VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

ACUTE CARE COMMITTEE

THURSDAY, MAY 05, 2022 3:00 P.M.

EMBASSY SUITES BY HILTON RICHMOND 2925 EMORYWOOD PARKWAY RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23294



1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN APPEARANCE:
3	JEFFREY YOUNG, CHAIR
4	SHELDON BARR, TRAUMA CENTER ADMINISTRATOR
5	BETH BROERING, LEVEL I TRAUMA CENTER
6	KELLY BROWN, LEVEL II TRAUMA CENTER
7	DR. BRYAN COLLIER, LEVEL I TRAUMA CENTER
8	SONIA COOPER, BURN CENTER
9	PIER FERGUSON, NON-DESIGNATED HOSPITAL
10	DR. TERRAL GOODE, LEVEL II TRAUMA CENTER
11	TRACEY JEFFERS, LEVEL III TRAUMA CENTER
12	CATHY PETERSON, PEDIATRIC TRAUMA CENTER
13	DR. KEITH STEPHENSON, LEVEL III TRAUMA CENTER
14	RICHARD SZYMCZYK, PHC REP
15	
16	OTHERS IN APPEARANCE
17	VALERIE QUICK
18	RON PASSMORE
19	GEORGE LINDBECK
20	MOHAMED ABBAMIN
21	WILLIAM WEBER
22	AMANDA TURNER
23	ROBERT TEWEY
24	AMANDA LORETI
25	LORI STURT

	Acute Care Committee	viay 5, 2022	GGI(#17231-1	1 age 3
1	JOSH ORZEL			
2	DALLAS TAYLOR			
3	TIMOTHY KENNEDY			
4	KATHY BUTLER			
5	CHRIS MONTERA			
6	WHITNEY PIERCE			
7	JAMES GIEBFRIED			
8	MINDY CARTER			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				



	VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD
2	OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES
3	ACUTE CARE COMMITTEE
4	THURSDAY, MAY 05, 2022
5	3:00 P.M.
6	CHAIR YOUNG: Everybody, we can get
7	started. It'll be a little casual. I can't even
8	remember when the last meeting was. Did we get
9	minutes of any kind?
10	MS. CARTER: I don't have, do you
11	have them in front of you because
12	CHAIR YOUNG: No.
13	MS. CARTER: I can't even pull them
14	up right now.
15	CHAIR YOUNG: Well, we can vote on
16	to approve them anyway. So basically, what we
17	have been working on, we've been working on these
18	2 same things for nigh on 2 and a half years at
19	this point, which was the trauma program managers
20	working on revising the Commonwealth Designation
21	Manual, part one. And that revision also entailed
22	looking at the College of Surgeons' optimal
23	resources document and looking at what kind of
24	crosstalk there was between those two. And that
25	is what percent done that first part?

1 MS. BROERING: I'll defer to Tracey 2 as the newly elected leader of the Trauma Program 3 Managers. 4 CHAIR YOUNG: So, Tracey. 5 MS. JEFFERS: The trauma 6 designation manual, the trauma program, managers 7 workgroup, we have actually, that one has come to completion. We've gone through everything and 8 9 capability forms that we've also looked at as 10 part of the designation. And those are going to 11 be talked about today. We just received the burn. 12 We have received peds and adults from their 13 specialties also. I know that. 14 CHAIR YOUNG: Okay. So this still 15 has to go through the whole thing, right? Does 16 this whole committee have to approve everything? 17 MS. JEFFERS: This committee asked 18 for the trauma program management group to do 19 this task. 20 CHAIR YOUNG: Right. 21 MS. JEFFERS: Part of the task has 22 been completed which would be the designation 2.3 manual. So that would have to come back to this 24 committee. And this committee would have to 25 review and then it would go to the final of the

Office of EMS. 1 2 CHAIR YOUNG: So can that document 3 be sent out? Or does it have to be reviewed, whatever their revised document can be sent to 4 5 the committee? 6 MS. JEFFERS: Yeah. 7 CHAIR YOUNG: Because otherwise, 8 it'd be impossible to look through the whole 9 thing here, obviously. 10 MS. CARTER: It could be sent to 11 the committee. There is no issue that. 12 CHAIR YOUNG: And, did you by any 13 chance highlight those areas that changed? 14 Is there at least a track change? 15 Copy? 16 MS. JEFFERS: We put one to a close 17 together, but it's significant to change. 18 CHAIR YOUNG: Well, I mean, let's 19 say we discuss it next time as we discuss it, if 20 you could then say, okay, go to this page, we 21 change this, that's probably all we need. But I 22 think people are going to have to look at it 2.3 somewhat beforehand. 24 MS. JEFFERS: Okay. We can send it 25 out to this group without a problem. For God's

sake, do not reply all if you have a question or comment.

2.3

of that task. Now, the new optimal resource document has come out. After that is done, do you want to then do a crosswalk with that before it goes up? We can't send it up and then send it up again later.

MS. JEFFERS: That would be to the discretion of this committee. That's when it's just come out ...

CHAIR YOUNG: I think what we could do is look at what you have. And some of us are getting to know the new document pretty well from the college. And we can just kind of get a spitball of what looks best. Is that okay, that we just kind of, because I don't think there are any monumental changes. I mean, a lot of them are IR and some other stuff.

MS. BROERING: This is Beth
Broering from the Trauma Level 1 representative.
I think it behooves us to take the recommended
standard changes the trauma program managers went
through the recommendations, and then compare
this to what I'm gonna call a draft final



document. And then compare those two standards 1 2 that include process measures that are nuances of 3 the new ACS standards for all levels and say, "Do 4 we need to make modifications to what we 5 suggested?" Because if we don't, then we're going 6 to be years behind the national standard. 7 CHAIR YOUNG: Right. So we're not 8 going to send this past this committee until we do that. Correct? We shouldn't. I mean, 10 otherwise. Yeah. Okay. So what we can say is next 11 time at the minutes, next time we have this 12 meeting, I would say that should be pretty much 13 the meeting. Then, we'll have this set out 14 beforehand. We'll discuss what it is such that at 15 the end, so you're not going to be, "Well, it's 3 16 months," right? Do you think you'd have some 17 opportunity to see the crosswalk with the new 18 optimal dock by 3 months from now? Or I could try 19 and do it too, between the 2 of us, hopefully, we 20 can. 21 MS. BROERING: It may actually be 22 helpful to have a fresh set of eyes. 23 CHAIR YOUNG: Okay, well, the, 24 that's pretty fresh to me at this point, but I'll 25 look at it. I'll try to figure it out. Okay. So

1 for the next meeting, pretty much the entire agenda is going to be reviewing the changes in 2 3 the new designation manual and making 4 recommendations. If we have to make new edits, 5 we'll recommend those and if not, theoretically, 6 it could be approved. I think we should try to get this comparison to the new optimal dock done at the next meeting. Otherwise, it'll be 9 8 9 months, right? So there'll be another 3 months 10 before we can do that, and then another 3 months 11 before it could go up to the board, right? So 12 it'd be 9 months. So we should probably try our 13 best to take a shot at it next time. 14 MS. CARTER: So, before we go any 15 further, I just wanted to say that all these 16 little devices on the table are recording 17 devices. They are alive. Please announce your 18 name before you speak and do not turn them off. 19 The company will come in and turn those off at 20 the end of the meeting. 21 CHAIR YOUNG: All right. 22 MS. JEFFERS: I asked the program 23 managers. I did not want to speak for the 24 committee commitment. I'm sorry, this is Tracey 25 Jeffers, I currently am sitting at the level of 3

```
representatives of this committee. And I am no
 1
   longer with a level 3, I'm now at a level 2. And
 2
 3
   that's there that I can keep that seat. So we
 4
   will need a new member to be brought forward for
 5
   my level 3 position.
 6
                   CHAIR YOUNG: But you will still be
 7
   the TPM?
 8
                   MS. JEFFERS:
                                 I will be the TPM.
 9
                   CHAIR YOUNG: Okay. So, do we have
10
   a quorum? So the recommendation and has already
11
   been asked is that Mark Dave from Virginia Beach
12
   fills that spot? If we have a quorum, are there
13
   any other nominations to fill the spot? Looks
14
   like there are 7.
15
                   MS. CARTER: One. Two. Three. Four.
16
   Five. Six, and you're seven?
17
                   CHAIR YOUNG: Okay. Does anyone
18
   else have any other nominations for that Level 3
19
   TPM spot? Okay, closing nominations. All in favor
20
   of Mark Day being appointed?
21
                   COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
                                       Aye.
22
                   CHAIR YOUNG:
                                 Any opposed?
23
   (WHEREUPON, no response.)
24
                   CHAIR YOUNG:
                                 All right? Give him
25
   the congratulatory text. Make sure he comes to
```



```
1
   the meetings. Alright. So we decided that that
   will be the cornerstone of the next meeting.
 2
 3
   We'll have the recommended changes beforehand.
 4
   And we'll hash it out at the next meeting. The
 5
   next thing that, yes, I'm sorry.
 6
                   COMMITTEE MEMBER: If you're going
 7
   to send this out, does that mean that we just
   review it, and then don't do anything with it
 8
 9
   until, but I could still talk with like, who's
10
   gonna send it out? I guess? What person could I
11
   talk to? The person who actually sends out the
12
   actual document, correct?
13
                   MS. CARTER: Tracey has taken on
14
   the task of sending that out to the committee.
15
                   CHAIR YOUNG: And that's a
16
   subgroup.
17
                   COMMITTEE MEMBER: I'll be able to
18
   correspond with you?
19
                   MS. JEFFERS: Yes.
20
                   COMMITTEE MEMBER: Okav.
21
                   CHAIR YOUNG: Just don't hit reply
22
   all.
23
                   MS. JEFFERS: Yeah. No "reply all."
                   CHAIR YOUNG: The next thing I just
24
25
   wanted to bring up was making an official Vice-
```

1 Chair of the committee. There is no official 2 Vice-Chair. Correct? 3 MS. CARTER: There was one recorded 4 but I don't think that he's ever been to a 5 meeting. So, yes, we can go on. CHAIR YOUNG: Terral, are you 6 7 willing to? 8 DR. GOODE: Yes. 9 CHAIR YOUNG: Okay. Any other 10 nominations? All right. All in favor of Dr. Goode 11 being the Vice-Chair of this committee say aye. 12 **COMMITTEE MEMBERS:** Aye. 13 CHAIR YOUNG: Any opposed? Get stuff done. All right. 14 15 MS. CARTER: That's what happens 16 when you have a quorum. 17 CHAIR YOUNG: So the next thing 18 that we have somewhat put on the backburner with 19 this designation manual dance has been the whole 20 question of the "dual verified centers" and what 21 we should do about that. So if people think we 22 can make some progress discussing that today, we 23 haven't discussed it in probably a year. Happy to 24 talk about it. Does anybody remember where we 25 were, we were really just spitballing at that

1 point? Correct? We're talking about whether it could be a combined visit, we were talking about 2 3 whether it should be within a certain period and 4 several other TPM said having a state and an ACS 5 visit within a constrained amount of time could 6 be very, very difficult. It was very, very difficult. And since you have a gestalt of what the new designation manual is, will that make it 8 9 any easier? To have them close to get one? Okay. 10 So I'm not sure how much farther, 11 does anybody have proposals on how far we can go with that? I know some of us in the ACS centers 12 13 would like to just have one visit, but that's 14 probably not going to happen. Does anyone have 15 any proposals on what to do? Terral? 16 DR. GOODE: For clarification. 17 When you say not close together, does that mean 18 that the state comes in very similar after ACS 19 comes? That's difficult for our combined visit in 20 general, doesn't seem feasible. 21 MS. JEFFERS: I think there were 2 22 questions on the table. One of those was can we 2.3 combine them? That was one question. And then the 24 other question is if you cannot do that, can we



make them close together?

25

CHAIR YOUNG: And I think people 1 2 said they'd rather combine. 3 MS. JEFFERS: With being combined, 4 I think that goes back to the office of BMS, and 5 their decision so that if you're not spinning 6 your wheels and wasting your time or not going, sorry this is Tracey, maybe we go to Gary. 8 CHAIRMAN YOUNG: To what? Go to 9 what? 10 MS. JEFFERS: Go to Gary. And say 11 what are the probability possibility of this 12 occurring, so that this committee could then 13 embark on the task, other than that. 14 CHAIR YOUNG: So having done a lot 15 of state visits on a college visit, I think the 16 main difficulty is the chart poll, right? College 17 is not going to adopt the state's chart poll 18 requirements. But if the state could adopt the 19 method by which the college does it, I don't 20 think there's a whole lot, I just kind of been 21 visiting Colorado and visiting other states. 22 Basically, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, 23 North Carolina, Colorado, and Florida, all have 24 an OEMS rep that is with the team. So the Office 25 of EMS is with the college team. They attend all

Acute Care Committee May 5, 2022 CCR#17231-1 P

the meetings together. And often, they will have their separate criteria on a separate sheet.

And they have the ACS Senior Reviewer affirm that they've met all the state criteria. So I'm just saying ways it's done in other states. And that is the most common. Well, the most common way is they just accept the ACSs. But it is known in other places that you have

But it is known in other places that you have both teams there, you do your best to try to make it so there's as much overlap as possible. And then there's a discussion between the OEMs. And it is possible in certain states that they would not meet state criteria, or ACS or vice versa, I've seen that happen. So I go with what you're saying. Let's ask Gary what's possible.

MS. JEFFERS: Yeah.

CHAIR YOUNG: If it is possible to somehow figure out a method to combine them, then the committee can work on the process to combine them.

MS. BROERING: I think the question was what does good look like that is optimal for the state to ensure that a hospital has met the standard or the minimum standards that are in place to provide optimal trauma care for their



1 population and that their data or their PI process, etcetera. So what does that look like? 2 3 What does good look like for the state for a 4 hospital that also has gone through ACS 5 verification? And how do we meet that in the middle to make it efficient and effective for the 6 hospital and hospital personnel to be able to meet those needs? 8 9 CHAIR YOUNG: Yeah, I think the 10 biggest, well, the first thing is to ask Gary. 11 Second, the biggest obstacle is really seeing 12 what needs to be seen, what is really markedly 13 different between the two. And as far as my memory, the chart poll is markedly different. 14 15 Because you only poll 25 charts for the college. 16 MS. CARTER: And the ACS is also 17 doing a virtual review versus us doing an in-18 person review and I don't think that we really 19 have any, there's been no intentionality on the 20 part of the office to even consider virtual 21 reviews at this point. 22 CHAIR YOUNG: It was a smaller area 23 to cover. No, that's a good point. I'm not sure 24 that could be combined. If that's the case. 25 MS. BROERING: Well, I mean, the

1 review is a process, it's a process of validating the Physical Review, whether it's virtual or in-2 3 person, and only a process is being done to 4 validate the standards are being met. And care is 5 being provided in the best way. And that's the 6 methodology virtual versus in-person or whatever. 7 I guess the question is, are the standards being met? And how can they be verified? And how can 8 that be verified in the best way that does not 9 10 put a huge burden on the trauma center? 11 CHAIR YOUNG: All right, so let's... 12 13 MS. BROERING: Because right now, 14 for those Trauma Centers, who are both ACS and 15 state designated or verified, regardless of what 16 level, the burden is on the personnel of the trauma center to do the work, right? I mean, it's 17 18 incredibly... 19 CHAIR YOUNG: So the first step 20 might be to make sure that we just don't have two 21 completely different manuals, which it sounds 22 like we probably do not, correct? So, there are 2.3 lots of ideas on how to do it. If we stick with 24 face-to-face in the state, the college doesn't 25 care. So the state visits usually only take 7, or

1 8 hours in general anyway if that. As long as the chart poll was the same, and you kind of did your 2 best to not have to do all kinds of different 3 4 things with paperwork, the state could have a 5 face-to-face the day before the college. I would 6 be perfectly willing to have that as long as it wasn't two completely separate amounts of work 8 that you had to put together, the state could 9 come in person the day before the college could 10 do it virtually. The day and a half after it 11 would still only be probably 48 hours. It's a 12 half-day on one, a full day, and then a half-day. 13 So that would address several other things. We just don't want to do that if the state is going 14 15 to require a whole different amount of work. 16 MS. BROERING: Well, there is that 17 onus, I mean, again, somebody else speak up, 18 please. 19 MS. CARTER: This is Beth 20 speaking. 21 MS. BROERING: They are completely 22 separate applications and the amount of work and 23 the data poll for the ACS application and the 24 types of data that are submitted for an ACS 25 application. And then what is submitted for the

```
state is quite different as well. There are
 1
   aspects of the state application like the
 2
 3
   question describing your trauma service structure
 4
   and describing your coverage of attending
 5
   surgeons and staff. You can copy and paste that
 6
   kind of question or answer some of those
   questions or describe your injury prevention. But
   there are very unique data aspects for college...
 8
                   CHAIR YOUNG: Is the state
 9
10
   designation manual application codified in the,
11
   is the application codified in the designation
12
   manual?
13
                   MS. BROERING: No.
14
                   CHAIR YOUNG: So we could change
15
   the application?
16
                   MS. BROERING: You can change the
17
   application.
                   CHAIR YOUNG: So there are several
18
19
   steps here. I guess step one, I would imagine
20
   being what we're going to ....
21
                   MS. BROERING: I mean, I'm
22
   speaking, I'm probably speaking.
23
                   CHAIR YOUNG: Is it true?
24
                   MS. CARTER: I actually have plans
25
   to revise part of that application. And that is
```

1 driven by being on this side of things now, in terms of the amount of time that we have to 2 3 actually go do a review and the number of people 4 that we are able to take realistically to do it. 5 Right now I am very frequently going back to the 6 Trauma Program Managers and asking for additional documents that are not currently included in that listing of documents that we're supposed to 8 review. And to be blunt with you, as you 9 10 mentioned 6 or 7 hours, is almost an impossible 11 thing to do. There's an awful lot of work that 12 goes in on my part before we ever go to review to 13 make sure... 14 CHAIR YOUNG: No, but the actual 15 in-person review, you start in the morning and 16 you go home by 3:30. 17 MS. CARTER: Yeah, but what I'm 18 seeing is the application itself, I do have plans 19 to modify that to some degree to make sure that 20 we can fit that, that we get more documentation 21 on the front end in some respects. 22 CHAIR YOUNG: Yes. 23 COMMITTEE MEMBER: So, to your 24 knowledge, other states who have decided that 25 they want to align with ACS, have there been

other states who have had a representative from 1 ACS to help to guide this unification process? 2 3 'Cause, there's somebody on that side who has 4 seen this happen. CHAIR YOUNG: The only state that is completely outside ACS now is Pennsylvania. 6 Ohio does both. But I've visited 25 states. So 8 most states do not have better or anyone, is anyone else aware of this completely parallel 9 10 process? I'm not aware of it anywhere else. 11 MS. BROERING: There may be others 12 and it may be different today. But if I recall 13 correctly, in some of the original conversations, 14 4 or 5 years ago, even. At the time of the Trauma 15 System Assessment, when the college was here for 16 the Trauma Systems Assessment, there was a 17 discussion about North Carolina being an example 18 that we could benchmark against where there are 19 big state and ACS level centers that have

CHAIR YOUNG: Yeah, so the way

North Carolina works is the EMS Medical Director

for the state, the Trauma Medical Director, who

has always been Mike Thomas, and the nurse

administrator, for them come to the visit with

somewhat of a combined visit.

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

the college team. They sit in a separate room, and they review those documents that are specific to Carolina. And I believe they just provide kind of a checklist, but the college report is the report that goes in. But that's exactly what we were saying. That whole team goes, they do their own examination. We just would have to do our best to make sure those two examinations are not wildly different, because there's really no reason for them to be wildly different. I mean, there are 400 trauma centers verified by the ACS.

I know people feel strongly that

I know people feel strongly that
we have certain things that we want to preserve
in the Virginia system, the college system is
pretty well put together. And the way that they
do the manuals, we've had this conversation
before. So, we're not going to get rid of the
Commonwealth system. I would think figuring out a
way that we can decrease the amount of work for
the centers would be best. I mean, the other is
just to make sure that they're off cycle. But
that's even worse because then you're preparing
for a visit every 18 months. Well, let other
people talk.

It just seems to me that bending



over backward to try to preserve two completely independent systems is not consistent with what the rest of the country is doing. If we want to live free and die and just say that's what we're going to do here, that's fine, but it's not 6 consistent with what the rest of the country is doing. And I think we would have to show that there's value for us being one of the few states in the country that doesn't do it that way.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE MEMBER: Yeah, I think a lot of it makes a lot of sense. There's a lot of aspiration for centers who want to do with that to give both verifications. No, as you say, do it in an off-cycle way, that's terrible.

CHAIR YOUNG: That's worse.

COMMITTEE MEMBER: I can't, I want to throw myself on the track or something. I would need to have it so that it is, very much like you said, it's either a day, three-day combined day visit. And if there's a path forward, it's already been forged. If it's somebody who already blazed this trail, I think maybe our time is better served to not the kind of reinvent the wheel again and see how is this successfully implemented. Yes. Your point is that maybe not a lot we're doing quite this way. If that's the case, are we trying to blaze this trail? And really, there's an easier way to do it.

1

2

3

4

5 CHAIR YOUNG: Yeah, I mean, the one 6 thing that we've said from the beginning is we would not require, there are states, Illinois, a 8 bunch of states require you to be visited by the 9 ACS. I don't think we would ever do that. I don't 10 think that's what the Commonwealth would want. 11 And if the center doesn't want the ACS coming, 12 they should be perfect. So we still have to 13 preserve some sort of state site visiting process, because not everybody's going to do the 14 15 ACS, right? So that's number one. I don't know. 16 Correct me if I'm wrong, but I think it's 17 unlikely Virginia would just put into their code 18 like the other states have that the ACS has their 19 verification system. So bearing that in mind, we 20 would have to have a separate parallel ability to 21 do site visits independent of the ACS, right? But 22 as we've seen over the past 10 years, that number 23 of places has dropped smaller and smaller, as 24 most places have gotten all the level ones or ACS 25 now. And I don't know how many of the level twos.

1 MS. CARTER: Not all. 2 COMMITTEE MEMBER: No, not all of 3 them. 4 CHAIR YOUNG: They're getting 5 visited this, which one? 6 COMMITTEE MEMBER: Norfolk General. 7 CHAIR YOUNG: I thought they just 8 got visited. 9 MS. CARTER: No. They, no. 10 CHAIR YOUNG: Okay, we won't talk 11 about it. Anyway, so I think, number one, we 12 still have to preserve some part of the 13 Commonwealth site visit system, because number 14 one, not everybody's gonna want to have the ACS 15 come in. Number 2 is simple, I think. We should 16 look at what really brings value that needs to be 17 continued to be preserved in the Commonwealth 18 system that is not just bringing extra work 19 without bringing value. 20 COMMITTEE MEMBER: That was my next 21 question you brought up that was very interesting 22 is that there are certain aspects of the current 2.3 Commonwealth system that people really care a lot 24 about. I don't know that that's something that's 25 really well-publicized. Is that something that

only people in the know, know? Or what? It would be nice to have that somehow so that, if it is 3 something that can be preserved that doesn't negatively impact the ACS sytem, then it can 5 remain in that and it wouldn't be an issue versus 6 something that is contradictory to what ACS is doing. And so how do we have to align those particular line items to fit the ACS model. 9 You're right, I think to do that, you're gonna be well-positioned to move forward much more successfully.

1

2

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR YOUNG: Yeah, and we want to appreciate the work of TPM because this has been a completely moving target as to what we're going to do here. Made worse by the fact that we have met twice in 3 years. But what I remember from the conversations around this room was nursing education was a big difference. And that's easy to preserve. I think if they see a senator is like if you said okay, we'll make you do that. That sounds great. I mean Nursing Education was the thing that was, CME was a big difference and we changed that. I don't want to put anyone on the spot, that's the one thing that struck me that was people consistently brought up that the

1 requirements for nursing education were higher in the commonwealth, right? And wanted to preserve 2 3 that. All right, so the people, this is a 4 conversation we've had before. Do people have 5 suggestions of what we should do? Yes. 6 MR. TAYLOR: This is Dallas Taylor. 7 I would probably just make sure that the state has no issue with the virtual piece that Beth 8 There are some big differences in 9 alludes to. 10 how we refer to ACS versus the state. That 11 virtual view, the tour and all that, and how good 12 the charts. Make sure that's what we meet, and 13 how they want to do the charts. 14 CHAIR YOUNG: Yeah, I think they 15 were saying they would never want a virtual. 16 MR. TAYLOR: But about the chart 17 review, so like are we saying that when the HCS reviewers review the charts and whatever feedback 18 19 they had, they would go along with that or ... 20 CHAIR YOUNG: I think that one has 21 to be figured out. 22 MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. 23 MS. BROERING: I think the 24 challenge, and, I'm sorry, I interrupted you 25 Kathy, so go ahead. I think the challenge, at

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

least I can speak for myself, but others can speak up. It is incredibly difficult on a day-today basis for program managers to say, to group some individuals, whether it's nurses or 5 physicians, or hospital administration. "Well, we 6 have to do this, but then we have to do this." Well, who do you have to do what for? And then so, CME was one of them, especially if you are in an opposite cycle. Like, I have been, until I'm more closely aligned now. But it's like, we have to meet for this, we have to do this for this. 12 And then, you're constantly bantering people for 13 additional documents. You're asking for a neurosurgeon, you're asking for this, you're 15 asking for a different report.

So, in being a good steward to our Trauma Center and then the people that we work with on a day-to-day basis and that organizational leaders and administrators across the visions of departments and services, you want to be able to have clear communication, you want to have accurate communication and you want to make it so that it's easy for your teams to be able to meet those standards as well. Because they're the ones that are meeting the standards,



not you, not me. I'm just the messenger a lot of times, and then, the messenger of, saying can you provide me this, whether it's staffing ratios, or CME, or reports to IR, whatever that is, you're the messenger and you're helping them, helping the center.

And so, the more those messages can be timely consistent and then, because there's reporting for lots of other things, like scrubs and other things, so we want to be good stewards of that for our hospitals. And that's what I think a lot, that's to me what I'm asking for is let's make it work so that we're not individually working very hard twice...

CHAIR YOUNG: And that would be okay if there's a lot of value in it. But we have determined there's a lot of value in it.

MS. BROERING: Right.

COMMITTEE MEMBER: And also, as you said about the inconsistency, it does, it eludes credibility quite often if you say we need to do this, and then I come back 3 or 4 months later, I'm like, "I thought I need to do this but...

CHAIR YOUNG: That works very very

poorly to do that.



1 MS. BROERING: Yeah. 2 CHAIR YOUNG: Let's see, what would 3 be the possibility that we could present the 4 advisory board with a total package? Like a total 5 package of not only a revised designation manual but an administrative document and site visits to 6 7 get voted on all at once 8 MS. CARTER: It's a lot of information and does consider that ultimately, 9 10 even if it goes to the GAB, the final decisions 11 are with the Office of EMS, which is an advisory 12 committee as they are. 13 CHAIR YOUNG: So that's why we'd 14 have to talk to Gary. 15 MS. CARTER: Yes. 16 CHAIR YOUNG: So circling back, I 17 think at our next meeting, I think we have to 18 have a truly no BS assessment of what is the 19 critical differences between what is expected to 20 be the revised, Commonwealth document and the 21 current optimal resource documents. I think we 22 might be surprised that there aren't that many 2.3 differences. And then a lot of it is 24 administrative. A lot of it is the way the 25 application is set up. And a lot of it is the way

1 the documents are put together and the way the charts are put together. And if that is the only 2 3 difference, that does not seem to me to be a 4 sufficient reason to not try to create some 5 synergy between the 2 processes. So can we try to 6 do that? If you send me the document, I'm happy 7 to go through the chapters and look at what the 8 differences are. 9 MR. TAYLOR: This is Dallas. T']] 10 tell you, there are some service line differences 11 that the ACS requires you to have versus what ... 12 CHAIR YOUNG: Like which? 13 MR. TAYLOR: Like your level twos, 14 for example, State Bar and ACS, for example, and 15 there's a lot of stuff on that. 16 CHAIR YOUNG: Yeah, I think stuff 17 like that's easy. 18 MR. TAYLOR: But then there's a 19 quality matrix that ACS looks at, the states make 20 you look at ACS. That's the main thing. There is 21 some quality stuff that's stated in front. 22 CHAIR YOUNG: Oh, you mean time antibiotic administration time to femur fracture 23 24 excision? Yeah. So if that's all the differences 25 there are, I would think that's surmountable,

that we just wouldn't have that in the state part, that would just be an extra thing.

requires. Most of us are both. I mean, you're never gonna have the state circumvent the ACS. So if there's some of that quality data poll, now you do know also the ACS, none of those are criteria. There are no criteria for 80% femur fracture within 24 hours. There are no criteria for antibiotics within an hour. You can't fail that. So it's just information. Which is just another way we could say it. Cathy, do you have anything?

MS. PETERSON: In addition, a process that if you were ACS center, that even went through the head and abbreviated on-site state, nailed the areas. And so if you're not ACS, you don't think that because we have another area that's very different, just the PI area, because we, from a state, wanted to utilize their state data to drive PI needs within our state, but we've really honestly never really gotten there yet.

CHAIR YOUNG: And T quips a million



times more advanced.

2.3

MS. PETERSON: And so I think personally, I think the area that's the most robust with the state stamina, or the nursing education piece, other than that, I don't think there's a huge difference.

CHAIR YOUNG: I mean, what you just said is how I imagined it would look that we would for those places that want to do the state would have the state for those places want to do both, they would go through their ACs process, and there would be an additional process in person or whatever, to go over those things and that we're different. And make sure that those are verified.

COMMITTEE MEMBER: I mean, I think, from a workflow standpoint would be advantageous. I mean, I know that COVID's kind of thrown a monkey wrench backlog, and create opportunities to come to do full state visit to each one of these centers. It's already a huge mountain to climb as it is, now being able to decrease some of that would be raised.



CHAIR YOUNG: I don't know who

either knows the ACS process very well. But I

think the PRQ application process is much easier and much more straightforward. And so I think we'd have to be open to looking at the application process for the state and preserving what needs to be preserved, but not stubbornly keeping stuff in there, just because it's been in there for 10 years. MS. BROERING: Yeah, I think I

mean, I think the one thing that Mindy alluded to is we the capabilities, the standards are one thing, do you meet the standards, and then the interpretive guidance of how do you look at a center to meet that standard? And maybe some additional tweaking to that. I mean, I think the trauma program managers with the work teams or groups did a great job with that. I think my suggestion would be the capabilities document and the actual application for the state is sort of the combination of what ACS PRQ is. So, somebody else please chime in, besides me.

CHAIR YOUNG: No, I think it is

22 | ...

MS. BROERING: So I think, again, one more step is to work closely to align that information so that the burden is removed from



the trauma program managers and those individuals
that are having to write the applications and get
the information or write a report. So the more we
can align, the easier it becomes for the center
to do the work to meet those, to meet and be
successful, and help the reviewers be really
successful and happy with what is provided to
them. I'm comfortable with that.

CHAIR YOUNG: I don't know how many people who are uncomfortable with the college process actually know the college process, have actually gone through a PRQ or seen what the output of a PRQ looks like. So, I'm happy to provide that. I'm happy to provide our PRQ. And people can look at it and like, "Oh, wow, there's really nothing more that we need in this. There may be more in it than we need regarding the metrics, but those metrics aren't horrible to know how many femur fractures you're fixing in 24 hours, et cetera. All right, so first of all, am I allowed to talk to Gary outside of this meeting?

MS. CARTER: You can talk to anybody you like outside this meeting.

CHAIR YOUNG: I still don't



1 understand all these rules. 2 MS. CARTER: I'm not the thought 3 police. 4 CHAIR YOUNG: So why don't you send 5 out what you have, like your final draft of what 6 the revisions are. And I will take it from there, or if anybody wants to help me, I have the Gradebook at home. And I'll try to go through it 8 9 section by section and see what the differences 10 are. And then we'd have to deal with the 11 application. I'm sorry, Tracey. 12 COMMITTEE MEMBER: Oh, I was gonna 13 ask if you were going to ask for some others to 14 help with, like, how would you, and we can talk 15 about it offline if you want, but how would you 16 divide up that work? 17 MS. JEFFERS: So that's... 18 CHAIR YOUNG: I would just divide 19 the book in half. 20 MS. JEFFERS: This is Tracev 21 Jeffers, by the way. The trauma program managers 22 will meet at 4:30. We are the group that has 2.3 painstakingly gone through this manual, and 24 meetings and I looked at both. When we first 25 started, we compared ACS to the current manual

1 that we had. And we decided we needed to change our manuals that we did that work, then we looked 2 3 at all the paperwork and went with [in 4 capabilities. And so all of those things have 5 been talked about, looked at, changed over. But I don't think we've looked at it as a whole. And to 6 have just gotten the gray book to live our lives 8 by, so I think we need some time with that. And 9 to kind of absorb that. So I think handing over a 10 document that we think might be a good thing, I 11 don't think that that's going to move in one in 12 this group, or it's gonna get anything done any 13 faster.

CHAIR YOUNG: So that's another 3 months?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. JEFFERS: The trauma program managers have worked on this. I would like to defer to that meeting. So that we can hash these things out on how we should present this, we would love to have you as of 4:30 here, if you can attend with us. And we can work as a workgroup and separate into some workgroups so that we're not attacking each other with our people. So I would defer that. And also, before we do all of this work once again, we have

earnest and honest conversations with the Office 1 2 of EMS, so that we understand why-3 CHAIR YOUNG: Well, I will talk to 4 them about what is possible. But the concept of 5 sunk costs, if things that we worked on 3 years 6 ago simply are not optimal and not relevant now, 7 they should be discarded. Even if they took a 8 whole lot of work, and we really appreciate that 9 work. But that's the way it works. 10 MS. JEFFERS: Well, I think the 11 designation manual along with all the company 12 documents definitely needs to be looked at and 13 worked over. And we have definitely given its due 14 diligence. So I think that's something that... 15 CHAIR YOUNG: So you all may decide 16 that we can see a draft and you may all decide we 17 can't see a draft. 18 MS. JEFFERS: You can see the 19 draft. I'm plugging the information ... 20 That's the definition MS. CARTER: 21 of a draft. 22 MS. JEFFERS: ... right now. 23 CHAIR YOUNG: Whatever most recent 24 document is on their computer. MS. BROERING: I agree with what 25



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Tracey says and I said it before. The trauma program managers worked incredibly hard over the last year to kind of go standard by standard to say, does this make sense? Should it be updated? How should it be changed? And I think we were thoughtful and methodical. We have not said okay, what we said should be there compared to what the grade book says. And we all said from the very beginning because we talked about it several times, on multiple occasions during the meetings, we're going to have to see what the gray book says. It could be subject to change once the gray book comes out, you know, this standard may have to change or be augmented. So I do think that we are, we should do that. But the standards and the process are two different things, two completely separate things, they are very interconnected. But meeting the standards is one thing, and the process of verifying that we meet standards is another and that's where I have to make sure that we're sort of separating that to a certain extent that how do we do a process in the state, and then these are the standards. So I don't think that we should mix them up until...



CHAIR YOUNG: This is Jeff.

1 Unfortunately, it's somewhat algorithmic, because if the two sets of standards are going to be 2 wildly different, there's probably not any reason 3 4 to go any farther with doing anything about the 5 process. So I think the first thing is to see, are they reasonably similar, and I'll ask Gary 6 what is within his comfort zone of what we could do? Oh, look, who's here. I may talk to you in 10 8 9 minutes if I can. And so like, if they're 10 reasonably similar, but we've preserved what we 11 want from the Commonwealth if that's the case, 12 and I think we can pursue the process, right? Do 13 you do we all think that we'd have to have the entire designation manual up through all of the 14 15 levels before we even talked about the process? 16 MS. JEFFERS: For that task to work 17 for the trauma program manager workgroup. So at 18 any point, you wanted to see where we were, or 19 are we staying with the documents we've been 20 working on? They're yours to look at? That's not 21 a problem at all. So I mean, I will send you what 22 we have so that you have an idea of what's been 23 said and done, I don't think that we have any 24 problem with that as a group. Because this 25 committee asked for it.



```
CHAIR YOUNG: I guess. So. I'm just
 1
 2
   getting a little confused. So then what was the
 3
   concern that you brought up? That just we don't
 4
   interpret it as being in its final form?
 5
                   MS. JEFFERS:
                                  The concern is it's
 6
   not ready to hand over the state, because the
 7
   gray book came out ...
 8
                   CHAIR YOUNG: Okay. No, I don't
 9
   think anybody thought that was the case.
10
                   MS. JEFFERS:
                                  Now. As I said, you
11
   tasked us with that anytime this committee,
12
   anyone else really wanted to see those documents
   that were available, or that weren't conveyed?
13
14
                   CHAIR YOUNG: Well, we don't really
15
   have a Dropbox site.
16
                   MS. JEFFERS:
                                  You can E-mail
17
   directly.
                   CHAIR YOUNG: But there was some
18
19
   time in the past three years that we did do it,
20
   we put it on the screen. And we went through a
21
   variety of things. And that's where I got the
22
   nursing education bug in my head and that's when
2.3
   we determined CME.
24
                   COMMITTEE MEMBER: For the
25
   Gradebook. I guess I'm not expecting it to be
```

1	changed in bold in the book, but there's an
2	update that explains the differenc is between the
3	old and new.
4	CHAIR YOUNG: No, I got it. I can
5	give it to you. It's an education session that
6	the VRC puts out.
7	COMMITTEE MEMBER: That way you
8	don't need to comb the whole book.
9	CHAIR YOUNG: Right. But that's the
10	difference between the old optimal resources and
11	the new optimal resources, not the difference
12	between theirs and the optimal resource?
13	MS. PETERSON: We're most
14	concerned about our conflicting areas on the same
15	topic.
16	CHAIR YOUNG: Right. That should
17	only be preserved if there's a really good
18	reason.
19	MS. PETERSON: we in essence
20	have done that because we've done that, we just
21	didn't have the grade book. What's the next plan?
22	Yeah, the grade book came out.
23	CHAIR YOUNG: So you guys just want
24	to do that. I mean, I'm happy to just say I'm
25	happy to help. Terrell is happy to help. But do

1 you want that just to be the next step of what you do now that you can get the Gradebook off the 2 3 web whenever you want? Okay. 4 (WHEREUPON, simultaneous talking.) 5 CHAIR YOUNG: So if that somehow 6 gets done between now and the next meeting, and you feel comfortable sending it to the committee 8 after you've done that part, then you can send 9 it. If not, we can just discuss it in the next 10 meeting. 11 MS. JEFFERS: I feel very confident 12 about what we have done. 13 CHAIR YOUNG: Okay. 14 MS. JEFFERS: We meet monthly and 15 sometimes every two weeks to get this done. 16 CHAIR YOUNG: Okay. I think we've 17 beaten that enough. So then, really, the only 18 other thing on the agenda was the combining of 19 the visits for dually verified centers, and I 20 have to talk to Gary Brown about what's possible. 21 But from the committee if I'm not misinterpreting 22 them, one thing we don't want is a site visit by 23 someone every 18 months, right? That would be 24 sub-optimal. And we would want as best we can 25 that the preparation for both visits there could

certainly be different, but they should try to be as synergistic as possible. And that we have to preserve a genuine designation system because everybody will want to be in the ACS. So all of that infrastructure still needs to be in place. I just want to say about the application. I did a site visit in Florida. They have a 1500-page Trauma Center document that they put together. It has pictures of every room in it. And it was the dumbest thing I've ever seen.

So we just don't need to go down that rabbit hole. I mean, I think we could take a real honest look at what the documents are required in the grade book, like what documents are not required in the grade book that the state would require, and just not perpetuate something that may be incredibly difficult for you to deal with. And asking for more papers and asking more stuff. The other thing that several of us would gladly be able to share privately is the way the administrative documents are set up for the college because they are very prescriptive about what needs to be in each folder. And that may address many of your concerns.

So I think there's still a little



1 bit of a knowledge gap between what's in the two ACS process and what's now in the upcoming 2 3 Virginia process. So I think we just need to 4 close that gap. And then we can move on. Anything 5 else on that? 15 minutes. Anybody? All right. New business. Please don't bring anything up. No, I'm 6 just kidding. Any new business? Did we vote on everybody. So Mark Day, we voted on Vice-Chair. 8 9 All right. Was there anything else? One other 10 thing? 11 MS. CARTER: Well, there are still 12 a couple of gaps there. So we're gonna have to 13 identify just some replacements. 14 CHAIR YOUNG: Was that the other 15 one that we had to? The pediatrics? Or did we 16 settle it? 17 MS. CARTER: We haven't settled 18 pediatrics. And that's okay. We can wait till 19 next time to do that. 20 CHAIR YOUNG: No. Well, I mean, 21 we'd haven't asked anybody if they want to do it 22 yet. Right? 23 MS. CARTER: I think there's also 24 the other thing that we need to talk about is, 25 that it doesn't have to be the day but at some

point, there are some folks who do have seats on 1 2 the current committee that has actually never been to a meeting or have very sporadically even 3 4 before. 5 CHAIR YOUNG: Do we have any regs 6 about that at all? If you don't attend three meetings in a row or something. 8 MS. CARTER: I don't think that's 9 in the bylaws, Gary, but I think we certainly 10 have the ability going forward to make those 11 changes. 12 CHAIR YOUNG: Okay. The other 13 spitball something, if you don't come to a meeting for an entire year, you can be removed 14 15 from the committee. That's four meetings, right. 16 MR. BROWN: The Advisory Board 17 members, if you've missed two unexcused meetings 18 in a row, we would contact the organization that 19 you wish to represent on the board and notify 20 them of your absenteeism. And maybe it is up to 21 that organization what action they will take. 22 CHAIR YOUNG: Okay, what do people 23 think. I don't want to throw something out there. What do people think is reasonable? Three 24 25 meetings in a row. We can't do 12 months, because

1 COVID may come back again. So we'd have to say four meetings or three meetings. 2 3 COMMITTEE MEMBER: I think three 4 meetings are reasonable. 5 CHAIR YOUNG: In a row or not? I 6 mean, after three in a row or three out of five 7 or something. 8 MS. CARTER: I think that's 9 something we can consider next time. After three 10 meetings, you've conducted three months' worth of 11 business and they haven't been there. And if 12 you're trying to preserve a quorum, I think that 13 we need to maybe think a little less than three. 14 CHAIR YOUNG: Okay. Go ahead Val. 15 Val Quick University of Virginia. 16 MS. QUICK: One of the things that 17 we've been tasked to do with the government 18 advisory board is we have a Bylaws Committee or 19 bylaws workgroup. And we have a compensation 20 workgroup. And one of the things that we actually 21 are looking at specifically are committee 22 members, but have they been there, what the 23 relevancy of each one of the committees are? Is 24 there any overlap? And how do we make it more 25 efficient? And I think that what we probably need

1 to do is it needs to be across the board. 2 CHAIR YOUNG: Right. I thought the 3 ACC can make their own rules for the kickoff of 4 the committee. 5 MS. CARTER: I think that's 6 appropriate. 7 CHAIR YOUNG: Paul was probably 8 gonna lead that. 9 MS. QUICK: So I think if we could, 10 there certainly needs to be expectations and 11 accountability. And I think that is definitely 12 something that we looked at and that is going to 13 be something that we're going to ask each of the 14 individual committees to report back to us, and 15 understanding that COVID has been here having 16 messed up that the attendance apart from this 17 part for really from 2021 forward, going back and 18 looking to make sure that we are consistent. 19 CHAIR YOUNG: So can you tell me 20 functionally how that would work like would Paul 21 say it and then has to be voted on by the tag or 22 like how would that even work? 2.3 COMMITTEE MEMBER: Well, right now 24 they will continue to get the products or 25 suggestions from the [inaudible] to workgroups.

Okay, that will come back to the executive committee. Upon review, the executive committee may take it back to the workgroups.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR YOUNG: Yeah, I mean, so it's a little different on the board, because there's no level three Trauma Center Group, kind of, we can kick it back to. So it would probably have to be your warned after X. And then if you miss the next one.

MR. BROWN: As Valerie says, We want to keep it consistent with the Fore Board and the standard including something that came up today and executive committees as well as tomorrow for what is an electronic participation policy. So in other words, allowing you to participate electronically; however, the board has to accept a policy first, so the department of the state, and then each committee would have to come up with their policy approved by the board within the guidelines that are provided. And there would be parameters. In other words, let's say you have four meetings a year. It's not to be that you can participate electronically four times, and you can only do it two times. It will be like, "Okay, in four meetings, you may

```
deal with over the participating group," one of
 1
 2
   those four electronically per year, which
 3
   means...
 4
                   CHAIR YOUNG: Well, it sounds like
 5
   you guys need to get that settled first.
 6
                   MR. BROWN: Yeah. Yes, there's a
 7
   lot to be done. But we want to be very
 8
   comprehensive in what we're doing.
 9
                   CHAIR YOUNG: So yeah, no, I agree.
10
   I thought it would have been a bridge too far for
11
   us to actually make that rule. But good. What
12
   else did you have? Alright, anything else? All
13
   right. Thank you all.
14
   (WHEREUPON, the Meeting ended at 3:55 p.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CAPTION The foregoing matter was taken on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the matter be taken by the reporter and that the same be reduced to typewritten form.

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER AND SECURE ENCRYPTED SIGNATURE AND DELIVERY OF CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

I, CHERYL RENEE LANE, Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing matter was reported by stenographic and/or mechanical means, that same was reduced to written form, that the transcript prepared by me or under my direction, is a true and accurate record of same to the best of my knowledge and ability; that there is no relation nor employment by any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financial or otherwise interest in the action filed or its outcome.

This transcript and certificate have been digitally signed and securely delivered through our encryption server.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have here unto set my hand 2022. this 12TH day of May,

TECHNOLOGY COURT REPORTER AND INFORMATION MANAGER CHERYL RENEE LANE, CTR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24



COURT/REPORTERS INC.



CHERYL RENEE LANE

Court Reporter / Notary

Notary Registration Number: 7864242

My Commission Expires: 05/31/2024

	Acute Care Commi	ttee May 5, 2022 CCR#1	7231-1 Page 53
0	3:55 50:14	46:20	<pre>actually 5:7</pre>
05 4:4		absorb 37:9	8:21 11:11
	4	ACC 48:3	19:24 20:3
1	4 21:14		35:11 35:12
1 7:21	29:22	accept 15:7	46:2 47:20
10 24:22	4:30 36:22	49:17	50:11
34:7 40:8	37:20	accountabili	ACUTE 4:3
12 46:25	400 22:11	ty 48:11	addition
	48 18:11	accurate	32:15
15 45:5	40 10.11	28:22	additional
1500-page		across 28:19	20:6 28:13
44:7	5 21:14	48:1	33:12 34:14
18 22:23	21.11	ACs 8:3 13:4	address
43:23	6	13:12 13:18	18:13 44:24
	<u>6 20:10</u>	15:3 15:13	administrati
2		16:4 16:16	on 28:5
2 4:18 4:18	7	17:14 18:23	31:23
8:19 10:2	7 10:14	18:24 20:25	
13:21 25:15	17:25 20:10	21:2 21:6	administrati
31:5		21:19 22:11	ve 30:6
2021 48:17	8	24:9 24:11	30:24 44:21
2022 4:4	8 18:1	24:15 24:18	administrato
24 32:10	80 % 32:9	24:21 24:24	r 21:25
35:19	32.3	25:14 26:4	administrato
	9	26:6 26:8 27:10 31:11	rs 28:19
25 16:15 21:7	9 9:8 9:12	31:14 31:19	adopt 14:17
21:1		31:20 32:3	14:18
3	A	32:6 32:8	adults 5:12
3 8:15 8:18	abbreviated	32:16 32:19	
9:9 9:10	32:17	33:11 33:25	advanced
9:25 10:2	ability	34:19 36:25	33:1
10:5 10:18	24:20 46:10	44:4 45:2	advantageous
26:16 29:22	able 11:17	ACSs 15:7	33:17
37:14 38:5	16:7 20:4	action 46:21	advisory 4:1
3:00 4:5	28:21 28:24	actual 11:12	30:4 30:11
3:30 20:16	33:22 44:20	20:14 34:18	46:16 47:18
	absenteeism		affirm 15:4
	i .		



Page 54

against	9:17	applications	augmented
21:18	answer 19:6	18:22 35:2	39:14
agenda 9:2 43:18	antibiotic 31:23	appointed 10:20	available 41:13
ago 21:14 38:6	antibiotics 32:11	appreciate 26:13 38:8	aware 21:9 21:10
ahead 27:25 47:14	anybody 12:24 13:11	appropriate 48:6	awful 20:11 aye 10:21
algorithmic 40:1	35:24 36:7 41:9 45:5 45:21	approve 4:16 5:16	12:11 12:12 ——————————————————————————————————
align 20:25 26:7 34:24 35:4	anyone 10:17 13:14 21:8	approved 9:6 49:19 area 16:22	backburner
aligned 28:10	21:9 26:23 41:12	32:20 32:20 33:3	backlog 33:19
alive 9:17 allowed	anything 11:8 32:14 37:12 40:4	areas 6:13 32:18 42:14	backward 23:1
35:21 allowing	45:4 45:6 45:9 50:12	aren't 30:22 35:18	bantering 28:12
49:15	anytime	aspects 19:2	Bar 31:14
alluded 34:9	41:11	19:8 25:22	basically 4:16 14:22
alludes 27:9 already	anyway 4:16 18:1 25:11	aspiration 23:12	basis 28:3
10:10 23:21 23:22 33:21	anywhere 21:10	assessment 21:15 21:16 30:18	Beach 10:11
Alright 11:1 50:12	apart 48:16 application	attacking 37:23	bearing 24:19
am 9:25 10:1 20:5 35:20	18:23 18:25 19:2 19:10 19:11 19:15	attend 14:25 37:21 46:6	beaten 43:17 becomes 35:4
amount 13:5 18:15 18:22 20:2 22:19	19:17 19:25 19:17 19:25 20:18 30:25 34:1 34:4	attendance 48:16	beforehand 6:23 8:14 11:3
amounts 18:7	34:18 36:11 44:6	attending 19:4	beginning 24:6 39:9



	Acute Care Committee	e May 5, 2022 CCR#1	1/231-1 Page 55
behind 8:6	37:7 39:8	47:11	17:17 24:11
behooves	39:11 39:13	bylaws 46:9	28:17 29:6
7:22	41:7 42:1	47:18 47:19	32:16 34:13
	42:8 42:21		35:4 44:8
believe 22:3	42:22 44:14		49:6
benchmark	44:15	capabilities	centers
21:18	bridge 50:10	34:10 34:17	12:20 13:12
bending	bring 11:25	37:4	17:14 21:19
22:25	45:6	capability	22:11 22:20
besides		5:9	23:12 33:21
34:20	bringing		43:19
	25:18 25:19	care 4:3	certain 13:3
best 7:16	brings 25:16	15:25 17:4	15:12 22:13
9:13 15:9	Broering 5:1	17:25 25:23	25:22 39:21
17:5 17:9	7:20 7:21	Carolina	
18:3 22:8	8:21 15:21	14:23 21:17	certainly
22:20 43:24	16:25 17:13	21:22 22:3	44:1 46:9
Beth 7:20	18:16 18:21	CARTER 4:10	48:10
18:19 27:8	19:13 19:16	4:13 6:10	cetera 35:20
better 21:8	19:21 21:11	9:14 10:15	Chair 4:6
23:23	27:23 29:18	11:13 12:3	4:12 4:15
	30:1 34:8	12:15 16:16	5:4 5:14
biggest	34:23 38:25	18:19 19:24	
16:10 16:11	brought 10:4	20:17 25:1	6:7 6:12
bit 45:1	25:21 26:25	25:9 30:8	6:18 7:3
blaze 24:2	41:3	30:15 35:23	7:12 8:7
blazed 23:22		36:2 38:20	8:23 9:21
	Brown 43:20	45:11 45:17	
blunt 20:9	46:16 49:10 50:6	45:23 46:8	10:17 10:22
BMS 14:4		47:8 48:5	10:24 11:15
board 4:1	BS 30:18	case 16:24	11:21 11:24
9:11 30:4	bug 41:22	24:2 40:11	12:1 12:6
46:16 46:19	bunch 24:8	41:9	12:9 12:13
47:18 48:1		casual 4:7	12:17 14:1
49:5 49:11	burden 17:10		14:14 15:17
49:16 49:20	17:16 34:25	Cathy 32:13	16:9 16:22 17:11 17:19
bold 42:1	burn 5:11	Cause 21:3	19:9 19:14
	business	center 17:10	19:18 19:23
book 36:19	45:6 45:7		19.10 19.20



	Acute Care Committe	ee May 5, 2022 CCR#1	7231-1 Page 56
20:14 20:22	39:14	28:8 29:4	coming 24:11
21:5 21:21	changed 6:13	41:23	comment 7:2
23:15 24:5	26:23 37:5	code 24:17	commitment
25:4 25:7	39:5 42:1	codified	9:24
25:10 26:12 27:14 27:20	changes 7:18	19:10 19:11	
29:15 29:24	7:23 9:2		committee
30:2 30:13	11:3 46:11	college 4:22 7:15 14:15	4:3 5:16
30:16 31:12	chapters	14:16 14:19	5:17 5:24 5:24 6:5
31:16 31:22	31:7	14:25 16:15	6:11 7:10
32:25 33:7		17:24 18:5	8:8 9:24
33:24 34:21	chart 14:16	18:9 19:8	10:1 10:21
35:9 35:25	14:17 16:14 18:2 27:16	21:15 22:1	11:6 11:14
36:4 36:18		22:4 22:14	11:17 11:20
37:14 38:3	charts 16:15	35:10 35:11	12:1 12:11
38:15 38:23	27:12 27:13	44:22	12:12 14:12
39:25 41:1	27:18 31:2	Colorado	15:19 20:23
41:8 41:14	checklist	14:21 14:23	23:10 23:16
41:18 42:4	22:4	comb 42:8	25:2 25:6
42:9 42:16	chime 34:20		25:20 29:19
42:23 43:5	circling	combination	30:12 33:16
43:13 43:16	30:16	34:19	36:12 40:25
45:14 45:20 46:5 46:12		combine	41:11 41:24
46:22 47:5	circumvent	13:23 14:2	42:7 43:7
47:14 48:2	32:6	15:18 15:19	43:21 46:2 46:15 47:3
48:7 48:19	clarificatio	combined	47:18 47:21
49:4 50:4	n 13:16	13:2 13:19	48:4 48:23
50:9	clear 28:21	14:3 16:24	49:2 49:2
CHAIRMAN	climb 33:22	21:20 23:20	49:18
14:8	close 6:16	combining	committees
challenge	13:9 13:17	43:18	47:23 48:14
27:24 27:25	13:25 45:4	comes 10:25	49:13
27.24 27.25	13.23 13.1	13:18 13:19	4.5.0
		13:10 13:19	dommon 15.6
chance 6:13	closely	39:13	common 15:6
chance 6:13 change 6:14	28:10 34:24	39:13	15 : 7
change 6:14 6:17 6:21	28:10 34:24 closing	39:13 comfort 40:7	15:7 commonwealth
change 6:14 6:17 6:21 19:14 19:16	28:10 34:24	39:13 comfort 40:7 comfortable	15:7 commonwealth 4:20 22:18
change 6:14 6:17 6:21	28:10 34:24 closing	39:13 comfort 40:7	15:7 commonwealth



	Acute Care Commit	ttee May 5, 2022 CCR#1	7231-1 Page 57
27:2 30:20	44:24	conversation	15:13 32:9
40:11	conducted	s 21:13	32:9 32:10
communicatio	47:10	26:17 38:1	critical
n 28:21	confident	conveyed	30:19
28:22	43:11	41:13	crosstalk
company 9:19	conflicting	copy 6:15	4:24
38:11	42:14	19:5	crosswalk
compare 7:24	confused	cornerstone	7:6 8:17
8:1	41:2	11:2	current
compared	congratulato	correct 8:9	25:22 30:21
36:25 39:7	ry 10:25	11:12 12:2	36:25 46:2
comparison	consider	13:1 17:22	currently
9:7	16:20 30:9	24:16	9:25 20:7
compensation	47:9	correctly	cycle 22:21
47:19	consistent	21:13	28:9
completed	23:2 23:6	correspond	
5 : 22	29:8 48:18	11:18	D
completely	49:11	costs 38:5	Dallas 27:6 31:9
17:21 18:7	consistently	country 23:3	
18:21 21:6	26:25	23:6 23:9	dance 12:19
21:9 23:1	constantly	couple 45:12	data 16:1
26:14 39:16	28:12	cover 16:23	18:23 18:24
completion	constrained		19:8 32:7
5 : 8	13:5	coverage	32:22
comprehensiv	contact		Dave 10:11
e 50:8	46:18	COVID 47:1 48:15	day 10:20
computer	continue		18:5 18:9
38:24	48:24	COVID's	18:10 18:12
concept 38:4	continued	33:18	23:19 23:20 28:3 45:8
concern 41:3	25 : 17	create 31:4	45:25
41:5	contradictor	33:19	day-to 28:2
concerned	y 26:6	credibility	_
42:14	_	29:21	day-to-day
concerns	conversation 22:16 27:4	criteria	28:18
COHCETHS	22.10 27.4	15:2 15:5	deal 36:10



	Acute Care Commi	ttee May 5, 2022 CCR#1	17231-1 Page 58
44:17 50:1	38:11 40:14	41:17	44:14 44:21
decide 38:15	44:3	Director	done 4:25
38:16	determined	21:22 21:23	7:5 9:7
decided 11:1	29:17 41:23	discarded	12:14 14:14
20:24 37:1	devices 9:16	38:7	15:5 17:3
	9:17		37:12 40:23
decision		discretion	42:20 42:20
14:5	die 23:4	7:10	43:6 43:8
decisions	differenc	discuss 6:19	43:12 43:15
30:10	42:2	6:19 8:14	50 : 7
decrease	difference	43:9	Dr 12:8
22:19 33:22	26:18 26:22	discussed	12:10 13:16
defer 5:1	31:3 33:6	12:23	draft 7:25
37:18 37:24	42:10 42:11	discussing	36:5 38:16
definitely	differences	12:22	38:17 38:19
38:12 38:13	27:9 30:19	discussion	38:21
48:11	30:23 31:8	15:11 21:17	drive 32:22
definition	31:10 31:24	divide 36:16	driven 20:1
38:20	36:9	36:18	
	different		Dropbox
degree 20:19	16:13 16:14	dock 8:18	41:15
department	17:21 18:3	9:7	dropped
4:1 49:17	18:15 19:1	document	24:23
departments	21:12 22:9	4:23 6:2	dual 12:20
28:20	22:10 28:15	6:4 7:5	dually 43:19
describe	32:20 33:14 39:16 40:3	7:14 8:1	_
19:7	44:1 49:5	11:12 30:6 30:20 31:6	due 38:13
describing		34:17 37:10	dumbest
19:3 19:4	difficult	38:24 44:8	44:10
	13:6 13:7		during 39:10
designated	13:19 28:2 44:17	documentatio	
17:15		n 20:20	E
designation	difficulty	documents	earnest 38:1
4:20 5:6	14:16	20:7 20:8	easier 13:9
5:10 5:22	diligence	22:2 28:13	24:3 34:1
9:3 12:19	38:14	30:21 31:1	35 : 4
13:8 19:10 19:11 30:5	directly	38:12 40:19 41:12 44:13	easy 26:18
19:11 30:5	<u> </u>	41:12 44:13	



		, -,	
28:23 31:17	4:21	expecting	fills 10:12
edits 9:4	entire 9:1	41:25	final 5:25
education	40:14 46:14	explains	7:25 30:10
26:18 26:21	especially	42:2	36:5 41:4
27:1 33:5	28:8	extent 39:21	fine 23:5
41:22 42:5	essence	extra 25:18	first 4:25
effective	42:19	32:2	16:10 17:19
16:6	et 35:20	eyes 8:22	35:20 36:24
efficient	etcetera		40:5 49:17
16:6 47:25	16:2	F	50:5
either 23:19	everybody	face-to-face	fit 20:20
33:25	4:6 44:4	17:24 18:5	26:8
elected 5:2	45:8	fact 26:15	five 10:16
electronic	everybody's	fail 32:11	47:6
49:14	24:14 25:14	farther	fixing 35:19
electronical	everything	13:10 40:4	Florida
ly 49:16	5:8 5:16	faster 37:13	14:23 44:7
49:23 50:2	exactly 22:5	favor 10:19	folder 44:23
else 10:18	examination	12:10	folks 46:1
18:17 21:9	22:7	feasible	Fore 49:11
21:10 34:20 41:12 45:5	examinations	13:20	forged 23:21
45:9 50:12	22:8	feedback	form 41:4
50:12	example	27:18	forms 5:9
eludes 29:20	21:17 31:14	feel 22:12	
E-mail 41:16	31:14	43:7 43:11	forward 10:4 23:21 26:10
	excision	femur 31:23	46:10 48:17
embark 14:13	31:24	32:9 35:19	fracture
EMERGENCY	executive	figure 8:25	31:23 32:10
4:2	49:1 49:2	15 : 18	fractures
EMS 6:1	49:13	figured	35:19
14:25 21:22 30:11 38:2	expectations	27:21	free 23:4
	48:10	figuring	
ensure 15:23	expected	22:18	<pre>frequently 20:5</pre>
entailed	30:19	fill 10:13	ZU:3



	Acute Care Commit	ttee May 5, 2022 CCR#1	17231-1 Page 60
fresh 8:22	11:10 25:14	guys 42:23	36:14 42:25
8:24	26:9 32:6	50:5	42:25
front 4:11	36:12 37:12		helpful 8:22
20:21 31:21	45:12 48:8	Н	_
	Goode 12:8	half 4:18	helping 29:5
full 18:12 33:20	12:10 13:16	18:10 36:19	
	gotten 24:24	half-day	he's 12:4
functionally	32:23 37:7	18:12 18:12	higher 27:1
48:20	government	hand 41:6	highlight
	47:17		6 : 13
GAB 30:10		handing 37:9	hit 11:21
	grade 39:8	happen 13:14	
gap 45:1	42:21 42:22 44:14 44:15	15:14 21:4	hole 44:12
45:4		happens	home 20:16
gaps 45:12	Gradebook	12:15	36:8
Gary 14:7	36:8 41:25	happy 12:23	honest 38:1
14:10 15:15	43:2	31:6 35:7	44:13
16:10 30:14	gray 37:7	35:13 35:14	honestly
35:21 40:6	39:11 39:12	42:24 42:25	32:23
43:20 46:9	41:7	42 : 25	hopefully
general	great 26:21	hard 29:14	8:19
13:20 18:1	34:16	39:2	horrible
25:6	group 5:18	hash 11:4	35:18
genuine 44:3	6:25 28:3	37 : 18	
gestalt 13:7	36:22 37:12	haven't	hospital
	40:24 49:6	12:23 45:17	15:23 16:4 16:7 16:7
gets 43:6	50:1	45:21 47:11	28:5
getting 7:14	groups 34:16	having 13:4	
25:4 41:2	guess 11:10	14:14 35:2	hospitals
given 38:13	17:7 19:19	48:15	29:11
gladly 44:20	41:1 41:25	HCS 27:17	hour 32:11
God's 6:25	guidance		hours 18:1
	34:12	head 32:17	18:11 20:10
gone 5:8	guide 21:2	41:22	32:10 35:20
16:4 35:12		HEALTH 4:1	huge 17:10
36:23	guidelines	help 21:2	33:6 33:21
gonna 7:25	49:20	35:6 36:7	
İ	I	į	



24:25 31:13

	Acute Care Commi	ttee May 5, 2022 CCR#17	231-1 Page 61
	6:8 20:10	interesting	Jersey 14:22
I	inaudible	25:21	job 34:16
idea 40:22	48:25	interpret	-
ideas 17:23	include 8:2	41:4	K
identify	included	interpretive	Kathy 27:25
45:13	20:7	34:12	kick 49:7
I'11 5:1	including	interrupted	kickoff 48:3
8:24 8:25	49:12	27:24	kidding 45:7
11:17 31:9 36:8 40:6	inconsistenc	IR 7:19 29:4	kinds 18:3
	y 29:20	issue 6:11	
Illinois 24:7	incredibly	26:5 27:8	knowledge 20:24 45:1
	17:18 28:2	it'd 6:8	
I'm 7:25 9:24 10:2	39:2 44:17	9:12	known 15:8
11:5 13:10	independent	items 26:8	
15:5 16:23	23:2 24:21	it'll 4:7	last 4:8
19:21 19:22	individual	9:8	39:3
20:17 21:10	48:14	I've 15:14	later 7:8
24:16 27:24 28:9 29:1	individually	21:7 44:10	29:22
29:12 29:23	29:14		lead 48:8
31:6 35:8	individuals	J	leader 5:2
35:13 35:14	28:4 35:1	Jeff 39:25	leaders
36:2 36:11	information	Jeffers 5:5	28:19
38:19 41:1 41:25 42:24	30:9 32:12	5:17 5:21 6:6 6:16	least 6:14
42:24 43:21	34:25 35:3 38:19	6:24 7:9	28:1
45:6		9:22 9:25	less 47:13
imagine	infrastructu re 44:5	10:8 11:19	let's 6:18
19:19		11:23 13:21	15:15 17:12
imagined	injury 19:7	14:3 14:10	29:13 30:2
33:8	in-person	15:16 36:17 36:20 36:21	49:22
impact 26:4	17:6 20:15	37:16 38:10	level 7:21
implemented	intentionali	38:18 38:22	9:25 10:2
23:25	ty 16:19	40:16 41:5	10:2 10:5
impossible	interconnect	41:10 41:16	10:18 17:16 21:19 24:24
	ed 39:17	43:11 43:14	21:19 24:24



	Acute Care Committ	tee May 5, 2022 CCR#1	1/231-1 Page 62
49:6	manager	11:7 13:17	46:17 46:25
levels 8:3	40:17	16:25 17:17	
40:15	managers	18:17 19:21	
line 26:8	4:19 5:3	22:10 22:20	49:22 49:25
31:10	5:6 7:23	24:5 26:21	member 10:4
	9:23 20:6	31:22 32:5	11:6 11:17
listing 20:8	28:3 34:15	33:7 33:16	11:20 20:23
little 4:7	35:1 36:21	33:18 34:9	23:10 23:16
9:16 41:2	37:17 39:2	34:14 40:21	25:2 25:6
44:25 47:13	manual 4:21	42:24 44:12 45:20 47:6	25:20 29:19
49:5	5:6 5:23	49:4	33:16 36:12
live 23:4	9:3 12:19		41:24 42:7
37 : 7	13:8 19:10	means 50:3	47:3 48:23
lives 37:7	19:12 30:5	measures 8:2	members
	36:23 36:25	Medical 4:2	10:21 12:12
long 18:1	38:11 40:14	21:22 21:23	46:17 47:22
18:6	manuals	meet 15:13	memory 16:14
longer 10:2	17:21 22:16	16:5 16:8	mentioned
lot 7:18	37:2	27:12 28:11	20:10
14:14 14:20	Mark 10:11	28:24 34:11	
20:11 23:11	10:20 45:8	34:13 35:5	messages
23:11 23:11		35:5 36:22	29:7
24:1 25:23	markedly	39:19 43:14	messed 48:16
29:1 29:12	16:12 16:14	meeting 4:8	messenger
29:16 29:17	matrix 31:19	8:12 8:13	29:1 29:2
30:8 30:23	may 4:4 8:21	9:1 9:8	29:5
30:24 30:25	21:11 21:12	9:20 11:2	met 15:4
31:15 38:8	35:17 38:15	11:4 12:5	15:23 17:4
50:7	38:16 39:13	28:25 30:17	17:8 26:16
lots 17:23	40:8 44:17	35:22 35:24	
29:9	44:23 47:1	37:18 39:18	method 14:19 15:18
love 37:20	49:3 49:25	43:6 43:10	
	maybe 14:7	46:3 46:14	methodical
M	23:23 24:1	50:14	39:6
main 14:16	34:13 46:20	meetings	methodology
31:20	47:13	11:1 15:1	17:6
management	mean 6:18	36:24 39:10	metrics
5:18	7:18 8:9	46:7 46:15	35:18 35:18
	1		



	Acute Care Commit	tee May 5, 2022 CCR#	1/231-1 Page 63
middle 16:6	20:15	nurses 28:4	10:19 11:20
Mike 21:24	mountain	nursing	12:9 13:9
million	33:21	26:17 26:21	25:10 26:20
32:25	move 26:10	27:1 33:4	29:16 39:6
	37:11 45:4	41:22	41:8 43:3
mind 24:19			43:13 43:16 45:18 46:12
Mindy 34:9	moving 26:14	0	46:22 47:14
minimum	multiple	obstacle	49:1 49:25
15:24	39:10	16:11	
minutes 4:9	myself 23:17	obviously	old 42:3
8:11 40:9	28:1	6:9	42:10
45:5		occasions	ones 24:24
misinterpret	N	39:10	28:25
ing 43:21	nailed 32:18		on-site
	national 8:6	occurring 14:12	32:17
miss 49:8	negatively		onus 18:17
missed 46:17	26:4	OEMs 14:24	open 34:3
mix 39:24		15:11	
model 26:8	neurosurgeon 28:14	off-cycle	opportunitie
		23:14	s 33:19
modification	newly 5:2	office 4:2	opportunity
s 8:4	nice 26:2	6:1 14:4	8:17
modify 20:19	nigh 4:18	14:24 16:20	opposed
monkey 33:19	nominations	30:11 38:1	10:22 12:13
_	10:13 10:18	official	opposite
monthly 43:14	10:19 12:10	11:25 12:1	28:9
		offline	optimal 4:22
months 8:16	none 32:8	36:15	7:4 8:18
8:18 9:9	Norfolk 25:6	Oh 31:22	9:7 15:22
9:9 9:10 9:12 22:23	North 14:23	35:15 36:12	1 - 0 - 0 0 0 1
29:22 37:15	21:17 21:22	40:8	38:6 42:10
43:23 46:25	nothing		42:11 42:12
47:10	35 : 16	Ohio 21:7	organization
monumental	notify 46:19	okay 5:14	46:18 46:21
7:18	_	6:20 6:24	
	nuances 8:2	7:16 8:10	organization al 28:19
morning	nurse 21:24	8:23 8:25	α1 Ζδ:19
		10:9 10:17	

	Acute date domining	tice May 5, 2022 OOTH	17291-1 1 age 04
original	particular	33:13	policy 49:15
21:13	26 : 8	personally	49:17 49:19
others 21:11	past 8:8	33:3	poll 14:16
28:1 36:13	24:22 41:19	personnel	14:17 16:14
otherwise	paste 19:5	16:7 17:16	16:15 18:2
6:7 8:10	path 23:20	PETERSON	18:23 32:7
9:8	Paul 48:7	32:15 33:2	poorly 29:25
output 35:13	48:20	42:13 42:19	population
outside 21:6		Physical	16:1
35:21 35:24	pediatrics	17 : 2	position
overlap	45:15 45:18	physicians	10:5
15:10 47:24	peds 5:12	28:5	possibility
10.10 17.21	Pennsylvania	PI 16:1	14:11 30:3
P	14:22 21:6	32:20 32:22	possible
p.m 4:5	<pre>people 6:22</pre>		15:10 15:12
50:14	12:21 14:1	pictures 44:9	15:15 15:17
package 30:4	20:3 22:12		38:4 43:20
30:5	22:24 25:23	piece 27:8	44:2
<pre>page 6:20</pre>	26:1 26:25	33:5	preparation
	27:3 27:4 28:12 28:17	places 15:8	43:25
painstakingl	35:10 35:15	24:23 24:24	preparing
y 36:23	37:24 46:22	33:9 33:10	22:22
papers 44:18	46:24	plan 42:21	prescriptive
paperwork	per 50:2	plans 19:24	44:22
18:4 37:3	_	20:18	
parallel	percent 4:25	please 9:17	<pre>present 30:3 37:19</pre>
21:9 24:20	perfect	18:18 34:20	
parameters	24:12	45:6	preserve
49:21	perfectly	plugging	22:13 23:1 24:13 25:12
participate	18:6	38:19	26:19 27:2
49:16 49:23	<pre>period 13:3</pre>	point 4:19	44:3 47:12
participatin	perpetuate	8:24 13:1	preserved
q 50:1	44:16	16:21 16:23	25:17 26:3
	person 11:10	23:25 40:18	34:5 40:10
participatio n 49:14	11:11 16:18	46:1	42:17
11 49.14	17:3 18:9	<pre>police 36:3</pre>	preserving
			r =



	Acute Care Committe	ee May 5, 2022 CCR#1	7231-1 Page 65
34:4	5:2 5:6	Quick 47:15	recall 21:12
pretty 7:14	5:18 7:23	47:16 48:9	received
8:12 8:24	9:22 20:6	quips 32:25	5:11 5:12
9:1 22:15	28:3 34:15		
prevention	35:1 36:21	quite 19:1	recent 38:23
19:7	37:16 39:2	24:1 29:21	recommend
	40:17	quorum 10:10	9 : 5
privately	progress	10:12 12:16	recommendati
44:20	12:22	47:12	on 10:10
probability	proposals	R	recommendati
14:11	13:11 13:15		ons 7:24
probably	provide	rabbit 44:12	9:4
6:21 9:12	15:25 22:3	<pre>raised 33:23</pre>	
12:23 13:14	29:3 35:14	rather 14:2	recommended 7:22 11:3
17:22 18:11	35:14	ratios 29:3	
19:22 27:7	provided		recorded
40:3 47:25	17:5 35:7	ready 41:6	12:3
48:7 49:7	49:20	real 44:13	recording
<pre>problem 6:25</pre>	PRQ 34:1	realisticall	9:16
40:21 40:24	34:19 35:12	y 20:4	refer 27:10
process 8:2	35:13 35:14	really 12:25	regarding
15:19 16:2	pull 4:13	16:11 16:12	35:17
17:1 17:1		16:18 22:9	regardless
17:3 21:2	pursue 40:12	24:3 25:16	17:15
21:10 24:14	puts 42:6	25:23 25:25	regs 46:5
32:16 33:11 33:12 33:25		32:23 32:23	_
34:1 34:4	Q	35:6 35:16	reinvent
35:11 35:11	quality	38:8 41:12	23:24
39:16 39:19	31:19 31:21	41:14 42:17	relevancy
39:22 40:5	32:7	43:17 48:17	47 : 23
40:12 40:15	question 7:1	reason 22:10	relevant
45:2 45:3	12:20 13:23	31:4 40:3	38:6
processes	13:24 15:21	42:18	remain 26:5
31:5	17:7 19:3	reasonable	remember 4:8
products	19:6 25:21	46:24 47:4	12:24 26:16
48:24	questions	reasonably	
	13:22 19:7	40:6 40:10	removed
program 4:19			



34:25 46:14 20:21 26:17 44:9 11:14 43:7 rep 14:24 response 10:23 46:18 46:25 47:5 47:6 response 11:21 11:23 rest 23:3 reply 7:1 11:21 11:23 review 5:25 11:8 16:17 16:18 17:1 39:4 reporting 20:15 22:2 29:9 27:17 27:18 reports 29:4 49:2 reviewed 6:3 represent 46:19 reviewed 6:3 represent 15:4 reviewer representati ve 7:21 21:1 reviewers 18:15 24:7 24:8 44:16 reviewed 6:4 44:14 44:15 reviewed 6:4 30:5 30:20 revised 6:4 30:5 30:20 revised 6:4 30:5 30:20 revised 6:4 30:5 30:20 revision 31:11 32:5 revision 4:20 revisions 31:11 32:5 revisions 31:11 32:5 revisions 31:1 32:5 revisions 31:1 32:5 revisions 31:1 32:5 revisions 31:1 32:17 revisions 31:1 30:21 42:12 revisions 31:6 36:4 40:21 43:8 revisions revisions 31:6 36:4 40:21 43:8 revisions revisions revisions 31:6 36:4 40:21 43:8 revisions revisi		Acute Care Commit	lee May 5, 2022 CCR#	17231-1 Page 60
replacements 10:23 46:18 46:25 Senior 15:3 45:13 rest 23:3 47:5 47:6 sense 23:11 reply 7:1 11:21 11:23 review 5:25 rule 50:11 39:4 report 22:4 11:8 16:17 48:3 6:10 22:5 28:15 16:18 17:1 48:3 6:10 35:3 48:14 17:2 20:3 Sake 7:1 separate 29:9 20:15 22:2 20:15 22:2 22:1 24:20 29:9 27:17 27:18 screen 41:20 separating 46:19 Reviewer seat 10:3 separating 46:19 Reviewer seat 46:1 separating 39:21 37:22 39:17 serview 3:23 serview 3:23:33 46:19 reviewers seat 10:3 separating 39:21 section 36:9 31:10 service 19:3 31:1 reviewing seeing 16:11 service 4:2 20:18 reviewing seeing 16:11 sexion 42:5 require revise 19:25 rewise 19:25 seem 5:14	34:25 46:14	20:21	26:17 44:9	11:14 43:7
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	rep 14:24	_	row 46:7	sends 11:11
reply 7:1 11:21 11:23 report 22:4 22:5 28:15 35:3 48:14 29:9 20:12 29:9 29:9 20:15 22:2 27:17 27:18 20:15 24:20 29:9 represent 46:19 represent 46:19 representati ve 7:21 21:1 21:1 21:1 21:1 22:14 23:24 23:23 23:11 39:4 22:12 22:11 39:4 22:12 22:1	replacements	10:23		Senior 15:3
Teply 7:1	45:13			sense 23:11
report 22:4 11:8 16:17 48:3 66:10 22:5 28:15 16:18 17:1 7:2 20:3 5 6:10 35:3 48:14 20:9 20:12 5 15:2 15:2 18:7 18:22 29:9 20:15 22:2 27:17 27:18 screen 41:20 37:22 39:17 reports 29:4 49:2 scrubs 29:10 37:22 39:17 represent reviewed 6:3 seat 10:3 separating 46:19 Reviewer seats 46:1 separating 7:1 39:21 service 23:23 8eparating 39:21 separating 39:21 section 36:9 31:10 8ervices section 36:9 31:10 8ervices secing 16:11 services 4:2 21:1 27:18 35:6 seem 13:20 session 42:5 18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 settle 45:16 18:15 24:7 16:21 seem 15:14 45:17 50:5 18:14 44:15 revised 6:4 seem 15:14 45:17 50:5 18:22 revision senator <	reply 7:1	23:6	rule 50:11	39:4
16:18 17:1	11:21 11:23			sent 6:3 6:4
17:2 20:3 Sake 7:1 Sake 7:1 Separate 15:2 15:2 Sake 7:1 Sake 7:1 Second 14:20 Separate Sep	report 22:4		48:3	6 : 10
33:3 48:14 20:9 20:12 3ake 7:1 15:2 15:2 29:9 20:15 22:2 27:17 27:18 3creen 41:20 22:1 24:20 reports 29:4 49:2 scrubs 29:10 37:22 39:17 represent reviewed 6:3 seat 10:3 separating 46:19 Reviewer seats 46:1 served 23:23 representati 15:4 Second 16:11 service 19:3 21:1 27:18 35:6 section 36:9 31:10 representati reviewing seeing 16:11 20:18 require reviews seem 13:20 session 42:5 18:15 24:7 24:8 44:16 revise 19:25 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required 44:14 44:15 revised 6:4 seem 15:14 settled 45:17 50:5 requirements 14:20 44:10 several 13:4 18:13 39:9 44:10 several 13:4 18:13 19:18 39:9 44:19 resource 7:4 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 1:7 11:10 share 44:20 sheet 15:2 resources<			S	separate
reporting 20:15 22:2 screen 41:20 18:7 18:22 22:1 24:20 37:22 39:17 reports 29:4 49:2 scrubs 29:10 37:22 39:17 37:22 39:17 represent reviewed 6:3 seat 10:3 separating 39:21 representati 15:4 second 16:11 served 23:23 ve 7:21 reviewers section 36:9 31:10 representati reviewing seeing 16:11 service 19:3 ves 10:1 9:2 20:18 seem 13:20 session 42:5 require reviews seem 13:20 session 42:5 18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 sets 40:2 required 44:14 44:15 revised 6:4 seem 15:14 settled 45:17 50:5 requirements 14:18 27:1 4:20 senator seven 10:16 several 13:4 18:13 19:18 39:9 44:19 senator 39:9 44:19 share 44:20 resource 7:4 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 11:7 11:10 shot 9:13 42:11 robust 33:4				
reports 29:4 49:2 scrubs 29:10 37:22 39:17 represent 46:19 reviewed 6:3 seat 10:3 separating 39:21 representati ve 7:21 21:1 reviewers 27:18 35:6 section 36:9 36:9 service 19:3 31:10 representati ves 10:1 reviewing 9:2 seeing 16:11 20:18 services 4:2 28:20 require 18:15 24:7 24:8 44:16 reviews 19:25 seem 13:20 31:3 setsion 42:5 required 44:14 44:15 required 14:18 27:1 revised 6:4 30:5 30:20 seem 15:14 16:12 21:4 42:22 35:12 settled 45:17 50:5 requires 31:11 32:5 resource 7:4 30:21 42:12 revision 4:21 revisions 36:9 31:10 seem 15:14 52:12 42:12 42:12 seem 13:20 31:3 settled 45:16 52:12 revising 4:20 revision 4:21 revision 20:21 42:12 revision 30:20 7:21 42:12 revision 30:20 7:21 42:12 senator 20:21 42:12 several 13:4 18:13 19:18 39:9 44:19 resource 7:4 30:21 42:12 revisions 4:23 42:10 42:17 7:77:78:8 11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 7:7 7:7 8:8 11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 7:7 7:7 8:8 11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 40:21 43:8 short 9:13 significant		20:15 22:2		
represent reviewed 6:3 seat 10:3 separating 46:19 Reviewer seats 46:1 39:21 representati 15:4 Second 16:11 served 23:23 ve 7:21 reviewers section 36:9 31:10 21:1 27:18 35:6 section 36:9 31:10 representati reviewing seeing 16:11 28:20 require reviews seem 13:20 session 42:5 18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 sets 40:2 require revise 19:25 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required 44:14 44:15 revised 6:4 seen 15:14 settled 44:18 27:1 4:20 44:10 several 13:4 requires revision senator 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 7:7 7:7 8:8 share 44:20 resource 7:4 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 share 44:20 resources 4:23 42:10 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 42:11 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant				
Reviewer seats 46:1 39:21 representati 15:4 Second 16:11 served 23:23 ve 7:21 reviewers section 36:9 31:10 21:1 27:18 35:6 36:9 service 19:3 representati reviewing seeing 16:11 services 4:2 ves 10:1 9:2 seeing 16:11 services 4:2 18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 sets 40:2 require revise 19:25 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required revised 6:4 seem 15:14 settled 44:14 44:15 revised 6:4 seem 15:14 seven 10:16 requirements revising 24:22 35:12 seven 10:16 requires revision senator 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 revisions senator 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 revisions senator 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 revisions 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 hist 39:9 share 44:20 sheet 15:2 shot 9:13 42:1	reports 29:4			
representati 15:4 Second 16:11 served 23:23 ve 7:21 reviewers section 36:9 31:10 21:1 27:18 35:6 36:9 service 19:3 representati reviewing seeing 16:11 28:20 require reviews seem 13:20 session 42:5 18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 sets 40:2 24:8 44:16 revise 19:25 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required revised 6:4 30:5 30:20 16:12 21:4 settled 4:18 27:1 revising 24:22 35:12 seven 10:16 requires 4:20 44:10 several 13:4 resource 7:4 30:21 42:12 senator 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 share 44:20 resources 4:23 42:10 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 42:11 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant	_	reviewed 6:3		
representati reviewers section 36:9 service 19:3 21:1 27:18 35:6 36:9 31:10 representati reviewing seeing 16:11 20:18 ves 10:1 9:2 20:18 services 4:2 18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 session 42:5 18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 sets 40:2 24:8 44:16 revise 19:25 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required 44:14 44:15 30:5 30:20 16:12 21:4 45:17 50:5 requirements 14:18 27:1 4:20 44:10 several 13:4 requires 31:11 32:5 senator 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 share 44:20 resources 11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 4:21 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant			seats 46:1	
21:1 27:18 35:6 36:9 31:10 services 4:2 28:20 session 42:5	_		Second 16:11	
representati reviewing seeing 16:11 28:20 require reviews seem 13:20 session 42:5 18:15 24:7 24:8 44:16 16:21 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required 44:14 44:15 revised 6:4 30:5 30:20 seem 15:14 42:12 settled requirements 14:18 27:1 420 24:22 35:12 42:12 seven 10:16 requires 31:11 32:5 resource 7:4 30:21 42:12 send 6:24 7:7 7:7 8:8 11:7 11:10 share 44:20 shot 9:13 resources 4:23 42:10 42:11 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant				
ves 10:1 9:2 seeing 16:11 28:20 require reviews seem 13:20 session 42:5 18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 sets 40:2 24:8 44:16 revise 19:25 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required 44:14 44:15 30:5 30:20 16:12 21:4 settled 44:18 27:1 4:20 44:10 seven 10:16 requires 31:11 32:5 revision senator 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 revisions 26:19 share 44:20 resources 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 sheet 15:2 resources 11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 42:11 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant			36:9	
require reviews seem 13:20 session 42:5 18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 sets 40:2 24:8 44:16 revise 19:25 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required 44:14 44:15 seem 15:14 settled 45:17 50:5 requirements 14:18 27:1 24:22 35:12 seven 10:16 requires 4:20 senator 18:13 19:18 31:11 32:5 revision senator 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 send 6:24 39:9 44:19 resource 7:4 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 share 44:20 resources 11:7 11:10 shot 9:13 4:23 42:10 40:21 43:8 significant	_		_	
18:15 24:7 16:21 31:3 sets 40:2 24:8 44:16 revise 19:25 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required 44:14 44:15 revised 6:4 seem 15:14 45:17 50:5 requirements 14:18 27:1 24:22 35:12 seven 10:16 requires 4:20 senator 18:13 19:18 31:11 32:5 revision 26:19 several 13:4 resource 7:4 send 6:24 39:9 44:19 30:21 42:12 send 6:24 7:7 7:7 8:8 share 44:20 resources 11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 4:23 42:10 40:21 43:8 significant				session 42:5
24:8 44:16 revise 19:25 seems 22:25 settle 45:16 required 44:14 44:15 revised 6:4 seem 15:14 settled 45:17 50:5 requirements 14:18 27:1 revising 24:22 35:12 seven 10:16 requires 31:11 32:5 revision senator 18:13 19:18 resource 7:4 30:21 42:12 revisions send 6:24 share 44:20 36:6 rid 22:17 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 4:23 42:10 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant	_			
required 44:14 44:15 revised 6:4 seen 15:14 45:17 50:5 requirements 14:18 27:1 revising 24:22 35:12 45:17 50:5 requires 31:11 32:5 revision senator 18:13 19:18 resource 7:4 30:21 42:12 revisions send 6:24 39:9 44:19 resources 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 share 44:20 resources 11:7 11:10 shot 9:13 4:23 42:10 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant	24:8 44:16			
44:14 44:15 30:5 30:20 16:12 21:4 45:17 50:5 requirements 14:18 27:1 4:20 44:10 seven 10:16 requires 31:11 32:5 revision 26:19 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 revisions 26:19 39:9 44:19 resource 7:4 30:21 42:12 7:7 7:7 8:8 11:7 11:10 4:23 42:10 31:6 36:4 36:6 31:6 36:4 42:11 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant	required			
requirements revising 24:22 35:12 seven 10:16 requires 4:20 44:10 several 13:4 requires 31:11 32:5 revision 26:19 18:13 19:18 resource 7:4 revisions 26:19 share 44:20 30:21 42:12 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 sheet 15:2 resources 11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 42:11 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant	44:14 44:15			
14:18 27:1 4:20 44:10 several 13:4 requires 31:11 32:5 revision 26:19 18:13 19:18 resource 7:4 send 6:24 39:9 44:19 resources 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 share 44:20 resources 11:7 11:10 shot 9:13 4:21 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 4:23 42:11 40:21 43:8 significant	requirements			
requires revision senator 18:13 19:18 31:11 32:5 4:21 senator 18:13 19:18 30:21 42:12 revisions send 6:24 share 44:20 7:7 7:7 8:8 11:7 11:10 sheet 15:2 4:23 42:10 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 42:11 40:21 43:8 significant	14:18 27:1	_		
31:11 32:5 4:21 26:19 39:9 44:19 resource 7:4 revisions send 6:24 share 44:20 resources 11:7 11:10 sheet 15:2 4:23 42:10 31:6 36:4 shot 9:13 42:11 40:21 43:8 significant	_		senator	
30:21 42:12 revisions 36:6 7:7 7:7 8:8 11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 42:11 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 share 44:20 share 44:20 sheet 15:2 shot 9:13 significant	31:11 32:5		26:19	
30:21 42:12 resources 4:23 42:10 42:11 36:6 rid 22:17 robust 33:4 7:7 7:7 8:8 11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 40:21 43:8 sheet 15:2 shot 9:13 significant		revisions	send 6:24	
resources 4:23 42:10 42:11 robust 33:4 rid 22:17 31:6 36:4 40:21 43:8 significant	30:21 42:12		7:7 7:7 8:8	
42:11 robust 33:4 40:21 43:8 significant		36:6		sheet 5.7
Significant			11:7 11:10	
Tespects Senaing O.17	4:23 42:10	rid 22:17	11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4	shot 9:13
	4:23 42:10 42:11	<pre>rid 22:17 robust 33:4</pre>	11:7 11:10 31:6 36:4 40:21 43:8	shot 9:13 significant



	Acute Gare Committee	0 Way 5, 2022 001\#	1 age 01
similar	speak 9:18	17:7 28:24	31:19
13:18 40:6	9:23 18:17	28:25 34:10	state's
40:10	28:1 28:2	34:11 39:15	14 : 17
simple 25:15	speaking	39:18 39:19	staying
simply 38:6	18:20 19:22	39:23 40:2	40:19
	19:22	standpoint	
simultaneous	specialties	33:17	step 17:19
43:4	5:13	start 20:15	19:19 34:24 43:1
sit 22:1	specific	started 4:7	
site 24:13	22:2	36:25	steps 19:19
24:21 25:13			steward
30:6 41:15	specifically	state 13:4 13:18 14:15	28:16
43:22 44:7	47:21	14:18 15:4	stewards
sitting 9:25	spinning	15:13 15:23	29:11
Six 10:16	14:5	16:3 17:15	stick 17:23
	spitball	17:24 17:25	
smaller	7:16 46:13	18:4 18:8	<pre>straightforw ard 34:2</pre>
16:22 24:23 24:23	spitballing	18:14 19:1	
	12:25	19:2 19:9	strongly
somebody	sporadically	21:5 21:19	22:12
18:17 21:3	46:3	21:23 24:13	struck 26:24
23:22 34:19	spot 10:12	27:7 27:10	structure
somehow	10:13 10:19	31:14 32:1	19:3
15:18 26:2	26:24	32:4 32:6 32:18 32:21	stubbornly
43:5		32:22 32:22	34:5
someone	staff 19:5	33:4 33:9	stuff 7:19
43:23	staffing	33:10 33:20	12:14 31:15
somewhat	29:3	34:4 34:18	31:16 31:21
6:23 12:18	stamina 33:4	39:22 41:6	34:6 44:19
21:20 40:1	standard	44:15 49:18	subgroup
sorry 9:24	7:23 8:6	stated 31:21	11:16
11:5 14:7	15:24 34:13	states 14:21	
27:24 36:11	39:3 39:3	15:6 15:12	<pre>subject 39:12</pre>
sort 24:13	39:13 49:12	20:24 21:1	
34:18 39:21	standards	21:7 21:8	submitted
sounds 17:21	8:1 8:3	23:8 24:7	18:24 18:25
26:21 50:4	15:24 17:4	24:8 24:18	sub-optimal
I			



	Acute Care Commi	illee May 5, 2022 CCR#	17231-1 Page 00
43:24	25:13 25:18	teams 15:9	Thomas 21:24
successful	25:23 44:3	28:23 34:15	thoughtful
35:6 35:7	systems	terms 20:2	39:6
successfully	21:16 23:2	Terral 12:6	three-day
23:25 26:11	sytem 26:4	13 : 15	23:19
sufficient		Terrell	throw 23:17
31:4	T	42 : 25	46:23
suggested	table 9:16	terrible	thrown 33:18
8:5		23:14	THURSDAY 4:4
suggestion	tag 48:21	text 10:25	till 45:18
34:17	talk 11:9	Thank 50:13	
suggestions	11:11 12:24	theirs 42:12	timely 29:8
27:5 48:25	22:24 25:10 30:14 35:21		today 5:11
sunk 38:5	35:23 36:14	theoreticall	12:22 21:12
supposed	38:3 40:8	y 9:5	49:13
20:8	43:20 45:24	there'll 9:9	tomorrow
sure 10:25	talked 5:11	there's	49:14
13:10 16:23	37:5 39:9	14:20 15:10	topic 42:15
17:20 20:13	40:15	15:11 16:19 20:11 21:3	total 30:4
20:19 22:8	talking 13:1	22:9 23:8	30:4
22:21 27:7	13:2 43:4	23:11 23:20	tour 27:11
27:12 33:14 39:20 48:18	target 26:14	24:3 29:9	TPM 10:7
	task 5:19	29:16 29:17	10:8 10:19
surgeons 4:22 19:5	5:21 7:4	31:15 31:18	13:4 26:13
	11:14 14:13	32:7 33:6 35:15 40:3	Tracey 5:1
surmountable 31:25	40:16	42:1 42:17	5:4 9:24
	tasked 41:11	44:25 45:23	11:13 14:7 36:11 36:20
surprised 30:22	47:17	49:5 50:6	39:1
	Taylor 27:6	they'd 14:2	track 6:14
synergistic 44:2	27:6 27:16	they're	23:17
	27:22 31:9 31:13 31:18	22:21 25:4	trail 23:22
synergy 31:5	team 14:24	28:25 40:9	24:3
system 21:15	14:25 22:1	40:20	trauma 4:19
22:14 22:14 22:18 24:19	22:6	they've 15:4	5:2 5:5 5:6
I ~~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	Ī	



	Acute Care Committe	ee May 5, 2022 CCR#1	1/231-1 Page 69
5:18 7:21	understand	value 23:8	virtually
7:23 15:25	36:1 38:2	25:16 25:19	18:10
17:10 17:14	understandin	29:16 29:17	visions
17:17 19:3	g 48:15	variety	28 : 20
20:6 21:14	unexcused	41:21	visit 13:2
21:16 21:23	46:17	verification	13:5 13:13
22:11 28:17 34:15 35:1		16:5 24:19	13:19 14:15
36:21 37:16	Unfortunatel	verification	21:20 21:25
39:1 40:17	y 40:1	s 23:13	22:23 23:20
44:8 49:6	unification		25:13 33:20
true 19:23	21:2	verified	43:22 44:7
	unique 19:8	12:20 17:8	visited 21:7
truly 30:18	University	17:9 17:15	24:8 25:5
try 8:18	47:15	22:11 32:4 33:15 43:19	25:8
8:25 9:6			visiting
9:12 15:9	unlikely 24:17	verifying	14:21 14:21
23:1 31:4		39:19	24:13
31:5 36:8	upcoming	versa 15:13	visits 14:15
44:1	45:2	versus 16:17	17:25 24:21
trying 24:2	update 42:2	17:6 26:5	30:6 43:19
47:12	updated 39:4	27:10 31:11	43:25
turn 9:18	Upon 49:2	vice 11:25	vote 4:15
9:19	usually	15:13	45 : 7
tweaking	17:25	Vice-Chair	<pre>voted 30:7</pre>
34:14	utilize	12:2 12:11	45:8 48:21
twice 26:16	32:21	45:8	VRC 42:6
29:14		<pre>view 27:11</pre>	
twos 24:25	V	Virginia 4:1	W
31:13	Val 47:14	10:11 22:14	wait 45:18
types 18:24	47:15	24:17 45:3	warned 49:8
	Valerie	47 : 15	wasn't 18:7
U	49:10	virtual	
ultimately	validate	16:17 16:20	wasting 14:6
30:9	17:4	17:2 17:6	ways 15:5
uncomfortabl	validating	27:8 27:11 27:15	web 43:3
e 35:10	17:1	Z / : 1 J	we'd 30:13



	Acute Care Committe	ee May 5, 2022 CCR#1	7231-1 Page 70
34:3 36:10	wheels 14:6	39:2	8:23 9:21
40:13 45:21	whenever	workflow	10:6 10:9
47:1	43:3	33 : 17	10:17 10:22
weeks 43:15		workgroup	10:24 11:15
we'll 8:13	WHEREUPON	5:7 37:22	11:21 11:24
8:14 9:5	10:23 43:4 50:14	40:17 47:19	12:6 12:9
11:3 11:4		47:20	12:13 12:17
26:20	whether 13:1		14:1 14:8
	13:3 17:2	workgroups	14:14 15:17
well-	28:4 29:3	37:22 48:25	16:9 16:22
positioned	whole 5:15	49:3	17:11 17:19
26:10	5:16 6:8	working $4:17$	19:9 19:14
well-	12:19 14:20	4:17 4:20	19:18 19:23
publicized	18:15 22:6	29:14 40:20	20:14 20:22 21:5 21:21
25:25	37:6 38:8	works 21:22	23:15 24:5
we're 8:5	42:8	29:24 38:9	25:4 25:7
8:7 13:1	who's 11:9	worse 22:22	25:10 26:12
19:20 20:8	40:8	23:15 26:15	27:14 27:20
22:17 23:4	wildly 22:9		29:15 29:24
24:1 26:14	22:10 40:3	worth 47:10	30:2 30:13
29:13 33:14		wow 35:15	30:16 31:12
37:23 39:11	willing 12:7	wrench 33:19	31:16 31:22
39:21 42:13	18:6	write 35:2	32:25 33:7
45:12 48:13	wish 46:19	35:3	33:24 34:21
50:8	work 15:19		35:9 35:25
we've 4:17	17:17 18:7	wrong 24:16	36:4 36:18
5:8 5:9	18:15 18:22		37:14 38:3
22:16 24:6	20:11 22:19	Y	38:15 38:23
24:22 27:4	25:18 26:13	yet 32:24	39:25 41:1
32:23 37:6	28:17 29:13	45:22	41:8 41:14
40:10 40:19	34:15 34:24	York 14:22	41:18 42:4
42:20 43:16	35:5 36:16	YOUNG 4:6	42:9 42:16
47:17	37:2 37:21	4:12 4:15	42:23 43:5
whatever 6:4	37:25 38:8	5:4 5:14	43:13 43:16
17:6 27:18	38:9 40:16	5:20 6:2	45:14 45:20 46:5 46:12
29:4 33:13	48:20 48:22	6:7 6:12	46:22 47:5
38:23	worked 37:17	6:18 7:3	47:14 48:2
wheel 23:24	38:5 38:13	7:12 8:7	48:7 48:19



	Acute Care Committe	e May 5, 2022	CCR#17231-1	Page 71
49:4 50:4 50:9				
yours 40:20				
you've 43:8				
46:17 47:10				
zone 40:7				
			I	

