Abt

## Indicator 16: Diagnosed with Diabetes

Internal variable name: outc16_diabetes_diag

## Section 1: Definition

Definition: (Ever told) you have diabetes

## Section 2: Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 16: Diagnosed with Diabetes |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic Black vs. Non-Hispanic White | 0.8481*** | 0.8508*** | 0.8508*** |
|  | (0.0983) | (0.1097) | (0.1091) |
| Non-Hispanic Asian vs. Non-Hispanic White | 0.0018 | 0.2442 | 0.2442 |
|  | (0.4081) | (0.3694) | (0.3922) |
| Non-Hispanic Other vs. Non-Hispanic White | 0.0733 | 0.0820 | 0.0820 |
|  | (0.1984) | (0.2118) | (0.2038) |
| Hispanic vs. Non-Hispanic White | 0.4434 | 0.5806* | 0.5806* |
|  | (0.2772) | (0.2818) | (0.2687) |
| Female | -0.0919 | -0.1077 | -0.1077 |
|  | (0.0794) | (0.0847) | (0.0809) |
| Age 18-24 vs. 65+ | -3.9655*** | -3.9148*** | -3.9148*** |
|  | (0.5902) | (0.6207) | (0.5929) |
| Age 25-34 vs. 65+ | -2.7031*** | -2.6457*** | -2.6457*** |
|  | (0.2737) | (0.2598) | (0.2733) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | -2.2246*** | -2.1914*** | -2.1914*** |
|  | (0.2341) | (0.1958) | (0.2292) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | -1.1942*** | -1.1464*** | -1.1464*** |
|  | (0.1149) | (0.1202) | (0.1155) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | -0.5250*** | -0.5116*** | -0.5116*** |
|  | (0.1138) | (0.0982) | (0.1120) |
| Melanoma Cancer Female Rate |  | -0.0095* | -0.0095* |
|  |  | (0.0046) | (0.0044) |
| \% education Bachelor+, population 25+ years |  | -0.0144* | -0.0144* |
| old |  |  |  |
|  |  | (0.0063) | (0.0062) |
| \% Non-Hispanic minority among adults 18 to |  | 0.0224* | 0.0224** |
| 64 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (0.0096) | (0.0076) |
| \% Non-Hispanic minority among adults 65+ |  | -0.0257* | -0.0257** |



| \% moved past year, population 1+ years old |  | (0.0107) | (0.0083) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -0.0175 | -0.0175 |
|  |  | (0.0108) | (0.0090) |
| \% Hispanic among adults 18 to 64 |  | -0.0549* | -0.0549* |
|  |  | (0.0225) | (0.0239) |
| \% households without phone service |  | -0.0868* | -0.0868 |
|  |  | (0.0438) | (0.0450) |
| \{\% Non-Hispanic minority among adults 18 to |  | 0.0168 | 0.0168 |
| 64\} |  |  |  |
| interacted with $\{\%$ households without phone service $\}$ |  | (0.0098) | (0.0101) |
| \% age 75+ |  | -3.7019 | -3.7019 |
|  |  | (3.6782) | (3.5783) |
| Crude mortality rate of nephritis nephrotic |  | 0.0718 | 0.0718 |
| syndrome per 10,000 |  | (0.0501) | (0.0474) |
| \{\% age 75+\} |  | 0.0322 | 0.0322 |
| Interacted with \{Crude mortality rate of nephritis nephrotic syndrome per 10,000\} |  | (0.6904) | (0.7551) |
| Intercept | $-1.2686^{* * *}$ | -0.3180 | -0.3180 |
|  | (0.0843) | (0.2922) | (0.2483) |
| Area effect variance | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0609 \\ & (0.0521) \end{aligned}$ |  | $0.0000^{* *}$ <br> (0.0000) |

Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=3$.


Section 3: Results

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE <br> composite | SAE 95\% CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta County | 51015 | $13.0 \%$ | $(8.2 \%, 20.0 \%)$ | $11.6 \%$ | $(10.1 \%, 13.4 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 35.0 \%)$ | $11.2 \%$ | $(3.2 \%, 32.0 \%)$ |
| Caroline County | 51033 | $9.8 \%$ | $(2.5 \%, 31.3 \%)$ | $10.7 \%$ | $(9.3 \%, 12.3 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $8.9 \%$ | $(1.8 \%, 33.8 \%)$ | $8.0 \%$ | $(5.9 \%, 10.6 \%)$ |
| Culpeper County | 51047 | $9.6 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 20.0 \%)$ | $9.9 \%$ | $(8.3 \%, 11.9 \%)$ |
| Fauquier County | 51061 | $14.0 \%$ | $(7.9 \%, 23.7 \%)$ | $9.1 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 10.6 \%)$ |
| Frederick County | 51069 | $6.5 \%$ | $(3.8 \%, 13.5 \%)$ | $8.9 \%$ | $(7.9 \%, 10.1 \%)$ |
| Highland County | 51091 | $10.5 \%$ | $(1.2 \%, 85.5 \%)$ | $1.8 \%$ | $(0.1 \%, 20.9 \%)$ |
| King George County | 51099 | $9.6 \%$ | $(2.0 \%, 35.3 \%)$ | $8.1 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 9.8 \%)$ |
| Madison County | 51113 | $2.6 \%$ | $(1.3 \%, 22.9 \%)$ | $8.2 \%$ | $(6.1 \%, 10.9 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $6.2 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 19.8 \%)$ | $9.8 \%$ | $(8.7 \%, 11.1 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $18.7 \%$ | $(5.2 \%, 49.1 \%)$ | $10.8 \%$ | $(9.3 \%, 12.5 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock County | 51157 | $18.3 \%$ | $(5.6 \%, 45.7 \%)$ | $6.4 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 11.9 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge County | 51163 | $12.6 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 29.6 \%)$ | $11.1 \%$ | $(8.9 \%, 13.7 \%)$ |
| Rockingham County | 51165 | $8.2 \%$ | $(4.1 \%, 15.8 \%)$ | $9.3 \%$ | $(8.1 \%, 10.8 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah County | 51171 | $5.2 \%$ | $(2.8 \%, 15.7 \%)$ | $8.7 \%$ | $(7.3 \%, 10.5 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania County | 51177 | $10.1 \%$ | $(5.5 \%, 17.6 \%)$ | $9.7 \%$ | $(8.6 \%, 11.0 \%)$ |
| Stafford County | 51179 | $10.9 \%$ | $(6.4 \%, 17.8 \%)$ | $7.5 \%$ | $(6.5 \%, 8.6 \%)$ |
| Warren County | 51187 | $19.5 \%$ | $(9.0 \%, 37.3 \%)$ | $12.7 \%$ | $(10.7 \%, 14.9 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista City | 51530 | $0.0 \%$ | $(-0.0 \%, 89.6 \%)$ | $3.6 \%$ | $(1.8 \%, 7.1 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg City | 51630 | $8.2 \%$ | $(3.2 \%, 29.5 \%)$ | $4.6 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 6.4 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg City | 51660 | $12.4 \%$ | $(1.8 \%, 52.0 \%)$ | $5.2 \%$ | $(2.9 \%, 9.0 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 99.4 \%)$ | $0.7 \%$ | $(0.1 \%, 4.0 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 42.2 \%)$ | $7.5 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 9.9 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro City | 51820 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 33.1 \%)$ | $10.5 \%$ | $(8.4 \%, 13.0 \%)$ |
| Winchester City | 51840 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 23.5 \%)$ | $8.7 \%$ | $(5.6 \%, 13.4 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Abt

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE <br> composite | SAE 95\% CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle County | 51003 | $8.3 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 18.9 \%)$ | $6.1 \%$ | $(4.8 \%, 7.6 \%)$ |
| Alleghany County | 51005 | $2.8 \%$ | $(1.8 \%, 16.3 \%)$ | $13.0 \%$ | $(10.2 \%, 16.4 \%)$ |
| Amherst County | 51009 | $8.3 \%$ | $(1.7 \%, 32.0 \%)$ | $12.7 \%$ | $(11.2 \%, 14.5 \%)$ |
| Appomattox County | 51011 | $33.9 \%$ | $(15.6 \%, 58.6 \%)$ | $15.3 \%$ | $(12.3 \%, 19.0 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $0.8 \%$ | $(0.5 \%, 4.7 \%)$ | $3.2 \%$ | $(2.1 \%, 5.0 \%)$ |
| Bedford County | 51019 | $16.7 \%$ | $(9.7 \%, 27.2 \%)$ | $13.0 \%$ | $(11.6 \%, 14.6 \%)$ |
| Botetourt County | 51023 | $4.0 \%$ | $(2.3 \%, 13.2 \%)$ | $10.7 \%$ | $(8.6 \%, 13.2 \%)$ |
| Campbell County | 51031 | $13.7 \%$ | $(6.8 \%, 25.7 \%)$ | $13.3 \%$ | $(12.0 \%, 14.7 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 73.6 \%)$ | $12.8 \%$ | $(8.7 \%, 18.4 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $4.2 \%$ | $(2.6 \%, 6.6 \%)$ | $4.7 \%$ | $(3.7 \%, 5.9 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna County | 51065 | $11.3 \%$ | $(2.9 \%, 35.5 \%)$ | $10.4 \%$ | $(8.8 \%, 12.1 \%)$ |
| Greene County | 51079 | $9.7 \%$ | $(4.1 \%, 32.9 \%)$ | $6.3 \%$ | $(4.7 \%, 8.3 \%)$ |
| Loudoun County | 51107 | $4.4 \%$ | $(2.9 \%, 8.0 \%)$ | $4.9 \%$ | $(3.9 \%, 6.0 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $13.9 \%$ | $(7.1 \%, 30.2 \%)$ | $12.6 \%$ | $(10.9 \%, 14.7 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $12.5 \%$ | $(3.8 \%, 33.6 \%)$ | $8.5 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 11.3 \%)$ |
| Prince William County | 51153 | $8.6 \%$ | $(5.6 \%, 12.8 \%)$ | $6.2 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 8.9 \%)$ |
| Roanoke County | 51161 | $9.5 \%$ | $(5.9 \%, 15.0 \%)$ | $9.8 \%$ | $(8.4 \%, 11.4 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $4.5 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 10.9 \%)$ | $4.9 \%$ | $(3.5 \%, 6.8 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville City | 51540 | $6.8 \%$ | $(1.1 \%, 33.0 \%)$ | $4.6 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 6.5 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | $100.0 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $12.2 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 35.2 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $1.8 \%$ | $(1.1 \%, 7.1 \%)$ | $5.2 \%$ | $(3.8 \%, 7.1 \%)$ |
| Falls Church City | 51610 | $40.1 \%$ | $(5.1 \%, 89.3 \%)$ | $4.2 \%$ | $(2.3 \%, 7.5 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $11.7 \%$ | $(5.4 \%, 23.6 \%)$ | $7.8 \%$ | $(6.0 \%, 10.1 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $3.7 \%$ | $(1.5 \%, 35.1 \%)$ | $4.5 \%$ | $(2.1 \%, 9.1 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park City | 51685 | $0.0 \%$ | $(-0.0 \%, 96.8 \%)$ | $2.2 \%$ | $(0.8 \%, 6.0 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $8.4 \%$ | $(1.2 \%, 41.2 \%)$ | $6.4 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 9.4 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



| County name | County FIPS | Direct estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE 95\% Cl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bland County | 51021 | 13.3\% | (2.4\%, 49.5\%) | 10.9\% | (7.4\%, 15.9\%) |
| Buchanan County | 51027 | 30.3\% | (17.5\%, 47.2\%) | 14.8\% | (10.3\%, 20.9\%) |
| Carroll County | 51035 | 16.0\% | (8.5\%, 30.6\%) | 15.8\% | (13.0\%, 19.1\%) |
| Dickenson County | 51051 | 16.4\% | (6.8\%, 34.6\%) | 9.8\% | (7.8\%, 12.2\%) |
| Floyd County | 51063 | 8.9\% | (2.5\%, 27.4\%) | 8.2\% | (6.8\%, 9.9\%) |
| Franklin County | 51067 | 7.0\% | (2.6\%, 17.3\%) | 12.1\% | (10.6\%, 13.7\%) |
| Giles County | 51071 | 11.6\% | (3.8\%, 29.9\%) | 10.3\% | (7.8\%, 13.6\%) |
| Grayson County | 51077 | 4.9\% | (2.8\%, 25.6\%) | 14.4\% | (10.7\%, 19.0\%) |
| Henry County | 51089 | 19.0\% | (11.4\%, 29.9\%) | 17.4\% | (15.1\%, 19.9\%) |
| Lee County | 51105 | 9.5\% | (5.0\%, 25.7\%) | 13.9\% | (11.6\%, 16.5\%) |
| Montgomery County | 51121 | 7.9\% | (3.9\%, 15.4\%) | 6.1\% | (4.1\%, 9.0\%) |
| Patrick County | 51141 | 10.8\% | (2.7\%, 35.1\%) | 16.1\% | (13.5\%, 19.1\%) |
| Pittsylvania County | 51143 | 19.0\% | (11.9\%, 28.8\%) | 16.8\% | (14.8\%, 19.1\%) |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | 22.0\% | (12.2\%, 36.5\%) | 11.4\% | (9.2\%, 14.0\%) |
| Russell County | 51167 | 8.5\% | (3.6\%, 19.0\%) | 13.4\% | (10.9\%, 16.3\%) |
| Scott County | 51169 | 19.4\% | (10.2\%, 33.6\%) | 13.0\% | (11.1\%, 15.3\%) |
| Smyth County | 51173 | 4.9\% | (2.7\%, 18.1\%) | 14.5\% | (12.1\%, 17.1\%) |
| Tazewell County | 51185 | 15.3\% | (8.1\%, 27.1\%) | 13.7\% | (11.8\%, 15.8\%) |
| Washington County | 51191 | 13.2\% | (6.9\%, 23.8\%) | 12.9\% | (10.9\%, 15.1\%) |
| Wise County | 51195 | 13.8\% | (7.5\%, 24.2\%) | 14.8\% | (12.5\%, 17.6\%) |
| Wythe County | 51197 | 15.8\% | (7.9\%, 29.4\%) | 14.7\% | (12.4\%, 17.3\%) |
| Bristol City | 51520 | 12.4\% | (3.9\%, 51.3\%) | 12.7\% | (9.7\%, 16.3\%) |
| Danville City | 51590 | 19.7\% | (8.6\%, 39.0\%) | 20.1\% | (15.9\%, 25.1\%) |
| Galax City | 51640 | 0.0\% | (0.0\%, 70.9\%) | 4.4\% | (1.9\%, 9.8\%) |
| Martinsville City | 51690 | 13.1\% | (1.8\%, 55.7\%) | 13.8\% | (9.2\%, 20.0\%) |
| Norton City | 51720 | 0.0\% | (0.0\%, 69.6\%) | 3.8\% | (1.9\%, 7.6\%) |
| Radford City | 51750 | 0.0\% | (0.0\%, 56.8\%) | 4.4\% | (2.2\%, 8.4\%) |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | 15.5\% | (9.6\%, 24.2\%) | 10.3\% | (8.9\%, 11.9\%) |



| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE <br> composite |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amelia County | 51007 | $6.2 \%$ | $(3.0 \%, 29.9 \%)$ | $12.8 \%$ | $(10.0 \%, 16.2 \%)$ |
| Brunswick County | 51025 | $7.7 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 29.1 \%)$ | $14.1 \%$ | $(10.9 \%, 18.2 \%)$ |
| Buckingham County | 51029 | $13.9 \%$ | $(6.2 \%, 28.2 \%)$ | $16.9 \%$ | $(13.7 \%, 20.6 \%)$ |
| Charles City County | 51036 | $12.8 \%$ | $(4.1 \%, 49.4 \%)$ | $11.0 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 15.8 \%)$ |
| Charlotte County | 51037 | $29.3 \%$ | $(8.7 \%, 64.3 \%)$ | $16.4 \%$ | $(13.8 \%, 19.3 \%)$ |
| Chesterfield County | 51041 | $11.0 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 15.3 \%)$ | $10.7 \%$ | $(9.1 \%, 12.4 \%)$ |
| Cumberland County | 51049 | $37.9 \%$ | $(16.2 \%, 65.9 \%)$ | $17.0 \%$ | $(14.0 \%, 20.4 \%)$ |
| Dinwiddie County | 51053 | $3.6 \%$ | $(2.4 \%, 19.7 \%)$ | $10.3 \%$ | $(6.8 \%, 15.5 \%)$ |
| Goochland County | 51075 | $6.6 \%$ | $(1.8 \%, 21.2 \%)$ | $8.2 \%$ | $(6.2 \%, 10.7 \%)$ |
| Greensville County | 51081 | $15.5 \%$ | $(3.2 \%, 50.6 \%)$ | $22.0 \%$ | $(18.2 \%, 26.4 \%)$ |
| Halifax County | 51083 | $18.8 \%$ | $(10.6 \%, 31.1 \%)$ | $18.8 \%$ | $(16.7 \%, 21.2 \%)$ |
| Hanover County | 51085 | $7.3 \%$ | $(4.0 \%, 13.0 \%)$ | $10.3 \%$ | $(8.8 \%, 12.1 \%)$ |
| Henrico County | 51087 | $9.8 \%$ | $(6.8 \%, 14.0 \%)$ | $10.5 \%$ | $(9.2 \%, 11.9 \%)$ |
| Lunenburg County | 51111 | $32.7 \%$ | $(10.9 \%, 65.8 \%)$ | $16.6 \%$ | $(14.1 \%, 19.5 \%)$ |
| Mecklenburg County | 51117 | $15.1 \%$ | $(7.7 \%, 27.8 \%)$ | $19.6 \%$ | $(17.3 \%, 22.1 \%)$ |
| New Kent County | 51127 | $2.9 \%$ | $(1.7 \%, 24.8 \%)$ | $10.7 \%$ | $(9.0 \%, 12.6 \%)$ |
| Nottoway County | 51135 | $11.2 \%$ | $(5.9 \%, 33.4 \%)$ | $12.1 \%$ | $(8.9 \%, 16.2 \%)$ |
| Powhatan County | 51145 | $23.3 \%$ | $(4.0 \%, 68.6 \%)$ | $10.9 \%$ | $(9.2 \%, 13.0 \%)$ |
| Prince Edward County | 51147 | $11.5 \%$ | $(4.2 \%, 27.6 \%)$ | $11.6 \%$ | $(9.4 \%, 14.3 \%)$ |
| Prince George County | 51149 | $8.8 \%$ | $(3.5 \%, 20.4 \%)$ | $17.8 \%$ | $(11.1 \%, 27.2 \%)$ |
| Surry County | 51181 | $0.0 \%$ | $(-0.0 \%, 47.9 \%)$ | $6.2 \%$ | $(3.5 \%, 10.7 \%)$ |
| Sussex County | 51183 | $9.9 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 25.6 \%)$ | $14.6 \%$ | $(10.9 \%, 19.2 \%)$ |
| Colonial Heights City | 51570 | $18.6 \%$ | $(4.6 \%, 59.7 \%)$ | $13.9 \%$ | $(9.3 \%, 20.4 \%)$ |
| Emporia City | 51595 | $40.9 \%$ | $(6.4 \%, 87.5 \%)$ | $17.7 \%$ | $(9.1 \%, 31.6 \%)$ |
| Hopewell City | 51670 | $36.5 \%$ | $(14.3 \%, 66.5 \%)$ | $25.9 \%$ | $(12.5 \%, 45.9 \%)$ |
| Petersburg City | 51730 | $6.5 \%$ | $(2.3 \%, 16.9 \%)$ | $11.5 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 19.1 \%)$ |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $7.6 \%$ | $(4.8 \%, 12.0 \%)$ | $8.1 \%$ | $(6.5 \%, 10.1 \%)$ |



Abt

| County name | County <br> FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE <br> composite | SAE 95\% CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack County | 51001 | $13.9 \%$ | $(8.0 \%, 23.2 \%)$ | $18.2 \%$ | $(13.2 \%, 24.5 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $35.4 \%$ | $(14.2 \%, 64.5 \%)$ | $17.6 \%$ | $(14.3 \%, 21.4 \%)$ |
| Gloucester County | 51073 | $11.2 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 26.3 \%)$ | $11.8 \%$ | $(10.3 \%, 13.4 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight County | 51093 | $22.2 \%$ | $(11.1 \%, 39.4 \%)$ | $11.4 \%$ | $(9.3 \%, 13.8 \%)$ |
| James City County | 51095 | $10.1 \%$ | $(5.0 \%, 19.1 \%)$ | $9.1 \%$ | $(7.7 \%, 10.7 \%)$ |
| King and Queen County | 51097 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 58.6 \%)$ | $11.7 \%$ | $(7.1 \%, 18.7 \%)$ |
| King William County | 51101 | $16.3 \%$ | $(4.7 \%, 43.2 \%)$ | $9.0 \%$ | $(6.8 \%, 11.9 \%)$ |
| Lancaster County | 51103 | $33.4 \%$ | $(10.6 \%, 67.9 \%)$ | $19.3 \%$ | $(12.9 \%, 27.9 \%)$ |
| Mathews County | 51115 | $5.3 \%$ | $(1.7 \%, 24.9 \%)$ | $7.1 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 14.5 \%)$ |
| Middlesex County | 51119 | $0.8 \%$ | $(0.4 \%, 48.5 \%)$ | $10.8 \%$ | $(7.2 \%, 16.0 \%)$ |
| Northampton County | 51131 | $16.0 \%$ | $(5.4 \%, 39.0 \%)$ | $10.1 \%$ | $(7.3 \%, 13.7 \%)$ |
| Northumberland County | 51133 | $33.9 \%$ | $(14.1 \%, 61.6 \%)$ | $25.8 \%$ | $(18.4 \%, 35.0 \%)$ |
| Richmond County | 51159 | $16.3 \%$ | $(5.6 \%, 39.1 \%)$ | $16.3 \%$ | $(13.2 \%, 19.9 \%)$ |
| Southampton County | 51175 | $21.2 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 54.6 \%)$ | $13.6 \%$ | $(11.1 \%, 16.6 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland County | 51193 | $16.5 \%$ | $(6.2 \%, 37.0 \%)$ | $16.6 \%$ | $(14.2 \%, 19.4 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $8.0 \%$ | $(3.6 \%, 17.1 \%)$ | $8.6 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 9.9 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $9.1 \%$ | $(5.9 \%, 13.7 \%)$ | $9.6 \%$ | $(8.6 \%, 10.7 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $9.6 \%$ | $(4.9 \%, 26.2 \%)$ | $12.9 \%$ | $(8.8 \%, 18.6 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $13.3 \%$ | $(8.7 \%, 19.8 \%)$ | $13.0 \%$ | $(11.5 \%, 14.7 \%)$ |
| Newport News City | 51700 | $7.9 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 14.1 \%)$ | $9.1 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 11.1 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $11.0 \%$ | $(6.9 \%, 17.2 \%)$ | $8.3 \%$ | $(7.2 \%, 9.6 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $61.1 \%$ | $(20.8 \%, 90.3 \%)$ | $7.1 \%$ | $(4.6 \%, 11.0 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $15.6 \%$ | $(9.3 \%, 25.0 \%)$ | $14.7 \%$ | $(12.9 \%, 16.7 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $17.2 \%$ | $(10.5 \%, 26.9 \%)$ | $13.2 \%$ | $(11.7 \%, 14.9 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach City | 51810 | $7.0 \%$ | $(5.0 \%, 10.7 \%)$ | $7.5 \%$ | $(6.6 \%, 8.5 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg City | 51830 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 55.7 \%)$ | $2.3 \%$ | $(1.0 \%, 5.2 \%)$ |

Section 4: Graphical representation


Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval

# Lifetime diabetes Alleghany, Roanoke and Central Virginia HDs 



Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 90\% confidence interval
-


Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 90\% confidence interval

Lifetime diabetes Norfolk,Portsmouth,Chesapeake,VA Beach,W. Tidewater HDs


Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1 -sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval

## 五



Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval

Lifetime diabetes Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs
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Lifetime diabetes
Chesterfield, Chickahominy, Henrico and Richmond HDs
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# Lifetime diabetes Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs 
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Lifetime diabetes Rappahannock/Rapidan and Rappahannock HDs
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## Lifetime diabetes Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs
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## Section 5: Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 58 areas.

Direct estimate is outside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 75 areas.

SAE estimate is inside the 95\% direct Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 128$ areas.

SAE estimate is outside the 95\% direct Wilson CI: 5 areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl : 115 areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 18$ areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.

SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 114 areas.

SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 4 areas.

Direct standard error is zero: 15 areas.

Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =0.01 \% ;$ med $=2.58 \% ; \max =16.39 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: min $=44.63 \%$; med $=$ 93.81\%; $\max =99.68 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.01 \% ; \operatorname{med}=2.05 \% ; \max =53.65 \%$.

