



Tobacco Use by Health District, Virginia, 2012

Virginia BRFSS Online Reporting  System		Current Tobacco User?							
		Yes				No			
		Sample Size	Weighted Counts	Weighted Percent (%)	C.I. (95%)	Sample Size	Weighted Counts	Weighted Percent (%)	C.I. (95%)
*Virginia	*State Total	1248	1334292	21.9	20.5 -23.2	5907	4760728	78.1	76.8 -79.5
Central	*Region Total	214	209444	20.4	17.1 -23.8	1012	816303	79.6	76.2 -82.9
	Chesterfield	42	49832	18.1	12.1 -24.1	226	225159	81.9	75.9 -87.9
	Chickahominy	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Crater	33	22848	17.0	9.9 -24.2	135	111497	83.0	75.8 -90.1
	Henrico	36	42679	21.6	13.5 -29.8	180	154540	78.4	70.2 -86.5
	Piedmont	24	18985	18.7	10.4 -27.1	119	82369	81.3	72.9 -89.6
	Richmond City	26	24525	18.9	10.2 -27.7	136	105011	81.1	72.3 -89.8
	Southside	33	18620	30.7	21.6 -39.8	103	42030	69.3	60.2 -78.4
Eastern	*Region Total	316	342398	24.3	21.3 -27.3	1393	1066900	75.7	72.7 -78.7
	Chesapeake	30	30046	18.8	9.8 -27.8	174	129637	81.2	72.2 -90.2
	Eastern Shore	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Hampton	33	30119	28.0	18.3 -37.7	112	77386	72.0	62.3 -81.7
	Norfolk City	43	38650	26.0	16.8 -35.3	187	109802	74.0	64.7 -83.2
	Peninsula	38	52416	22.7	15.2 -30.3	227	178178	77.3	69.7 -84.8
	Portsmouth	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Three Rivers	41	57945	32.1	22.3 -41.8	142	122836	67.9	58.2 -77.7
	Virginia Beach	59	66929	19.6	14.2 -24.9	259	275230	80.4	75.1 -85.8
	Western Tidewater	28	32347	24.7	15.5 -33.9	127	98815	75.3	66.1 -84.5
Northern	*Region Total	189	202025	13.5	11.1 -15.8	1627	1298607	86.5	84.2 -88.9
	Alexandria	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Arlington	23	22754	12.4	5.8 -19.0	219	160624	87.6	81.0 -94.2
	Fairfax	87	98352	13.0	9.7 -16.2	807	660971	87.0	83.8 -90.3
	Loudoun	---	---	---	---	200	171282	89.9	84.3 -95.6
	Prince William	47	45884	16.8	11.2 -22.4	273	226695	83.2	77.6 -88.8

(Continued)

1. Data source: Virginia Department of Health, Division of Policy and Evaluation, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey, 2012. Weighted counts and weighted percents are weighted to population characteristics.
2. "- - -" replaces estimates when the unweighted sample size for the denominator was < 20 or the CI half width was > 10 for any cell.
3. Responses of don't know/not sure, refused, or missing were removed from the numerator and denominator in all estimates.
4. *** Use caution in interpreting sample sizes less than 50.
5. CI= 95% confidence interval.

Virginia BRFSS Online Reporting  System		Current Tobacco User?							
		Yes				No			
		Sample Size	Weighted Counts	Weighted Percent (%)	C.I. (95%)	Sample Size	Weighted Counts	Weighted Percent (%)	C.I. (95%)
*Virginia	*State Total	1248	1334292	21.9	20.5 -23.2	5907	4760728	78.1	76.8 -79.5
Northwestern	*Region Total	200	224220	23.5	20.2 -26.8	803	730215	76.5	73.2 -79.8
	Central Shenandoah	48	49843	22.7	16.3 -29.0	193	170036	77.3	71.0 -83.7
	Lord Fairfax	44	49016	24.9	17.2 -32.6	132	147824	75.1	67.4 -82.8
	Rappahannock	39	54278	23.6	16.3 -31.0	196	175350	76.4	69.0 -83.7
	Rappahannock/Rapidan	38	32198	25.0	16.3 -33.8	121	96465	75.0	66.2 -83.7
	Thomas Jefferson	31	38885	21.7	14.2 -29.1	161	140540	78.3	70.9 -85.8
Southwestern	*Region Total	329	356205	29.6	26.3 -32.8	1072	848704	70.4	67.2 -73.7
	Alleghany	41	41286	29.2	19.7 -38.7	142	100041	70.8	61.3 -80.3
	Central Virginia	40	50867	28.4	19.4 -37.4	158	128322	71.6	62.6 -80.6
	Cumberland Plateau	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Lenowisco	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Mount Rogers	43	57862	30.3	21.4 -39.1	117	133199	69.7	60.9 -78.6
	New River	35	43624	26.2	18.0 -34.5	147	122678	73.8	65.5 -82.0
	Pittsylvania/Danville	24	21911	21.4	12.6 -30.2	114	80542	78.6	69.8 -87.4
	Roanoke City	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
West Piedmont	25	26379	20.4	12.3 -28.4	108	103161	79.6	71.6 -87.7	

1. Data source: Virginia Department of Health, Division of Policy and Evaluation, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey, 2012. Weighted counts and weighted percents are weighted to population characteristics.
2. "- - -" replaces estimates when the unweighted sample size for the denominator was < 20 or the CI half width was > 10 for any cell.
3. Responses of don't know/not sure, refused, or missing were removed from the numerator and denominator in all estimates.
4. *** Use caution in interpreting sample sizes less than 50.
5. CI= 95% confidence interval.