



Virginia BRFSS Online Reporting  System		Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?							
		Yes				No			
		Sample Size	Weighted Counts	Weighted Percent (%)	C.I. (95%)	Sample Size	Weighted Counts	Weighted Percent (%)	C.I. (95%)
*Virginia	*State Total	871	281032	4.5	4.1 - 4.9	16457	5948072	95.5	95.1 -95.9
Central	*Region Total	193	57974	5.5	4.5 - 6.6	3208	991757	94.5	93.4 -95.5
	Chesterfield	23	11453	4.2	2.0 - 6.4	592	259698	95.8	93.6 -98.0
	Chickahominy	---	---	---	---	401	108786	97.1	94.9 -99.3
	Crater	36	10624	9.3	5.2 -13.4	425	103570	90.7	86.6 -94.8
	Henrico	31	12005	4.9	2.9 - 7.0	598	231114	95.1	93.0 -97.1
	Piedmont	30	6651	6.7	3.6 - 9.8	406	92471	93.3	90.2 -96.4
	Richmond City	32	8306	6.1	3.3 - 8.9	432	128243	93.9	91.1 -96.7
	Southside	27	5664	7.7	4.0 -11.4	354	67874	92.3	88.6 -96.0
Eastern	*Region Total	203	61529	4.3	3.5 - 5.1	4016	1371060	95.7	94.9 -96.5
	Chesapeake	26	7578	4.5	2.1 - 6.8	506	162549	95.5	93.2 -97.9
	Eastern Shore	22	4239	8.4	3.7 -13.0	347	46284	91.6	87.0 -96.3
	Hampton	---	---	---	---	403	130906	96.6	94.4 -98.8
	Norfolk City	26	4340	2.8	1.3 - 4.4	495	150003	97.2	95.6 -98.7
	Peninsula	22	8976	3.3	1.7 - 5.0	563	260087	96.7	95.0 -98.3
	Portsmouth	---	---	---	---	265	62309	97.3	95.3 -99.3
	Three Rivers	34	12757	8.6	5.1 -12.1	444	135518	91.4	87.9 -94.9
	Virginia Beach	22	10750	3.3	1.7 - 4.9	555	318538	96.7	95.1 -98.3
	Western Tidewater	---	---	---	---	438	104867	94.2	91.1 -97.3
Northern	*Region Total	77	32996	2.2	1.5 - 2.9	2778	1439316	97.8	97.1 -98.5
	Alexandria	---	---	---	---	359	85680	94.5	89.6 -99.3
	Arlington	---	---	---	---	431	127638	99.4	98.9 -99.9
	Fairfax	25	14576	2.0	1.0 - 3.0	980	699641	98.0	97.0 -99.0
	Loudoun	---	---	---	---	490	223231	98.6	97.7 -99.5
	Prince William	---	---	---	---	518	303125	97.0	95.2 -98.7

(Continued)

1. Data source: Virginia Department of Health, Division of Policy and Evaluation, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey, 2013-2014. Weighted counts and weighted percents are weighted to population characteristics.
2. "- - -" replaces estimates when the unweighted sample size for the denominator was < 20 or the CI half width was > 10 for any cell.
3. Responses of don't know/not sure, refused, or missing were removed from the numerator and denominator in all estimates.
4. *** Use caution in interpreting sample sizes less than 50.
5. CI= 95% confidence interval.

Virginia BRFSS Online Reporting  System		Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?							
		Yes				No			
		Sample Size	Weighted Counts	Weighted Percent (%)	C.I. (95%)	Sample Size	Weighted Counts	Weighted Percent (%)	C.I. (95%)
*Virginia	*State Total	871	281032	4.5	4.1 - 4.9	16457	5948072	95.5	95.1 -95.9
Northwestern	*Region Total	113	45188	4.3	3.3 - 5.2	2632	1015915	95.7	94.8 -96.7
	Central Shenandoah	28	9166	3.9	2.2 - 5.5	611	227722	96.1	94.5 -97.8
	Lord Fairfax	23	8743	4.3	2.4 - 6.2	504	195700	95.7	93.8 -97.6
	Rappahannock	29	15473	5.5	3.4 - 7.7	537	263552	94.5	92.3 -96.6
	Rappahannock/Rapidan	---	---	---	---	476	149346	96.4	94.1 -98.7
	Thomas Jefferson	---	---	---	---	504	179596	96.6	94.7 -98.6
Southwestern	*Region Total	285	83346	6.9	5.8 - 7.9	3823	1130023	93.1	92.1 -94.2
	Alleghany	36	10200	5.7	3.3 - 8.0	599	169646	94.3	92.0 -96.7
	Central Virginia	31	13120	6.7	3.0 -10.4	492	182854	93.3	89.6 -97.0
	Cumberland Plateau	43	13017	13.1	8.4 -17.8	362	86462	86.9	82.2 -91.6
	Lenowisco	48	10911	12.1	8.0 -16.2	355	79202	87.9	83.8 -92.0
	Mount Rogers	40	12206	6.3	4.1 - 8.5	445	181118	93.7	91.5 -95.9
	New River	25	7241	4.9	2.7 - 7.1	498	140964	95.1	92.9 -97.3
	Pittsylvania/Danville	26	7102	6.7	3.5 -10.0	399	98399	93.3	90.0 -96.5
	Roanoke City	---	---	---	---	309	76965	93.9	90.5 -97.2
	West Piedmont	---	---	---	---	364	114413	96.2	94.0 -98.4

1. Data source: Virginia Department of Health, Division of Policy and Evaluation, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey, 2013-2014. Weighted counts and weighted percents are weighted to population characteristics.

2. "- - -" replaces estimates when the unweighted sample size for the denominator was < 20 or the CI half width was > 10 for any cell.

3. Responses of don't know/not sure, refused, or missing were removed from the numerator and denominator in all estimates.

4. *** Use caution in interpreting sample sizes less than 50.

5. CI= 95% confidence interval.