and teachers to avoid large gatherings and other events or activities that may have high potential for COVID-19 transmission.
- [Stay home when sick](#) and follow all recommendations from public health officials. More information about isolation and quarantine can be found [here](#). Knowing when to end isolation/quarantine can be found [here](#).

### Considerations for Schools At “Lowest” or “Lower” Risk of Transmission in School based on assessment of several indicators

Use [Phase 3 Guidance for Virginia Schools](#) for recommendations on the scope of programming and mitigation strategies and consider the following:

- In-person instruction may be offered for all students with mitigation measures and physical distancing in place. Schools are encouraged to maintain 6 feet of physical distance between individuals to the greatest extent possible.
- If distancing standards cannot be met with all students present, priority should be given to providing in-person instruction to the students for whom in person instruction is most important (e.g., students with disabilities, preschool through third grade students, English learners).
- Consider restricting the mixing of classes/groups of students.
- Strongly encourage and/or consider requiring the use of [masks](#) for staff and students (as developmentally feasible).
- Close or stagger the use of communal spaces.
- Discourage large school gatherings; gatherings should be limited to no more than 250 people.
- Recreational sports may occur if ten feet of physical distance can be maintained by all participants.
- Child care for working families may operate in schools.
Considerations for Schools At Moderate or Higher Risk of Transmission in School based on assessment of several indicators

Begin with Phase 2 Guidance for Virginia Schools for recommendations on the scope of programming and mitigation strategies, depending on the assessment of indicators, and consider the below actions. Phase 3 strategies might be reasonable to consider depending on the combination of the assessed indicators as described earlier in the “Evaluation and Application of Indicators”:

- Prioritize specific learners for limited in-person instruction including students with disabilities (if the Individualized Education Program (IEP) team agrees it is appropriate and the parent consents), preschool through third grade students, and English learners.
- Consider remote instruction for all other students.
- As a reminder, it is important to review the combination of the three core indicators.
- Schools that have successfully implemented mitigation measures and in-person instruction for those specific learners may consider offering in-person instruction for additional groups of students (e.g. Phase 3 guidance), if disease indicators remain favorable and mitigation measures can be sustained.
- Strongly encourage and/or consider requiring the use of masks for staff and students (as developmentally feasible).
- Offer remote learning exceptions and teleworking options for students and staff who are at high risk of severe illness (as defined by the CDC).
- Eliminate or limit extracurricular activities that are not for the purpose of providing child care.
- Restrict mixing classes/groups of students.
- Cancel social activities and gatherings that are not part of providing regular school services.
- Gatherings/recess should be limited to no more than 50 people. Indoor gatherings should be held only as necessary, and be limited in duration.
- Child care for working families may operate in schools.

Considerations for Schools At Highest Risk of Transmission in School based on an assessment of several indicators

Begin with Phase 1 Guidance for Virginia Schools for recommendations on the scope of programming and mitigation strategies and consider the following. Phase 2 strategies might be reasonable to consider depending on the combination of the assessed indicators as described earlier in the “Evaluation and Application of Indicators”:

- Remote learning should be considered as the primary method of instruction, as described in Phase Guidance for Virginia Schools.
- If at “highest” risk of transmission, limit in-person instruction to students with disabilities if the Individualized Education Program (IEP) team agrees it is appropriate and the parent consents.
- Eliminate or limit extracurricular activities that are not for the purpose of providing childcare.
- Cancel social activities and gatherings that are not part of providing regular school services.
- Child care for working families may operate in schools but should be limited to children in the local geographic area.
- Strongly encourage and/or consider requiring the use of mask for staff and students (as developmentally feasible).
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Revisions were made on August 31, 2020 to reflect the following:

- Added links to the Department of Labor and Industry (DOLI) guidance for employers
- Added “Approaching Moderate” and “Approaching Substantial” categories to reflect technical changes in dashboard.

Revisions were made on September 25 to reflect the following:

- Guidance from the CDC released September 14, 2020: Indicators for Dynamic School Decision-Making

Revisions were made on October 21, 2020 to reflect the following:

- Clarified recommendation that the guidance is intended to inform, rather than dictate decision-making by school divisions. Added verbiage to emphasize importance of evaluating several indicators to determine overall transmission risk in schools.