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Overview

• **Goal:** Understand impact of COVID-19 mitigations in Virginia

• **Approach:**
  • Calibrate explanatory mechanistic model to observed cases
  • Project based on scenarios for next 4 months
  • Consider a range of possible mitigation effects in "what-if" scenarios

• **Outcomes:**
  • Ill, Confirmed, Hospitalized, ICU, Ventilated, Death
  • Geographic spread over time, case counts, healthcare burdens
Key Takeaways

Projecting future cases precisely is impossible and unnecessary.
Even without perfect projections, we can confidently draw conclusions:

• **Case rates in Virginia continue to decline and show signs of flattening out**
  • VA mean weekly incidence slightly down to 15/100K from 16/100K, US also down (to 16 from 19 per 100K)
  • Significant progress made in last month, however 82% of VA counties above mean rate of Summer 2020
  • Projections continue to be down but are flattening out across Commonwealth

• Recent updates:
  • Adjusted Seasonal Effects scenarios to account for spring and summer weather
  • Accelerated vaccine schedule with Johnson & Johnson added as base case in anticipation of boost in vaccine supplies
  • Adjustment to death outcome modeling rescaled based on date of death from VDH data, higher resolution hospital data incorporated for hospital calibration

• The situation is changing rapidly. Models continue to be updated regularly.
Situation Assessment
Case Rate (per 100k) by VDH District

Declines continue across the Commonwealth

- Majority of districts have decreasing rates
- Rates remain high in many districts
- University districts (New River, Blue Ridge) experiencing outbreaks
Weekly changes in test positivity by district

- Rates continue to decline
- More counties are below 5% than over 10% for first time since October

County level test positivity rates for RT-PCR tests.

**Green**: Test positivity <5.0% (or with <20 tests in past 14 days)

**Yellow**: Test positivity 5.0%-10.0% (or with <500 tests and <2000 tests/100k and >10% positivity over 14 days)

**Red**: >10.0% and not meeting the criteria for “Green” or “Yellow”
District Trajectories

**Goal:** Define epochs of a Health District’s COVID-19 incidence to characterize the current trajectory

**Method:** Find recent peak and use hockey stick fit to find inflection point afterwards, then use this period’s slope to define the trajectory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trajectory</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Weekly Case Rate (per 100K) bounds</th>
<th># Districts (prev week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declining</td>
<td>Sustained decreases following a recent peak</td>
<td>below -0.9</td>
<td>32 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>Steady level with minimal trend up or down</td>
<td>above -0.9 and below 0.5</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow Growth</td>
<td>Sustained growth not rapid enough to be considered a Surge</td>
<td>above 0.5 and below 2.5</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Surge</td>
<td>Currently experiencing sustained rapid and significant growth</td>
<td>2.5 or greater</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
District Trajectories – last 10 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th># Districts (prev week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declining</td>
<td>31 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow Growth</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Surge</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Curve shows smoothed case rate (per 100K)
Trajectories of states in label & chart box
Case Rate curve colored by Reproductive
SARS-CoV2 Variants of Concern

Emerging new variants will alter the future trajectories of pandemic and have implications for future control

- Current evidence supports that new variants can:
  - Increase transmissibility
  - Increase severity (more hospitalizations and/or deaths)
  - Limit immunity from prior infection and vaccination

- Genomic surveillance remains very limited
  - Challenges ability to estimate impact in US to date and estimation of arrival and potential impact in future
  - B.1.1.7 is most frequent and well studied
SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern

**Lineage B.1.1.7**

- B.1.1.7 has been detected in Virginia and all other states as of Mar 14th (10-20 day delay for genotyping), and has continued to rapidly grow. Current estimates place national frequency at ~10% and Virginia at 20%

- Virginia is a little below but still within bounds of estimates based on growth rates indicating it will predominate (e.g., reach 50% frequency) by late March and is 35%-45% more transmissible

- A cluster with the E484K mutation has been described indicating multiple independent acquisitions in UK, potential for aiding immune escape

- A recent study finds B.1.1.7 to have longer duration which may be the source of increased transmissibility and has implications for isolation durations

- Evidence continues to mount supporting increased risks of hospitalization and mortality for B.1.1.7 infected individuals

- Update to Rasmussen et al. study estimates B.1.1.7 to have the highest “fitness” advantage of all observed variants and mutations of note
SARS-CoV2 Variants of Concern

Lineage B.1.351

- Emerging strain initially identified in South Africa shows signs of vaccine escape, currently 143 reported cases in 25 states (including 20 now in Virginia) as of Mar 14th

- Recent study based on clinical trial data shows that convalescent serum neutralization is highly predictive of actual immune protection for infection, thus B.1.351 may require booster vaccinations, and provides estimates for timing.

- New study in NEJM demonstrates serum neutralization across the strains from different vaccine recipients (Pfizer)

- New study in Nature suggests this variant could be up to 50% more transmissible as well, and that other mutations associated with transmissibility can be acquired rapidly

- A study has demonstrated that T cell response from mRNA vaccinated individuals are not significantly degraded across these “immune escaping” variants
SARS-CoV2 Variants of Concern

Lineage P.1

• Present in at least 15 cases in 9 states, shows signs of increased transmissibility and ability to evade immunity
• Caused a resurgence of hospitalizations in Manaus, Brazil which has now caused more deaths in last 2 months than all of 2020
• Recent study estimates it to be 1.4-2.2 times more transmissible and able to partially evade protective immunity

Lineage B.1.429

• Recently officially recognized as variant of concern, estimates of ~20% increase in transmission and some evasion of immunity
• Initially found in Southern California, coincided with surge in Nov and Dec, found in over half of sequenced samples in LA
• With very limited sampling, this variant has been identified in sequences from Virginia, though has a recent estimated frequency of 0% (down from 10% last week)
Estimating Daily Reproductive Number

March 15th Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Date Confirmed R&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Date Confirmed</th>
<th>Diff Last Week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State-wide</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far SW</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near SW</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methodology

- Wallinga-Teunis method (EpiEstim<sup>2</sup>) for cases by **confirmation date**
- Serial interval: 6 days (2 day std dev)
- Using Confirmation date since due to increasingly unstable estimates from onset date due to backfill

Changes in Case Detection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeframe (weeks)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>% difference from overall mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July (26-30)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug (31-34)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept (35-38)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct (39-43)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov (44-47)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec (48-49)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>-37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan (00-04)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb (05-08)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (13-05)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test positivity vs. Onset to Diagnosis

Positivity continues its rapid decline

Days from Onset to Diagnosis and Test Positivity - Weekly

- July: 6.2 days
- Aug: 4.9 days
- Sept: 4.5 days
- Oct: 4.5 days
- Nov: 4.5 days
- Dec: 4.2 days
- Jan: 3.9 days
- Feb: 3.4 days

Accessed 9:15am March 17, 2021
https://www.vdh.virginia.gov/coronavirus/
Vaccine Acceptance in Virginia

Acceptance remains high:
• Proportion of Virginians that would definitely or probably accept vaccination if offered today
• Nearly 80% Virginians have already or will choose to be vaccinated
• Down very slightly from high at end of January, but has been stable for several weeks
• Top reasons for hesitancy: side effects, safety, distrust

Data Source: https://covidcast.cmu.edu
Shifting Behaviors in Virginia

Trend upward in Mobility and Leaving Home in last couple weeks:
• Google Mobility has increased mobility to Workplaces, Transit, Other Shopping
• SafeGraph shows uptick in restaurant visits over last 3 weeks
• SafeGraph shows slight rise in percent of individuals spending more than 3 hours away from home
• Geographic distribution shows shifts more strong in central and southwest

Data Source: https://covidcast.cmu.edu
Changes in Race and Ethnicity Rates (per 100k) in past two weeks

- Two week change in population level rates
- Black, Latinx and 2 or more races populations have much higher changes in rates; disparity is more pronounced in some regions than others
- Based on 2019 census race-ethnicity data by county
Race and Ethnicity cases per 100K

Rates per 100K of each Racial-Ethnic population by Health District
- Each Health District’s Racial-Ethnic population is plotted by their Hospitalization and Case Rate
- Points are sized based on their overall population size (overlapping labels removed)

Case Rates are associate with Poverty levels

Weekly Point Prevalence vs Poverty Rate (Weighted)

Total cumulative cases from Zip codes with different levels of poverty by population. This association may be stronger at the census tract level
Other State Comparisons

Trajectories of States

- Nearly all states are plateaued (51) with a few declining (2)

Virginia and her neighbors

- VA shifted to plateau along with all but South Carolina
- Rates remain elevated and several show trends toward very slow growth
Still some way to go to return to rates experienced during the summer of 2020 (June through August)

- 59% of US counties are above the summer mean case rate compared to 63% last week

- 82% of VA counties are above the average rate for the summer compared to 88% last week
Zip code level weekly Case Rate (per 100K)

Case Rates in the last week by zip code

- Universities still dominate the top 10 list
- Concentrations of high rates scattered across the Commonwealth
- Some counts are low and suppressed to protect anonymity, those are shown in white

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Prev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22807</td>
<td>Harrisonburg</td>
<td>4,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23806</td>
<td>VSU Campus</td>
<td>3,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24450</td>
<td>Lexington</td>
<td>1,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23106</td>
<td>Manquin</td>
<td>1,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24534</td>
<td>Clover</td>
<td>1,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>23888</td>
<td>Wakefield</td>
<td>1,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23872</td>
<td>Mckenney</td>
<td>1,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24382</td>
<td>Wytheville</td>
<td>1,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>23882</td>
<td>Stony Creek</td>
<td>1,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>24060</td>
<td>Blacksburg</td>
<td>1,180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Point Prevalence by Zip Code (2021-03-13)

Based on Spatial Empirical Bayes smoothed point prevalence for week ending 2021-03-13
Risk of Exposure by Group Size

Case Prevalence in the last week by zip code used to calculate risk of encountering someone infected in a gathering of randomly selected people (group size 25)

• Assumes 3 undetected infections per confirmed case (ascertainment rate from recent seroprevalence survey)
• On left, minimum size of a group with a 50% chance an individual is infected by zip code (e.g., in a group of 23 in Harrisonburg, there is a 50% chance someone will be infected)
• Some zip codes have high likelihood of exposure even in groups of 25
### Current Spatial Hot Spots

Hot Spots compare the weekly case prevalence to nearby zip codes to identify areas with statistically significant deviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Conf.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22807</td>
<td>Harrisonburg</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23806</td>
<td>VSU Campus</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24450</td>
<td>Lexington</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23888</td>
<td>Wakefield</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23106</td>
<td>Manquin</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>24534</td>
<td>Clover</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23872</td>
<td>McKenney</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24382</td>
<td>Wytheville</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only includes zips with pop ≥ 1000 and no supp. data.
* Denotes zip codes with state prisons.

#### Point Prevalence Hot Spots by Zip Code (2021-03-13)

Based on Global Empirical Bayes smoothed point prevalence for week ending 2021-03-13.
Temporal Hot Spots – Model Deviations

Deviations from Model’s Projection

• The weekly case rate (per 100K) projected compared to observed by county
• Highlights where the growth or declines were unexpectedly strong
• Some spatial hotspots continued as expected others were significantly strong
Model Update – Adaptive Fitting
Adaptive Fitting Approach

Each county fit precisely, with recent trends used for future projection

- Allows history to be precisely captured, and used to guide bounds on projections

**Model:** An alternative use of the same meta-population model, PatchSim

- Allows for future “what-if” Scenarios to be layered on top of calibrated model
- Eliminates connectivity between patches, to allow calibration to capture the increasingly unsynchronized epidemic

**External Seeding:** Steady low-level importation

- Widespread pandemic eliminates sensitivity to initial conditions
- Uses steady 1 case per 10M population per day external seeding
Using Ensemble Model to Guide Projections

Ensemble methodology that combines the Adaptive with machine learning and statistical models such as:

- Autoregressive (AR, ARIMA)
- Neural networks (LSTM)
- Kalman filtering (EnKF)

Weekly forecasts done at county level.

Models chosen because of their track record in disease forecasting and to increase diversity and robustness.

Ensemble forecast provides additional ‘surveillance’ for making scenario-based projections.

Also submitted to CDC Forecast Hub.
Seroprevalence updates to model design

Several seroprevalence studies provide better picture of how many actual infections have occurred

• CDC Nationwide Commercial Laboratory Seroprevalence Survey estimated 7.6% [5.6% – 9.8%] seroprevalence as of Jan 7th – 21st up from 5.7% a month earlier

These findings are equivalent to an ascertainment ratio of ~2x in the future, with bounds of (1.3x to 3x)

• Thus for 2x there are 2 total infections in the population for every confirmed case recently

• This measure now fully tracks the estimated ascertainment over time

• Uncertainty design has been shifted to these bounds (previously higher ascertainment was consistent earlier in the pandemic were being used)

https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#national-lab
Calibration Approach

• **Data:**
  - County level case counts by date of onset (from VDH)
  - Confirmed cases for model fitting

• **Calibration:** fit model to observed data and ensemble’s forecast
  - Tune transmissibility across ranges of:
    - Duration of incubation (5-9 days), infectiousness (3-7 days)
    - Undocumented case rate (1x to 7x) guided by seroprevalence studies
    - Detection delay: exposure to confirmation (4-12 days)
  - Approach captures uncertainty, but allows model to precisely track the full trajectory of the outbreak

• **Project:** future cases and outcomes generated using the collection of fit models run into the future
  - Mean trend from last 7 days of observed cases and first week of ensemble’s forecast used
  - Outliers removed based on variances in the previous 3 weeks
  - 2 week interpolation to smooth transitions in rapidly changing trajectories

Accessed 9:15am March 17, 2021
https://www.vdh.virginia.gov/coronavirus/
Scenarios – Seasonal Effects

• Variety of factors continue to drive transmission rates
  • Seasonal impact of weather patterns, travel and gatherings, fatigue and premature relaxation of infection control practices

• Plausible levels of transmission can be bounded by past experience
  • Assess transmission levels at the county level since May 1, 2020 through September 30, 2020
  • Use the highest and lowest levels experienced (excluding outliers) as plausible bounds for levels of control achievable
  • Transition from current levels of projection to the new levels over 2 months

• Projection Scenario:
  • Fatigued Control: Highest level of transmission (95th percentile) increased by additional 5%
Scenarios – Novel Variants

• Several novel variants of SARS-CoV2 are being tracked
  • Some are more transmissible, some may escape immunity from previous natural infection and/or vaccination, others may be more severe

• New Variant B.1.1.7 is best understood and is in Virginia
  • Transmission increase: Several different studies have estimated the increase in transmission to be 30-55%, we use 50% increase from the current baseline projection
  • Emergence timing: Gradually assumes predominance over the next 2 weeks, reaching 50% frequency in late March as estimated in a recent MMWR report from CDC and refined by Andersen et al.

• Variant planning Scenario:
  • VariantB117: Current projected transmissibility continues to increase gradually over 2 months to level 50% more transmissible
Scenarios – Vaccines

• Vaccination is well underway and accelerating its pace

• Vaccine efficacy varies over course of vaccine
  • FDA EUAs show 50% efficacy achieved 2 weeks after 1st dose, and 95% 2 weeks after 2nd dose
  • Assuming 3.5 week (average of Pfizer and Moderna) gap between doses
  • Johnson & Johnson included with 70% efficacy 2 weeks after 1st (and only) dose

• Accelerated administration pace will reach vaccine hesitancy thresholds more quickly
  • Demand still outpaces supply
  • Estimate based on current rates that some counties may reach thresholds as soon as late April, with potentially half by mid July

VA Vaccination Rates

Lines represent 1M & 2M total doses administered a day (rate of 303/100K & 606/100K)

Anticipated Vax Hesitancy Impact

% of counties at hesitancy threshold
Scenarios – Vaccines

• Administration schedule uses reported administrations and anticipated supplies to generate vaccine schedule (past and future)
  • Data from VDH used to assess county level variations and dosage (these data are in data package)

• Current administration rate used as baseline courses with future supplies estimated to have a 30% increase
  • Rate: 400 FIRST DOSES per 100K per day
    • Total of ~40K 1st doses / day, ~30% increase over current rate
  • Total Administrations: This pace leads to eventually reaching 64K administered a day, implying 32K fully vaccinated a day
  • Location: Per capita distribution across all counties

Current rollouts and scenarios inspired by MIDAS Network COVID-19 Scenario Hub: https://github.com/midas-network/covid19-scenario-modeling-hub
Scenarios – Seasonal Effects and Vaccines

Three scenarios combine these seasonal effects and use the accelerated vaccine schedule

- **Adaptive**: No seasonal effects from base projection
  - If things continue as they are

- **Adaptive-FatigueControl**: Fatigued control seasonal effects
  - If we revert to slightly worst transmission experienced in last 6 months

- **Adaptive-VariantB117**: Boosting of transmissibility from the emergence of B.1.1.7
  - If new variants begin to predominate and boost transmission, this assumes current seasonal affects remain the same (eg like Adaptive)

- **Adaptive-FatigueControl-VariantB117**: Fatigued control and txm boost from B.1.1.7

Counterfactuals with no vaccine ("NoVax") are provided for comparison purposes
Model Results
Outcome Projections

**Confirmed cases**
Virginia Daily Confirmed - Comparison
- Adaptive-FatigueControl-VariantB117
- Adaptive-FatigueControl
- Adaptive-VariantB117
- Adaptive

**Estimated Hospital Occupancy**
Scenarios Adaptive
- Central
- Eastern
- Far SW

**Daily Deaths**
Death ground truth from VDH “Event Date” data, most recent dates are not complete

**Daily Hospitalized**
- Adaptive-FatigueControl-VariantB117
- Adaptive-FatigueControl
- Adaptive-VariantB117
- Adaptive

17-Mar-21
District Level Projections: Adaptive

Adaptive projections by District

- Projections that best fit recent trends
- Daily confirmed cases rate (per 100K) by Region (grey with 7-day average in black) with simulation colored by scenario
District Level Projections: Adaptive-VariantB117

Adaptive projections by District

- Projections that best fit recent trends
- Daily confirmed cases rate (per 100K) by Region (grey with 7-day average in black) with simulation colored by scenario
District Level Projections: Adaptive-FatigueControl

Adaptive projections by District

• Projections that best fit recent trends
• Daily confirmed cases rate (per 100K) by Region (grey with 7-day average in black) with simulation colored by scenario
Adaptive projections by District

- Projections that best fit recent trends
- Daily confirmed cases rate (per 100K) by Region (grey with 7-day average in black) with simulation colored by scenario
Hospital Demand and Bed Capacity by Region

Capacities* by Region – Adaptive-FatigueControl-VariantB117
COVID-19 capacity ranges from 80% (dots) to 120% (dash) of total beds

If Adaptive-FatigueControl-VariantB117 scenario:
• Far SW may reach surge bed capacity in June
• Eastern, Near SW approach initial bed capacity in June as well

* Assumes average length of stay of 8 days

https://nssac.bii.virginia.edu/covid-19/vmrddash/
Natural Immunity and Vaccines combine to produce a population level of immunity

- Population level immunities above 75% (assuming even distribution in the population) will be effective for preventing significant outbreaks of COVID-19

- How long immunity from infection with SARS-CoV2 lasts is not well understood but may vary based on severity of symptoms
  - We assume a conservative 6 month period of protection for these calculations

- Vaccine induced immunity is likely to last longer, we assume indefinite protection
  - This also assumes that all administered vaccines remain protective against current and future novel variants

- Population immunity depends on a very high proportion of the population getting vaccinated
  - We assume 90% of adults will ultimately get vaccinated in these calculations but slow rates may prevent this from happening before October 2021
## Weekly Cases and Hospitalizations

### Weekly confirmed cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week Ending</th>
<th>Adaptive</th>
<th>Adaptive-Fatigued Control</th>
<th>Adaptive-VariantB117</th>
<th>Adaptive-Fatigued Control-VariantB117</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/14/21</td>
<td>9,372</td>
<td>9,373</td>
<td>9,373</td>
<td>9,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/21/21</td>
<td>7,477</td>
<td>7,474</td>
<td>7,702</td>
<td>7,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/21</td>
<td>5,741</td>
<td>5,748</td>
<td>6,758</td>
<td>6,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/21</td>
<td>4,462</td>
<td>4,473</td>
<td>5,938</td>
<td>5,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11/21</td>
<td>3,540</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>5,422</td>
<td>5,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18/21</td>
<td>2,818</td>
<td>3,152</td>
<td>5,106</td>
<td>5,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25/21</td>
<td>2,266</td>
<td>2,956</td>
<td>4,875</td>
<td>6,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/21</td>
<td>1,836</td>
<td>2,875</td>
<td>4,617</td>
<td>7,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/9/21</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>2,934</td>
<td>4,358</td>
<td>9,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/16/21</td>
<td>1,178</td>
<td>3,174</td>
<td>4,058</td>
<td>11,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/23/21</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>3,644</td>
<td>3,700</td>
<td>15,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/30/21</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>4,360</td>
<td>3,266</td>
<td>20,060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Weekly Hospitalizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week Ending</th>
<th>Adaptive</th>
<th>Adaptive-Fatigued Control</th>
<th>Adaptive-VariantB117</th>
<th>Adaptive-Fatigued Control-VariantB117</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/14/21</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/21/21</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/21</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/21</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11/21</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18/21</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/25/21</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/21</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/9/21</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/16/21</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/23/21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/30/21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1,429</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Takeaways

Projecting future cases precisely is impossible and unnecessary. Even without perfect projections, we can confidently draw conclusions:

• **Case rates in Virginia continue to decline and show signs of flattening out**

• VA mean weekly incidence slightly down to 15/100K from 16/100K, US also down (to 16 from 19 per 100K)

• Significant progress made in last month, however 82% of VA counties above mean rate of Summer 2020

• Projections continue to be down but are flattening out across Commonwealth

• Recent updates:
  • Adjusted Seasonal Effects scenarios to account for spring and summer weather
  • Accelerated vaccine schedule with Johnson & Johnson added as base case in anticipation of boost in vaccine supplies
  • Adjustment to death outcome modeling rescaled based on date of death from VDH data, higher resolution hospital data incorporated for hospital calibration

• The situation is changing rapidly. Models continue to be updated regularly.
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Supplemental Slides
## Date of Onset Reproductive Number

### Mar 6\textsuperscript{th} Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Date of Onset</th>
<th>Date Onset Diff</th>
<th>Last Week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State-wide</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far SW</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near SW</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>-0.100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Methodology

- Wallinga-Teunis method (EpiEstim\textsuperscript{3}) for cases by date of onset
- Serial interval: 6 days (2 day std dev)
- Recent estimates may be unstable due to backfill

**Agent-based Model (ABM)**

**EpiHiper: Distributed network-based stochastic disease transmission simulations**

- Assess the impact on transmission under different conditions
- Assess the impacts of contact tracing

**Synthetic Population**

- Census derived age and household structure
- Time-Use survey driven activities at appropriate locations

**Detailed Disease Course of COVID-19**

- Literature based probabilities of outcomes with appropriate delays
- Varying levels of infectiousness
- Hypothetical treatments for future developments
ABM Social Distancing Rebound Study Design

Study of “Stay Home” policy adherence
• Calibration to current state in epidemic
• Implement “release” of different proportions of people from “staying at home”

Calibration to Current State
• Adjust transmission and adherence to current policies to current observations
• For Virginia, with same seeding approach as PatchSim

Impacts on Reproductive number with release
• After release, spike in transmission driven by additional interactions at work, retail, and other
• At 25% release (70-80% remain compliant)
• Translates to 15% increase in transmission, which represents a 1/6th return to pre-pandemic levels

Remaining Compliant with stay at home