## Outcome 12: Dental visit in the past year

Internal variable name: outc12_dentist
Definition: Adults who have visited a dentist, dental hygenist or dental clinic within the past year

## Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 12: Dental visit in the past year |  |  |  |
| NH White | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| NH Black vs. NH White | -0.4537*** | -0.4045*** | $0.4051^{* * *}$ |
|  | (0.0748) | (0.0866) | (0.0784) |
| NH Asian vs. NH White | -0.2231 | -0.2666 | -0.2668 |
|  | (0.1433) | (0.1938) | (0.1415) |
| NH Other vs. NH White | -0.2109 | -0.2239 | -0.2238 |
|  | (0.1445) | (0.1368) | (0.1449) |
| Hispanic vs. NH White | -0.4732** | -0.4938*** | -0.4943** |
|  | (0.1691) | (0.1291) | (0.1759) |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT=1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Female | 0.2118** | 0.2096** | 0.2096** |
|  | (0.0704) | (0.0662) | (0.0729) |
| Age 18-34 vs. 65+ | -0.3282*** | -0.3324*** | 0.3326*** |
|  | (0.0924) | (0.0900) | (0.0863) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | 0.0145 | -0.0120 | -0.0119 |
|  | (0.1245) | (0.1035) | (0.1222) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | 0.0158 | -0.0014 | -0.0014 |
|  | (0.1137) | (0.1004) | (0.1131) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | 0.0102 | -0.0019 | -0.0018 |
|  | (0.0780) | (0.0890) | (0.0769) |
| 65+ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Percentage calculated by dividing College_ACS_15_19 by$\qquad$ |  | 0.4571 | 0.4568 |
|  |  | (0.4433) | (0.5353) |
| VA BRFSS 2014 SAE |  | 0.2793 | 0.2738 |


| outc28_dentist |  |  | $(0.8413)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VA BRFSS 2017 SAE <br> outc4_phys_activity |  | $1.8793^{*}$ | $1.8796^{*}$ |
|  |  | $(0.7687)$ | $(0.8996)$ |
| \% Smokers, County <br> Rankings/BRFSS |  | -0.0354 | -0.0356 |
|  | $0.9255^{* * *}$ | $(0.0216)$ | $(0.0251)$ |
| _cons | $(0.1021)$ | -0.1298 | -0.1220 |
|  | $0.1235^{*}$ |  | $(0.9493)$ |
| Area effect variance | $(0.0539)$ |  | 0.0008 |
| var(_cons[FIPS_county]) |  |  | $(0.0394)$ |

Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=6$.

## Results by health districts

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta <br> County | 51015 | $57.6 \%$ | $(46.1 \%, 68.4 \%)$ | $71.3 \%$ | $(67.5 \%, 74.8 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $88.9 \%$ | $(53.7 \%, 96.4 \%)$ | $69.7 \%$ | $(63.3 \%, 75.4 \%)$ |
| Caroline <br> County | 51033 | $44.1 \%$ | $(23.5 \%, 66.9 \%)$ | $66.9 \%$ | $(63.5 \%, 70.2 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $87.5 \%$ | $(59.7 \%, 97.1 \%)$ | $64.0 \%$ | $(53.4 \%, 73.4 \%)$ |
| Culpeper <br> County | 51047 | $64.2 \%$ | $(44.8 \%, 79.9 \%)$ | $67.5 \%$ | $(64.1 \%, 70.8 \%)$ |
| Fauquier <br> County | 51061 | $67.0 \%$ | $(52.5 \%, 78.9 \%)$ | $73.4 \%$ | $(70.2 \%, 76.3 \%)$ |
| Frederick <br> County | 51069 | $67.9 \%$ | $(53.8 \%, 79.3 \%)$ | $71.8 \%$ | $(68.1 \%, 75.2 \%)$ |
| Highland <br> County | 51091 | $93.8 \%$ | $(46.6 \%, 98.1 \%)$ | $69.2 \%$ | $(60.4 \%, 76.8 \%)$ |
| King George <br> County | 51099 | $69.6 \%$ | $(46.4 \%, 85.8 \%)$ | $73.2 \%$ | $(69.1 \%, 77.0 \%)$ |
| Madison <br> County | 51113 | $76.6 \%$ | $(53.9 \%, 90.1 \%)$ | $67.2 \%$ | $(63.8 \%, 70.4 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $78.9 \%$ | $(61.5 \%, 89.7 \%)$ | $69.3 \%$ | $(66.2 \%, 72.2 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $71.8 \%$ | $(50.5 \%, 86.4 \%)$ | $61.9 \%$ | $(56.4 \%, 67.1 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock <br> County | 51157 | $91.8 \%$ | $(50.7 \%, 97.9 \%)$ | $71.1 \%$ | $(66.4 \%, 75.4 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge <br> County | 51163 | $77.7 \%$ | $(61.0 \%, 88.6 \%)$ | $72.8 \%$ | $(69.1 \%, 76.2 \%)$ |
| Rockingham <br> County | 51165 | $76.3 \%$ | $(64.1 \%, 85.3 \%)$ | $69.6 \%$ | $(65.7 \%, 73.3 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah <br> County | 51171 | $68.6 \%$ | $(51.6 \%, 81.8 \%)$ | $68.1 \%$ | $(63.8 \%, 72.1 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania <br> County | 51177 | $71.8 \%$ | $(60.9 \%, 80.7 \%)$ | $68.9 \%$ | $(66.2 \%, 71.4 \%)$ |
| Stafford <br> County | 51179 | $69.4 \%$ | $(59.2 \%, 78.1 \%)$ | $74.1 \%$ | $(70.4 \%, 77.4 \%)$ |
| Warren <br> County | 51187 | $69.5 \%$ | $(52.0 \%, 82.8 \%)$ | $71.1 \%$ | $(67.3 \%, 74.6 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista <br> City | 51530 | $74.6 \%$ | $(19.8 \%, 97.2 \%)$ | $55.0 \%$ | $(45.6 \%, 63.9 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg <br> City | 51630 | $77.6 \%$ | $(56.8 \%, 90.1 \%)$ | $71.5 \%$ | $(67.0 \%, 75.5 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg <br> City | 51660 | $44.4 \%$ | $(20.4 \%, 71.4 \%)$ | $63.9 \%$ | $(57.7 \%, 69.7 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | $100.0 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $77.9 \%$ | $(71.8 \%, 82.9 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $67.3 \%$ | $(33.9 \%, 89.2 \%)$ | $72.9 \%$ | $(68.0 \%, 77.3 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro | 51820 | $11.6 \%$ | $(2.6 \%, 73.5 \%)$ | $68.1 \%$ | $(65.2 \%, 70.9 \%)$ |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winchester <br> City | 51840 | $64.5 \%$ | $(30.4 \%, 88.3 \%)$ | $66.0 \%$ | $(59.8 \%, 71.7 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle <br> County | 51003 | $75.1 \%$ | $(64.3 \%, 83.5 \%)$ | $76.8 \%$ | $(72.9 \%, 80.2 \%)$ |
| Alleghany <br> County | 51005 | $59.0 \%$ | $(38.6 \%, 76.7 \%)$ | $69.9 \%$ | $(65.3 \%, 74.1 \%)$ |
| Amherst <br> County | 51009 | $71.7 \%$ | $(55.4 \%, 83.8 \%)$ | $67.6 \%$ | $(63.9 \%, 71.0 \%)$ |
| Appomattox <br> County | 51011 | $75.5 \%$ | $(57.7 \%, 87.5 \%)$ | $69.7 \%$ | $(65.6 \%, 73.5 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $89.0 \%$ | $(81.2 \%, 93.9 \%)$ | $82.6 \%$ | $(76.8 \%, 87.2 \%)$ |
| Bedford <br> County | 51019 | $65.5 \%$ | $(53.7 \%, 75.6 \%)$ | $74.1 \%$ | $(70.2 \%, 77.7 \%)$ |
| Botetourt <br> County | 51023 | $78.1 \%$ | $(58.6 \%, 90.0 \%)$ | $75.5 \%$ | $(71.4 \%, 79.3 \%)$ |
| Campbell <br> County | 51031 | $69.6 \%$ | $(57.1 \%, 79.8 \%)$ | $64.0 \%$ | $(60.5 \%, 67.4 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $73.9 \%$ | $(35.6 \%, 93.6 \%)$ | $72.7 \%$ | $(67.8 \%, 77.2 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $78.7 \%$ | $(74.6 \%, 82.2 \%)$ | $26.0 \%$ | $(22.8 \%, 29.4 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna <br> County | 51065 | $77.0 \%$ | $(45.8 \%, 93.0 \%)$ | $74.9 \%$ | $(70.8 \%, 78.7 \%)$ |
| Greene <br> County | 51079 | $52.8 \%$ | $(20.8 \%, 82.7 \%)$ | $68.3 \%$ | $(65.2 \%, 71.3 \%)$ |
| Loudoun <br> County | 51107 | $74.4 \%$ | $(66.9 \%, 80.7 \%)$ | $79.6 \%$ | $(75.8 \%, 82.9 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $57.0 \%$ | $(35.4 \%, 76.2 \%)$ | $68.7 \%$ | $(65.3 \%, 71.8 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $50.1 \%$ | $(16.1 \%, 84.0 \%)$ | $67.8 \%$ | $(62.8 \%, 72.4 \%)$ |
| Prince William <br> County | 51153 | $70.6 \%$ | $(63.7 \%, 76.7 \%)$ | $71.8 \%$ | $(69.0 \%, 74.4 \%)$ |
| Roanoke <br> County | 51161 | $67.6 \%$ | $(57.5 \%, 76.3 \%)$ | $74.7 \%$ | $(71.7 \%, 77.6 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $70.2 \%$ | $(58.5 \%, 79.7 \%)$ | $76.0 \%$ | $(69.8 \%, 81.3 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville <br> City | 51540 | $40.8 \%$ | $(24.0 \%, 60.2 \%)$ | $72.6 \%$ | $(64.3 \%, 79.5 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | $100.0 \%$ | $(6.0 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $73.5 \%$ | $(65.8 \%, 80.0 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $75.8 \%$ | $(58.9 \%, 87.3 \%)$ | $76.1 \%$ | $(71.4 \%, 80.1 \%)$ |
| Falls Church <br> City | 51610 | $79.8 \%$ | $(49.6 \%, 94.1 \%)$ | $81.9 \%$ | $(74.7 \%, 87.3 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $63.9 \%$ | $(50.2 \%, 75.7 \%)$ | $69.6 \%$ | $(64.8 \%, 74.1 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $42.7 \%$ | $(22.1 \%, 66.1 \%)$ | $64.5 \%$ | $(59.5 \%, 69.2 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park <br> City | 51685 | $74.4 \%$ | $(30.4 \%, 93.3 \%)$ | $63.6 \%$ | $(52.8 \%, 73.1 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $75.7 \%$ | $(40.9 \%, 93.3 \%)$ | $69.0 \%$ | $(65.3 \%, 72.4 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bland County | 51021 | $48.9 \%$ | $(11.6 \%, 87.5 \%)$ | $70.2 \%$ | $(65.4 \%, 74.6 \%)$ |
| Buchanan <br> County | 51027 | $26.9 \%$ | $(12.8 \%, 48.0 \%)$ | $61.2 \%$ | $(52.3 \%, 69.5 \%)$ |
| Carroll County | 51035 | $56.9 \%$ | $(33.3 \%, 77.7 \%)$ | $64.6 \%$ | $(60.2 \%, 68.7 \%)$ |
| Dickenson <br> County | 51051 | $48.1 \%$ | $(25.5 \%, 71.6 \%)$ | $63.8 \%$ | $(53.6 \%, 72.9 \%)$ |
| Floyd County | 51063 | $33.1 \%$ | $(15.5 \%, 57.2 \%)$ | $67.0 \%$ | $(63.8 \%, 70.0 \%)$ |
| Franklin <br> County | 51067 | $75.4 \%$ | $(60.2 \%, 86.1 \%)$ | $69.0 \%$ | $(65.9 \%, 71.9 \%)$ |
| Giles County | 51071 | $85.1 \%$ | $(45.6 \%, 94.1 \%)$ | $69.4 \%$ | $(63.9 \%, 74.4 \%)$ |
| Grayson <br> County | 51077 | $55.4 \%$ | $(30.4 \%, 77.9 \%)$ | $58.0 \%$ | $(49.8 \%, 65.7 \%)$ |
| Henry County | 51089 | $65.9 \%$ | $(52.4 \%, 77.3 \%)$ | $62.0 \%$ | $(58.4 \%, 65.5 \%)$ |
| Lee County | 51105 | $53.1 \%$ | $(30.9 \%, 74.1 \%)$ | $63.0 \%$ | $(55.9 \%, 69.6 \%)$ |
| Montgomery <br> County | 51121 | $65.5 \%$ | $(54.1 \%, 75.4 \%)$ | $72.1 \%$ | $(67.6 \%, 76.3 \%)$ |
| Patrick County | 51141 | $73.9 \%$ | $(48.9 \%, 89.3 \%)$ | $60.8 \%$ | $(55.4 \%, 65.9 \%)$ |
| Pittsylvania <br> County | 51143 | $67.8 \%$ | $(55.4 \%, 78.0 \%)$ | $63.7 \%$ | $(60.3 \%, 67.0 \%)$ |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | $65.4 \%$ | $(47.3 \%, 80.0 \%)$ | $67.7 \%$ | $(64.0 \%, 71.2 \%)$ |
| Russell County | 51167 | $69.6 \%$ | $(51.3 \%, 83.3 \%)$ | $67.0 \%$ | $(63.3 \%, 70.6 \%)$ |
| Scott County | 51169 | $43.3 \%$ | $(30.3 \%, 57.4 \%)$ | $60.9 \%$ | $(54.3 \%, 67.1 \%)$ |
| Smyth County | 51173 | $65.2 \%$ | $(46.8 \%, 80.0 \%)$ | $66.1 \%$ | $(62.6 \%, 69.5 \%)$ |
| Tazewell <br> County | 51185 | $61.4 \%$ | $(47.2 \%, 73.9 \%)$ | $68.4 \%$ | $(63.5 \%, 72.8 \%)$ |
| Washington <br> County | 51191 | $62.8 \%$ | $(49.4 \%, 74.4 \%)$ | $69.6 \%$ | $(65.8 \%, 73.1 \%)$ |
| Wise County | 51195 | $62.6 \%$ | $(49.0 \%, 74.5 \%)$ | $63.0 \%$ | $(58.0 \%, 67.7 \%)$ |
| Wythe County | 51197 | $67.0 \%$ | $(46.1 \%, 82.8 \%)$ | $68.1 \%$ | $(64.9 \%, 71.2 \%)$ |
| Bristol City | 51520 | $57.5 \%$ | $(15.3 \%, 91.0 \%)$ | $70.3 \%$ | $(63.1 \%, 76.7 \%)$ |
| Danville City | 51590 | $61.9 \%$ | $(35.6 \%, 82.7 \%)$ | $66.4 \%$ | $(61.4 \%, 71.0 \%)$ |
| Galax City | 51640 | $100.0 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $67.5 \%$ | $(58.5 \%, 75.4 \%)$ |
| Martinsville <br> City | 51690 | $31.9 \%$ | $(10.6 \%, 68.2 \%)$ | $67.1 \%$ | $(58.5 \%, 74.6 \%)$ |
| Norton City | 51720 | $88.0 \%$ | $(5.1 \%, 99.5 \%)$ | $70.4 \%$ | $(61.9 \%, 77.7 \%)$ |
| Radford City | 51750 | $68.5 \%$ | $(43.4 \%, 86.0 \%)$ | $69.6 \%$ | $(60.2 \%, 77.6 \%)$ |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | $64.6 \%$ | $(50.7 \%, 76.4 \%)$ | $63.5 \%$ | $(59.5 \%, 67.4 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amelia County | 51007 | 73.9\% | (45.7\%, 90.5\%) | 62.7\% | (57.9\%, 67.3\%) |
| Brunswick County | 51025 | 68.5\% | (44.7\%, 85.5\%) | 62.6\% | (58.2\%, 66.7\%) |
| Buckingham County | 51029 | 61.9\% | (34.9\%, 83.1\%) | 54.6\% | (46.5\%, 62.5\%) |
| Charles City County | 51036 | 96.0\% | (20.5\%, 99.2\%) | 67.2\% | (62.6\%, 71.5\%) |
| Charlotte County | 51037 | 51.5\% | (26.8\%, 75.6\%) | 65.0\% | (59.4\%, 70.2\%) |
| Chesterfield County | 51041 | 68.4\% | (60.8\%, 75.2\%) | 72.7\% | (70.3\%, 75.1\%) |
| Cumberland County | 51049 | 63.2\% | (31.4\%, 86.6\%) | 64.3\% | (60.0\%, 68.4\%) |
| Dinwiddie County | 51053 | 60.5\% | (41.5\%, 76.7\%) | 65.2\% | (61.6\%, 68.7\%) |
| Goochland County | 51075 | 73.4\% | (33.6\%, 93.8\%) | 75.7\% | (72.0\%, 79.1\%) |
| Greensville County | 51081 | 82.5\% | (53.8\%, 92.5\%) | 62.1\% | (55.8\%, 68.1\%) |
| Halifax County | 51083 | 73.2\% | (58.3\%, 84.2\%) | 63.6\% | (60.2\%, 66.9\%) |
| Hanover County | 51085 | 77.7\% | (62.8\%, 87.8\%) | 75.3\% | (72.1\%, 78.3\%) |
| Henrico County | 51087 | 75.6\% | (67.4\%, 82.3\%) | 73.1\% | (70.3\%, 75.8\%) |
| Lunenburg County | 51111 | 68.0\% | (42.4\%, 85.9\%) | 60.4\% | (56.5\%, 64.2\%) |
| Mecklenburg County | 51117 | 67.3\% | (52.5\%, 79.4\%) | 67.9\% | (64.1\%, 71.5\%) |
| New Kent County | 51127 | 78.9\% | (55.7\%, 91.7\%) | 72.6\% | (69.1\%, 75.9\%) |
| Nottoway County | 51135 | 59.8\% | (36.3\%, 79.5\%) | 61.2\% | (56.3\%, 65.9\%) |
| Powhatan County | 51145 | 82.2\% | (52.6\%, 95.0\%) | 73.5\% | (70.1\%, 76.6\%) |
| Prince Edward County | 51147 | 72.9\% | (52.5\%, 86.7\%) | 63.9\% | (57.6\%, 69.7\%) |
| Prince George County | 51149 | 88.2\% | (71.5\%, 93.2\%) | 66.5\% | (63.2\%, 69.5\%) |
| Surry County | 51181 | 56.0\% | (18.8\%, 87.5\%) | 66.3\% | (62.4\%, 70.0\%) |
| Sussex County | 51183 | 83.3\% | (56.0\%, 95.2\%) | 61.9\% | (55.4\%, 68.1\%) |
| Colonial Heights City | 51570 | 66.4\% | (38.4\%, 86.2\%) | 65.4\% | (61.2\%, 69.3\%) |
| Emporia City | 51595 | 100.0\% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline(17.4 \%, \\ & 100.0 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 59.0\% | (52.9\%, 64.9\%) |
| Hopewell City | 51670 | 73.4\% | (50.8\%, 88.1\%) | 65.1\% | (58.5\%, 71.2\%) |


| Petersburg <br> City | 51730 | $69.7 \%$ | $(46.9 \%, 85.7 \%)$ | $60.3 \%$ | $(53.8 \%, 66.5 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $66.2 \%$ | $(55.6 \%, 75.4 \%)$ | $67.0 \%$ | $(61.7 \%, 71.8 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack <br> County | 51001 | $65.0 \%$ | $(50.9 \%, 76.8 \%)$ | $58.7 \%$ | $(50.3 \%, 66.5 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $71.7 \%$ | $(48.9 \%, 87.0 \%)$ | $67.2 \%$ | $(63.1 \%, 71.1 \%)$ |
| Gloucester <br> County | 51073 | $56.1 \%$ | $(39.1 \%, 71.8 \%)$ | $71.1 \%$ | $(67.0 \%, 74.8 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight <br> County | 51093 | $62.1 \%$ | $(41.2 \%, 79.3 \%)$ | $68.0 \%$ | $(64.6 \%, 71.2 \%)$ |
| James City <br> County | 51095 | $85.4 \%$ | $(73.5 \%, 92.5 \%)$ | $79.0 \%$ | $(75.2 \%, 82.3 \%)$ |
| King and Queen <br> County | 51097 | $83.0 \%$ | $(39.4 \%, 95.4 \%)$ | $66.9 \%$ | $(63.9 \%, 69.7 \%)$ |
| King William <br> County | 51101 | $68.2 \%$ | $(40.3 \%, 87.2 \%)$ | $66.7 \%$ | $(63.3 \%, 70.0 \%)$ |
| Lancaster <br> County | 51103 | $69.8 \%$ | $(45.2 \%, 86.6 \%)$ | $75.1 \%$ | $(70.4 \%, 79.3 \%)$ |
| Mathews <br> County | 51115 | $71.4 \%$ | $(48.5 \%, 86.9 \%)$ | $72.2 \%$ | $(68.3 \%, 75.7 \%)$ |
| Middlesex <br> County | 51119 | $41.6 \%$ | $(19.1 \%, 68.2 \%)$ | $73.7 \%$ | $(67.6 \%, 79.0 \%)$ |
| Northampton <br> County | 51131 | $72.6 \%$ | $(51.5 \%, 86.9 \%)$ | $65.8 \%$ | $(62.3 \%, 69.1 \%)$ |
| Northumberland <br> County | 51133 | $66.5 \%$ | $(42.8 \%, 84.1 \%)$ | $73.8 \%$ | $(68.8 \%, 78.2 \%)$ |
| Richmond <br> County | 51159 | $42.6 \%$ | $(26.6 \%, 60.2 \%)$ | $60.6 \%$ | $(55.6 \%, 65.4 \%)$ |
| Southampton <br> County | 51175 | $65.3 \%$ | $(39.1 \%, 84.6 \%)$ | $65.2 \%$ | $(61.1 \%, 69.0 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland <br> County | 51193 | $50.8 \%$ | $(31.1 \%, 70.2 \%)$ | $60.6 \%$ | $(54.2 \%, 66.7 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $84.8 \%$ | $(72.2 \%, 92.2 \%)$ | $76.8 \%$ | $(73.3 \%, 80.0 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $73.0 \%$ | $(65.5 \%, 79.4 \%)$ | $72.0 \%$ | $(69.1 \%, 74.7 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $84.4 \%$ | $(48.0 \%, 96.9 \%)$ | $62.6 \%$ | $(59.0 \%, 66.1 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $63.2 \%$ | $(53.7 \%, 71.8 \%)$ | $63.5 \%$ | $(59.1 \%, 67.7 \%)$ |
| Newport News <br> City | 51700 | $69.6 \%$ | $(60.3 \%, 77.6 \%)$ | $66.8 \%$ | $(63.3 \%, 70.2 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $57.5 \%$ | $(48.9 \%, 65.6 \%)$ | $62.7 \%$ | $(59.3 \%, 66.0 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $47.4 \%$ | $(16.9 \%, 79.9 \%)$ | $75.0 \%$ | $(71.3 \%, 78.3 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $57.6 \%$ | $(46.0 \%, 68.3 \%)$ | $62.6 \%$ | $(59.1 \%, 65.9 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $70.5 \%$ | $(57.2 \%, 81.0 \%)$ | $68.7 \%$ | $(66.0 \%, 71.2 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach <br> City | 51810 | $71.1 \%$ | $(64.5 \%, 77.0 \%)$ | $72.2 \%$ | $(70.0 \%, 74.2 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg <br> City | 51830 | $75.3 \%$ | $(38.8 \%, 93.6 \%)$ | $75.2 \%$ | $(69.8 \%, 80.0 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Dental visit in the past year Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs 



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

# Dental visit in the past year Alleghany, Roanoke and Central Virginia HDs 
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## Dental visit in the past year Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs
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## Dental visit in the past year New River and Mount Rogers HDs
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## Dental visit in the past year Crater, Peninsula and Hampton HDs
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Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Dental visit in the past year Eastern Shore and Three Rivers HDs
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## Dental visit in the past year Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs
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## Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 41 areas.

Direct estimate is outside the $95 \%$ SAE Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 92$ areas.

SAE estimate is inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 124$ areas.

SAE estimate is outside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI : 9 areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl : 109 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \%$ CI partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 24$ areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.

SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 129 areas.

SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 0 areas.

Direct standard error is zero: 4 areas.

Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =3.33 \% ;$ med $=25.04 \% ; \max =61.90 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: $\min =24.76 \%$; med $=67.39 \% ; \max =94.62 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.04 \% ; \operatorname{med}=2.04 \% ; \max =70.73 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to area random effects: $\min =0.04 \% ; \operatorname{med}=0.42 \% ; \max =1.26 \%$.

