Abt

## Indicator 34: Pap Test 18+ Past 3 Years

Internal variable name: outc34_pap_test

## Section 1: Definition

Definition: Women respondents aged $18+$ who have had a pap test in the past three years
Section 2: Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 34: Pap Test 18+ |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic Black vs. Non-Hispanic White | 0.1009 | -0.0313 | -0.0313 |
|  | (0.1586) | (0.1875) | (0.1726) |
| Non-Hispanic Asian vs. Non-Hispanic White | -0.8194*** | -0.9309** | -0.9309*** |
|  | (0.2353) | (0.3126) | (0.2281) |
| Non-Hispanic Other vs. Non-Hispanic White | 0.6046 | 0.4452 | 0.4452 |
|  | (0.3643) | (0.4044) | (0.3544) |
| Hispanic vs. Non-Hispanic White | 0.3142 | 0.1568 | 0.1568 |
|  | (0.2947) | (0.3064) | (0.2928) |
| Age 18-24 vs. 65+ | -0.0837 | -0.0395 | -0.0395 |
|  | (0.2020) | (0.1983) | (0.2029) |
| Age 25-34 vs. 65+ | 1.5423*** | 1.6033*** | 1.6033*** |
|  | (0.1833) | (0.1994) | (0.1928) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | 1.4358*** | 1.4862*** | 1.4862*** |
|  | (0.1777) | (0.1943) | (0.1809) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | 1.2120*** | 1.2269*** | 1.2269*** |
|  | (0.1527) | (0.1675) | (0.1550) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | 1.0686*** | 1.1087*** | 1.1087*** |
|  | (0.1179) | (0.1611) | (0.1208) |
| \% below 1.5x poverty line |  | -0.0729*** | -0.0729*** |
|  |  | (0.0114) | (0.0102) |
| \% Hispanic among adults 18 to 64 |  | 0.0205 | 0.0205 |
|  |  | (0.0461) | (0.0398) |
| \% Non-Hispanic minority among adults 18 to |  | 0.0260** | 0.0260*** |
| 64 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (0.0080) | (0.0075) |
| Compound \% lacking kitchen or complete plumbing |  | 0.1916* | 0.1916* |
|  |  | (0.0937) | (0.0770) |
| \% without health insurance, total population |  | 0.1139*** | 0.1139*** |




Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=3$.


Section 3: Results

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE <br> composite | SAE 95\% CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta County | 51015 | $75.6 \%$ | $(55.7 \%, 88.4 \%)$ | $75.5 \%$ | $(70.9 \%, 79.7 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $100.0 \%$ | $(21.1 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $88.5 \%$ | $(71.9 \%, 95.9 \%)$ |
| Caroline County | 51033 | $82.5 \%$ | $(35.7 \%, 97.6 \%)$ | $86.6 \%$ | $(82.2 \%, 90.0 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $58.1 \%$ | $(13.9 \%, 92.3 \%)$ | $78.1 \%$ | $(72.6 \%, 82.7 \%)$ |
| Culpeper County | 51047 | $67.3 \%$ | $(41.3 \%, 85.8 \%)$ | $82.6 \%$ | $(78.2 \%, 86.2 \%)$ |
| Fauquier County | 51061 | $91.8 \%$ | $(73.2 \%, 95.6 \%)$ | $84.0 \%$ | $(79.9 \%, 87.5 \%)$ |
| Frederick County | 51069 | $70.8 \%$ | $(51.9 \%, 84.4 \%)$ | $81.8 \%$ | $(76.5 \%, 86.1 \%)$ |
| Highland County | 51091 | $100.0 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $66.5 \%$ | $(48.5 \%, 80.8 \%)$ |
| King George County | 51099 | $89.0 \%$ | $(35.9 \%, 97.7 \%)$ | $86.2 \%$ | $(81.7 \%, 89.8 \%)$ |
| Madison County | 51113 | $73.6 \%$ | $(36.0 \%, 93.2 \%)$ | $80.2 \%$ | $(72.8 \%, 86.0 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $94.5 \%$ | $(68.5 \%, 97.4 \%)$ | $83.9 \%$ | $(79.3 \%, 87.7 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $87.1 \%$ | $(39.0 \%, 96.0 \%)$ | $71.9 \%$ | $(65.0 \%, 78.0 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock County | 51157 | $59.6 \%$ | $(10.3 \%, 95.0 \%)$ | $81.1 \%$ | $(74.0 \%, 86.6 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge County | 51163 | $75.9 \%$ | $(32.6 \%, 93.3 \%)$ | $80.1 \%$ | $(75.1 \%, 84.4 \%)$ |
| Rockingham County | 51165 | $84.9 \%$ | $(67.5 \%, 93.8 \%)$ | $82.9 \%$ | $(77.5 \%, 87.3 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah County | 51171 | $87.4 \%$ | $(62.4 \%, 95.3 \%)$ | $78.8 \%$ | $(72.6 \%, 84.0 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania County | 51177 | $73.7 \%$ | $(49.9 \%, 88.7 \%)$ | $84.2 \%$ | $(80.3 \%, 87.4 \%)$ |
| Stafford County | 51179 | $87.4 \%$ | $(71.0 \%, 95.2 \%)$ | $83.1 \%$ | $(79.4 \%, 86.2 \%)$ |
| Warren County | 51187 | $95.0 \%$ | $(54.4 \%, 97.8 \%)$ | $83.0 \%$ | $(78.2 \%, 86.9 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista City | 51530 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 99.4 \%)$ | $66.3 \%$ | $(51.4 \%, 78.6 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg City | 51630 | $79.0 \%$ | $(27.3 \%, 97.4 \%)$ | $82.3 \%$ | $(77.1 \%, 86.6 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg City | 51660 | $82.3 \%$ | $(22.1 \%, 96.9 \%)$ | $40.9 \%$ | $(27.2 \%, 56.2 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 99.4 \%)$ | $53.3 \%$ | $(29.9 \%, 75.3 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $89.1 \%$ | $(32.2 \%, 97.8 \%)$ | $84.6 \%$ | $(77.9 \%, 89.5 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro City | 51820 | $67.7 \%$ | $(25.2 \%, 92.9 \%)$ | $76.0 \%$ | $(69.6 \%, 81.4 \%)$ |
| Winchester City | 51840 | $100.0 \%$ | $(24.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $76.2 \%$ | $(66.5 \%, 83.9 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE <br> composite | SAE 95\% CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle County | 51003 | $78.8 \%$ | $(63.1 \%, 89.0 \%)$ | $69.7 \%$ | $(65.4 \%, 73.6 \%)$ |
| Alleghany County | 51005 | $48.3 \%$ | $(20.3 \%, 77.5 \%)$ | $67.7 \%$ | $(60.0 \%, 74.6 \%)$ |
| Amherst County | 51009 | $83.8 \%$ | $(43.7 \%, 94.2 \%)$ | $81.8 \%$ | $(77.6 \%, 85.3 \%)$ |
| Appomattox County | 51011 | $80.5 \%$ | $(45.7 \%, 92.9 \%)$ | $81.5 \%$ | $(70.2 \%, 89.2 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $83.6 \%$ | $(68.0 \%, 92.4 \%)$ | $83.3 \%$ | $(78.6 \%, 87.1 \%)$ |
| Bedford County | 51019 | $82.9 \%$ | $(57.7 \%, 94.5 \%)$ | $73.9 \%$ | $(68.9 \%, 78.3 \%)$ |
| Botetourt County | 51023 | $73.6 \%$ | $(47.6 \%, 89.5 \%)$ | $70.7 \%$ | $(65.1 \%, 75.7 \%)$ |
| Campbell County | 51031 | $67.8 \%$ | $(40.8 \%, 86.5 \%)$ | $74.9 \%$ | $(70.1 \%, 79.2 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $86.3 \%$ | $(15.6 \%, 98.4 \%)$ | $67.4 \%$ | $(56.3 \%, 76.9 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $82.6 \%$ | $(74.1 \%, 88.7 \%)$ | $81.4 \%$ | $(77.9 \%, 84.4 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna County | 51065 | $89.1 \%$ | $(42.0 \%, 97.7 \%)$ | $84.7 \%$ | $(77.3 \%, 90.0 \%)$ |
| Greene County | 51079 | $84.1 \%$ | $(37.3 \%, 97.9 \%)$ | $79.8 \%$ | $(75.3 \%, 83.8 \%)$ |
| Loudoun County | 51107 | $82.4 \%$ | $(70.6 \%, 90.1 \%)$ | $83.1 \%$ | $(80.1 \%, 85.7 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $71.1 \%$ | $(40.4 \%, 89.2 \%)$ | $88.2 \%$ | $(81.8 \%, 92.5 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $82.5 \%$ | $(43.0 \%, 95.4 \%)$ | $82.7 \%$ | $(78.1 \%, 86.6 \%)$ |
| Prince William County | 51153 | $75.6 \%$ | $(62.9 \%, 85.0 \%)$ | $81.4 \%$ | $(75.4 \%, 86.2 \%)$ |
| Roanoke County | 51161 | $73.8 \%$ | $(57.4 \%, 85.5 \%)$ | $71.9 \%$ | $(67.5 \%, 75.9 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $89.7 \%$ | $(72.3 \%, 96.7 \%)$ | $83.8 \%$ | $(79.3 \%, 87.4 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville City | 51540 | $57.9 \%$ | $(24.4 \%, 85.4 \%)$ | $62.0 \%$ | $(54.0 \%, 69.5 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | .$\%$ | $(. \%, . \%)$ | $93.5 \%$ | $(76.2 \%, 98.5 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $74.3 \%$ | $(55.5 \%, 87.1 \%)$ | $72.2 \%$ | $(67.2 \%, 76.7 \%)$ |
| Falls Church City | 51610 | $100.0 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $76.7 \%$ | $(68.4 \%, 83.4 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $67.9 \%$ | $(33.7 \%, 89.8 \%)$ | $65.1 \%$ | $(58.5 \%, 71.2 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $95.8 \%$ | $(24.0 \%, 99.1 \%)$ | $86.9 \%$ | $(76.9 \%, 92.9 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park City | 51685 | $100.0 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $76.1 \%$ | $(60.6 \%, 86.9 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $46.2 \%$ | $(11.2 \%, 85.4 \%)$ | $75.2 \%$ | $(70.0 \%, 79.8 \%)$ |



| County name | County <br> FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE <br> composite | SAE 95\% CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bland County | 51021 | $82.6 \%$ | $(19.1 \%, 97.9 \%)$ | $76.4 \%$ | $(70.8 \%, 81.2 \%)$ |
| Buchanan County | 51027 | $71.7 \%$ | $(46.7 \%, 88.0 \%)$ | $60.4 \%$ | $(48.7 \%, 71.1 \%)$ |
| Carroll County | 51035 | $68.4 \%$ | $(40.6 \%, 87.2 \%)$ | $66.6 \%$ | $(55.6 \%, 76.0 \%)$ |
| Dickenson County | 51051 | $67.1 \%$ | $(38.8 \%, 86.8 \%)$ | $69.6 \%$ | $(58.2 \%, 79.0 \%)$ |
| Floyd County | 51063 | $53.8 \%$ | $(22.3 \%, 82.6 \%)$ | $67.8 \%$ | $(58.7 \%, 75.7 \%)$ |
| Franklin County | 51067 | $87.5 \%$ | $(62.9 \%, 96.7 \%)$ | $75.7 \%$ | $(71.1 \%, 79.8 \%)$ |
| Giles County | 51071 | $69.1 \%$ | $(29.2 \%, 91.4 \%)$ | $80.2 \%$ | $(69.6 \%, 87.8 \%)$ |
| Grayson County | 51077 | $62.5 \%$ | $(21.4 \%, 91.1 \%)$ | $56.9 \%$ | $(45.7 \%, 67.4 \%)$ |
| Henry County | 51089 | $67.6 \%$ | $(48.3 \%, 82.3 \%)$ | $71.7 \%$ | $(65.9 \%, 76.8 \%)$ |
| Lee County | 51105 | $56.4 \%$ | $(27.4 \%, 81.7 \%)$ | $66.8 \%$ | $(56.5 \%, 75.7 \%)$ |
| Montgomery County | 51121 | $64.5 \%$ | $(46.6 \%, 79.1 \%)$ | $48.7 \%$ | $(39.4 \%, 58.2 \%)$ |
| Patrick County | 51141 | $62.7 \%$ | $(30.1 \%, 86.8 \%)$ | $64.3 \%$ | $(56.8 \%, 71.2 \%)$ |
| Pittsylvania County | 51143 | $83.6 \%$ | $(71.0 \%, 91.4 \%)$ | $75.5 \%$ | $(70.4 \%, 79.9 \%)$ |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | $80.4 \%$ | $(52.5 \%, 93.9 \%)$ | $73.9 \%$ | $(65.4 \%, 80.8 \%)$ |
| Russell County | 51167 | $68.3 \%$ | $(28.9 \%, 92.0 \%)$ | $67.7 \%$ | $(59.8 \%, 74.7 \%)$ |
| Scott County | 51169 | $79.5 \%$ | $(58.7 \%, 91.4 \%)$ | $73.0 \%$ | $(64.9 \%, 79.8 \%)$ |
| Smyth County | 51173 | $33.5 \%$ | $(15.4 \%, 58.2 \%)$ | $61.3 \%$ | $(52.7 \%, 69.2 \%)$ |
| Tazewell County | 51185 | $60.6 \%$ | $(37.7 \%, 79.6 \%)$ | $72.7 \%$ | $(65.8 \%, 78.6 \%)$ |
| Washington County | 51191 | $88.1 \%$ | $(67.4 \%, 94.8 \%)$ | $70.8 \%$ | $(63.8 \%, 77.0 \%)$ |
| Wise County | 51195 | $77.9 \%$ | $(58.5 \%, 89.8 \%)$ | $70.9 \%$ | $(65.5 \%, 75.7 \%)$ |
| Wythe County | 51197 | $86.7 \%$ | $(58.4 \%, 96.8 \%)$ | $78.6 \%$ | $(68.7 \%, 86.0 \%)$ |
| Bristol City | 51520 | $56.8 \%$ | $(16.8 \%, 89.5 \%)$ | $65.4 \%$ | $(56.3 \%, 73.5 \%)$ |
| Danville City | 51590 | $76.3 \%$ | $(35.3 \%, 95.0 \%)$ | $71.6 \%$ | $(65.1 \%, 77.4 \%)$ |
| Galax City | 51640 | $100.0 \%$ | $(8.3 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $65.0 \%$ | $(41.7 \%, 82.8 \%)$ |
| Martinsville City | 51690 | $29.2 \%$ | $(4.8 \%, 81.6 \%)$ | $61.3 \%$ | $(50.0 \%, 71.5 \%)$ |
| Norton City | 51720 | $100.0 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $75.3 \%$ | $(60.2 \%, 86.1 \%)$ |
| Radford City | 51750 | $25.6 \%$ | $(1.4 \%, 89.3 \%)$ | $15.4 \%$ | $(7.6 \%, 28.7 \%)$ |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | $79.1 \%$ | $(63.0 \%, 89.3 \%)$ | $76.8 \%$ | $(71.7 \%, 81.3 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| County name | County <br> FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE <br> composite | SAE 95\% CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amelia County | 51007 | $100.0 \%$ | $(71.9 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $87.4 \%$ | $(78.2 \%, 93.1 \%)$ |
| Brunswick County | 51025 | $93.9 \%$ | $(61.5 \%, 97.5 \%)$ | $74.8 \%$ | $(66.7 \%, 81.5 \%)$ |
| Buckingham County | 51029 | $80.0 \%$ | $(36.2 \%, 96.6 \%)$ | $76.0 \%$ | $(68.4 \%, 82.3 \%)$ |
| Charles City County | 51036 | $51.1 \%$ | $(2.6 \%, 97.6 \%)$ | $92.4 \%$ | $(86.4 \%, 95.8 \%)$ |
| Charlotte County | 51037 | $97.7 \%$ | $(24.3 \%, 99.5 \%)$ | $90.3 \%$ | $(84.6 \%, 94.0 \%)$ |
| Chesterfield County | 51041 | $88.7 \%$ | $(80.3 \%, 93.8 \%)$ | $85.4 \%$ | $(82.7 \%, 87.7 \%)$ |
| Cumberland County | 51049 | $99.0 \%$ | $(55.0 \%, 99.5 \%)$ | $87.5 \%$ | $(82.1 \%, 91.4 \%)$ |
| Dinwiddie County | 51053 | $95.9 \%$ | $(59.0 \%, 98.0 \%)$ | $85.9 \%$ | $(80.3 \%, 90.0 \%)$ |
| Goochland County | 51075 | $87.9 \%$ | $(56.8 \%, 94.6 \%)$ | $82.6 \%$ | $(76.9 \%, 87.2 \%)$ |
| Greensville County | 51081 | $64.8 \%$ | $(11.8 \%, 96.2 \%)$ | $75.1 \%$ | $(61.6 \%, 85.0 \%)$ |
| Halifax County | 51083 | $89.2 \%$ | $(72.8 \%, 96.2 \%)$ | $76.5 \%$ | $(71.1 \%, 81.1 \%)$ |
| Hanover County | 51085 | $75.6 \%$ | $(56.2 \%, 88.3 \%)$ | $79.8 \%$ | $(76.3 \%, 82.9 \%)$ |
| Henrico County | 51087 | $89.0 \%$ | $(82.6 \%, 93.2 \%)$ | $85.8 \%$ | $(82.7 \%, 88.4 \%)$ |
| Lunenburg County | 51111 | $90.4 \%$ | $(46.6 \%, 96.6 \%)$ | $77.0 \%$ | $(71.8 \%, 81.5 \%)$ |
| Mecklenburg County | 51117 | $75.7 \%$ | $(32.0 \%, 95.4 \%)$ | $80.4 \%$ | $(75.0 \%, 84.8 \%)$ |
| New Kent County | 51127 | $85.0 \%$ | $(44.6 \%, 95.8 \%)$ | $83.0 \%$ | $(77.6 \%, 87.3 \%)$ |
| Nottoway County | 51135 | $88.0 \%$ | $(43.4 \%, 96.3 \%)$ | $79.9 \%$ | $(74.9 \%, 84.2 \%)$ |
| Powhatan County | 51145 | $80.7 \%$ | $(29.8 \%, 97.6 \%)$ | $76.9 \%$ | $(71.7 \%, 81.3 \%)$ |
| Prince Edward County | 51147 | $84.3 \%$ | $(50.0 \%, 96.7 \%)$ | $73.7 \%$ | $(68.1 \%, 78.7 \%)$ |
| Prince George County | 51149 | $73.9 \%$ | $(31.2 \%, 94.7 \%)$ | $73.6 \%$ | $(68.5 \%, 78.2 \%)$ |
| Surry County | 51181 | $61.7 \%$ | $(8.0 \%, 96.8 \%)$ | $81.5 \%$ | $(71.5 \%, 88.6 \%)$ |
| Sussex County | 51183 | $46.0 \%$ | $(4.1 \%, 94.5 \%)$ | $67.2 \%$ | $(57.7 \%, 75.5 \%)$ |
| Colonial Heights City | 51570 | $100.0 \%$ | $(6.2 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $87.6 \%$ | $(82.3 \%, 91.5 \%)$ |
| Emporia City | 51595 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 90.3 \%)$ | $77.7 \%$ | $(50.3 \%, 92.3 \%)$ |
| Hopewell City | 51670 | $93.9 \%$ | $(31.8 \%, 98.5 \%)$ | $91.0 \%$ | $(85.1 \%, 94.6 \%)$ |
| Petersburg City | 51730 | $89.6 \%$ | $(60.6 \%, 98.0 \%)$ | $90.1 \%$ | $(83.7 \%, 94.2 \%)$ |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $82.4 \%$ | $(70.7 \%, 90.1 \%)$ | $80.4 \%$ | $(75.2 \%, 84.7 \%)$ |
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| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE <br> composite | SAE 95\% CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack County | 51001 | $87.3 \%$ | $(71.0 \%, 95.1 \%)$ | $81.4 \%$ | $(74.1 \%, 87.0 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $84.5 \%$ | $(35.7 \%, 95.9 \%)$ | $74.7 \%$ | $(67.3 \%, 81.0 \%)$ |
| Gloucester County | 51073 | $87.0 \%$ | $(58.7 \%, 96.9 \%)$ | $84.4 \%$ | $(80.1 \%, 87.9 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight County | 51093 | $87.0 \%$ | $(64.0 \%, 96.2 \%)$ | $86.1 \%$ | $(82.3 \%, 89.3 \%)$ |
| James City County | 51095 | $68.8 \%$ | $(42.6 \%, 86.7 \%)$ | $72.0 \%$ | $(67.5 \%, 76.1 \%)$ |
| King and Queen County | 51097 | $100.0 \%$ | $(19.0 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $81.0 \%$ | $(74.1 \%, 86.5 \%)$ |
| King William County | 51101 | $95.5 \%$ | $(47.7 \%, 98.4 \%)$ | $83.0 \%$ | $(76.4 \%, 88.0 \%)$ |
| Lancaster County | 51103 | $78.6 \%$ | $(41.6 \%, 95.0 \%)$ | $87.4 \%$ | $(80.2 \%, 92.2 \%)$ |
| Mathews County | 51115 | $69.7 \%$ | $(34.2 \%, 91.1 \%)$ | $80.1 \%$ | $(72.1 \%, 86.3 \%)$ |
| Middlesex County | 51119 | $39.9 \%$ | $(3.9 \%, 91.7 \%)$ | $78.9 \%$ | $(70.2 \%, 85.6 \%)$ |
| Northampton County | 51131 | $93.6 \%$ | $(60.0 \%, 96.5 \%)$ | $84.3 \%$ | $(78.0 \%, 89.1 \%)$ |
| Northumberland County | 51133 | $93.8 \%$ | $(50.4 \%, 98.0 \%)$ | $87.4 \%$ | $(72.1 \%, 94.9 \%)$ |
| Richmond County | 51159 | $72.6 \%$ | $(35.1 \%, 92.8 \%)$ | $71.4 \%$ | $(59.8 \%, 80.7 \%)$ |
| Southampton County | 51175 | $61.4 \%$ | $(21.1 \%, 90.4 \%)$ | $83.9 \%$ | $(76.9 \%, 89.0 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland County | 51193 | $77.9 \%$ | $(46.5 \%, 92.0 \%)$ | $80.3 \%$ | $(74.0 \%, 85.3 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $79.1 \%$ | $(50.6 \%, 93.3 \%)$ | $74.7 \%$ | $(70.9 \%, 78.2 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $84.2 \%$ | $(70.9 \%, 92.1 \%)$ | $81.8 \%$ | $(78.8 \%, 84.6 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $94.1 \%$ | $(64.3 \%, 97.3 \%)$ | $91.4 \%$ | $(83.8 \%, 95.7 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $76.0 \%$ | $(59.1 \%, 87.4 \%)$ | $79.2 \%$ | $(73.9 \%, 83.6 \%)$ |
| Newport News City | 51700 | $90.8 \%$ | $(74.7 \%, 97.1 \%)$ | $78.8 \%$ | $(73.2 \%, 83.6 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $77.0 \%$ | $(64.9 \%, 85.9 \%)$ | $73.5 \%$ | $(67.3 \%, 79.0 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $96.0 \%$ | $(11.1 \%, 99.6 \%)$ | $78.3 \%$ | $(73.4 \%, 82.6 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $78.2 \%$ | $(56.7 \%, 90.8 \%)$ | $83.0 \%$ | $(77.9 \%, 87.2 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $81.8 \%$ | $(60.5 \%, 92.9 \%)$ | $86.8 \%$ | $(82.3 \%, 90.2 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach City | 51810 | $82.4 \%$ | $(72.1 \%, 89.4 \%)$ | $79.7 \%$ | $(77.4 \%, 81.7 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg City | 51830 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 99.4 \%)$ | $70.0 \%$ | $(55.5 \%, 81.4 \%)$ |
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Section 4: Graphical representation

# Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+) Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs 



Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval


Text at right: 1 -sided $95 \%$ LCB | Point Estimate | 1 -sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval
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# Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+) Alleghany, Roanoke and Central Virginia HDs 


\% incidence rate
Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 90\% confidence interval

Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+) Norfolk,Portsmouth,Chesapeake,VA Beach,W. Tidewater HDs


Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval

## Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+) Rappahannock/Rapidan and Rappahannock HDs



Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval

## Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+) Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs



Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval
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Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+)
Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs


Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval


Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval

# Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+) Cumberland Plateau and Lenowisco HDs 



Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval

## Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+) New River and Mount Rogers HDs



Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 90\% confidence interval

## Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+) Crater, Peninsula and Hampton HDs



Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $90 \%$ confidence interval

Indicator 34: Pap Test 18+ Past 3 Years

## Pap test in past 3 years (women 18+) Eastern Shore and Three Rivers HDs



Text at right: 1-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 1-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 90\% confidence interval


## Section 5: Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 53 areas.

Direct estimate is outside the $95 \%$ SAE Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 80$ areas.

SAE estimate is inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 132$ areas.

SAE estimate is outside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI : 1 areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI : 121 areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 12$ areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.

SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 117 areas.
SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 1 areas.

Direct standard error is zero: 15 areas.

Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =0.31 \% ;$ med $=19.41 \% ; \max =66.27 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: min $=32.35 \%$; med $=$ 77.06\%; $\max =98.75 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.03 \% ; \operatorname{med}=1.75 \% ; \max =34.55 \%$.

