## Outcome 14: Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years

Internal variable name: outc14_tetanus_vacc
Definition: Since 2005, have you had a tetanus shot? (If yes, ask: "Was this Tdap, the tetanus shot that also has pertussis or whooping cough vaccine?")

## Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 14: Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years |  |  |  |
| NH White | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| NH Black vs. NH White | -0.7943*** | -0.7327*** | -0.7327*** |
|  | (0.0909) | (0.0977) | (0.0912) |
| NH Asian vs. NH White | -0.6600*** | -0.6699*** | -0.6699*** |
|  | (0.1641) | (0.2031) | (0.1611) |
| NH Other vs. NH White | -0.6047*** | -0.5777*** | -0.5777*** |
|  | (0.1476) | (0.1661) | (0.1481) |
| Hispanic vs. NH White | -0.6999*** | -0.6862*** | -0.6862*** |
|  | (0.1352) | (0.1461) | (0.1352) |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT=1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Female | -0.1491* | -0.1418 | -0.1418 |
|  | (0.0727) | (0.0762) | (0.0736) |
| Age 18-34 vs. 65+ | 0.0886 | 0.0946 | 0.0946 |
|  | (0.1072) | (0.1083) | (0.1065) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | 0.0744 | 0.0510 | 0.0510 |
|  | (0.1162) | (0.1164) | (0.1172) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | 0.3310*** | 0.3077** | 0.3077** |
|  | (0.0925) | (0.1115) | (0.0955) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | 0.2159* | 0.2071* | 0.2071* |
|  | (0.0937) | (0.1003) | (0.0939) |
| 65+ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Crude mortality rate of nephritis, nephrotic syndrome \& nephrosis per 10K |  | -0.1035*** | -0.1035*** |
|  |  | (0.0297) | (0.0261) |
| VA BRFSS 2014 SAE |  | 1.0869 | 1.0869 |


| outc24_tetanus_vacc |  |  | $(0.8475)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VA BRFSS 2014 SAE <br> outc4_health_cover |  | -0.8611 | -0.8611 |
|  |  | $(1.0917)$ | $(1.1676)$ |
| VA BRFSS 2017 SAE <br> outc26_health_cover |  | $-2.8422^{*}$ | $-2.8422^{*}$ |
|  |  | $(1.2720)$ | $(1.1891)$ |
| VA BRFSS 2017 SAE <br> outc27_doctor_cost |  | 1.5675 | 1.5675 |
|  | $(0.0799)$ | $(1.6185)$ | $(1.5406)$ |
| _cons | 0.9082 | 0.9082 |  |
|  | $(0.6129)$ | $(0.4841)$ |  |
| Area effect variance |  |  | 0.0000 |
| var(_cons[FIPS_county]) | 0.0309 |  | $(0.0000)$ |

Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=5$.

## Results by health districts

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta <br> County | 51015 | $78.1 \%$ | $(66.9 \%, 86.2 \%)$ | $76.4 \%$ | $(72.9 \%, 79.6 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $72.8 \%$ | $(36.7 \%, 92.5 \%)$ | $55.5 \%$ | $(45.4 \%, 65.2 \%)$ |
| Caroline <br> County | 51033 | $90.3 \%$ | $(66.2 \%, 96.1 \%)$ | $72.8 \%$ | $(69.0 \%, 76.3 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $84.4 \%$ | $(54.1 \%, 94.3 \%)$ | $72.4 \%$ | $(66.8 \%, 77.3 \%)$ |
| Culpeper <br> County | 51047 | $78.0 \%$ | $(59.9 \%, 89.4 \%)$ | $75.4 \%$ | $(72.5 \%, 78.0 \%)$ |
| Fauquier <br> County | 51061 | $73.5 \%$ | $(58.3 \%, 84.7 \%)$ | $75.4 \%$ | $(72.2 \%, 78.4 \%)$ |
| Frederick <br> County | 51069 | $61.7 \%$ | $(46.9 \%, 74.6 \%)$ | $76.2 \%$ | $(72.5 \%, 79.5 \%)$ |
| Highland <br> County | 51091 | $72.9 \%$ | $(21.0 \%, 96.4 \%)$ | $74.9 \%$ | $(66.5 \%, 81.7 \%)$ |
| King George <br> County | 51099 | $53.5 \%$ | $(26.7 \%, 78.4 \%)$ | $66.5 \%$ | $(62.0 \%, 70.8 \%)$ |
| Madison <br> County | 51113 | $45.0 \%$ | $(20.2 \%, 72.6 \%)$ | $75.7 \%$ | $(72.7 \%, 78.4 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $75.0 \%$ | $(56.7 \%, 87.3 \%)$ | $76.4 \%$ | $(72.6 \%, 79.7 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $81.5 \%$ | $(53.4 \%, 94.4 \%)$ | $69.6 \%$ | $(65.1 \%, 73.8 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock <br> County | 51157 | $83.2 \%$ | $(40.1 \%, 96.2 \%)$ | $77.4 \%$ | $(73.5 \%, 80.9 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge <br> County | 51163 | $84.0 \%$ | $(67.3 \%, 93.1 \%)$ | $70.4 \%$ | $(65.1 \%, 75.3 \%)$ |
| Rockingham <br> County | 51165 | $70.5 \%$ | $(51.3 \%, 84.4 \%)$ | $72.5 \%$ | $(68.9 \%, 75.9 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah <br> County | 51171 | $72.8 \%$ | $(52.1 \%, 86.8 \%)$ | $76.0 \%$ | $(72.0 \%, 79.5 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania <br> County | 51177 | $71.4 \%$ | $(58.0 \%, 81.8 \%)$ | $75.6 \%$ | $(72.2 \%, 78.6 \%)$ |
| Stafford <br> County | 51179 | $79.1 \%$ | $(68.7 \%, 86.7 \%)$ | $73.0 \%$ | $(69.5 \%, 76.3 \%)$ |
| Warren <br> County | 51187 | $69.4 \%$ | $(48.4 \%, 84.6 \%)$ | $72.0 \%$ | $(67.8 \%, 75.9 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista <br> City | 51530 | $85.5 \%$ | $(28.7 \%, 97.6 \%)$ | $75.9 \%$ | $(69.3 \%, 81.4 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg <br> City | 51630 | $72.7 \%$ | $(49.7 \%, 87.8 \%)$ | $73.9 \%$ | $(70.4 \%, 77.1 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg <br> City | 51660 | $96.6 \%$ | $(60.2 \%, 98.3 \%)$ | $75.6 \%$ | $(69.8 \%, 80.6 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | $100.0 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $74.6 \%$ | $(67.7 \%, 80.4 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $58.0 \%$ | $(16.3 \%, 90.7 \%)$ | $77.0 \%$ | $(72.8 \%, 80.7 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro | 51820 | $100.0 \%$ | $(23.9 \%$, | $77.1 \%$ | $(72.7 \%, 81.0 \%)$ |


| City |  |  | $100.0 \%)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winchester <br> City | 51840 | $64.3 \%$ | $(32.4 \%, 87.1 \%)$ | $74.8 \%$ | $(70.3 \%, 78.8 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle <br> County | 51003 | $81.3 \%$ | $(62.6 \%, 91.9 \%)$ | $77.2 \%$ | $(73.9 \%, 80.2 \%)$ |
| Alleghany <br> County | 51005 | $70.2 \%$ | $(43.2 \%, 87.9 \%)$ | $71.7 \%$ | $(67.3 \%, 75.7 \%)$ |
| Amherst <br> County | 51009 | $74.3 \%$ | $(54.8 \%, 87.4 \%)$ | $72.1 \%$ | $(67.7 \%, 76.2 \%)$ |
| Appomattox <br> County | 51011 | $80.8 \%$ | $(63.3 \%, 91.1 \%)$ | $76.5 \%$ | $(72.5 \%, 80.2 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $70.4 \%$ | $(57.6 \%, 80.7 \%)$ | $73.5 \%$ | $(70.4 \%, 76.4 \%)$ |
| Bedford <br> County | 51019 | $78.1 \%$ | $(66.5 \%, 86.5 \%)$ | $76.6 \%$ | $(73.1 \%, 79.9 \%)$ |
| Botetourt <br> County | 51023 | $71.9 \%$ | $(47.0 \%, 88.0 \%)$ | $77.4 \%$ | $(74.0 \%, 80.4 \%)$ |
| Campbell <br> County | 51031 | $69.9 \%$ | $(56.0 \%, 80.9 \%)$ | $69.7 \%$ | $(65.6 \%, 73.4 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $79.1 \%$ | $(40.9 \%, 95.4 \%)$ | $70.6 \%$ | $(60.8 \%, 78.9 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $71.6 \%$ | $(66.4 \%, 76.3 \%)$ | $71.5 \%$ | $(68.9 \%, 73.9 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna <br> County | 51065 | $82.2 \%$ | $(57.5 \%, 94.0 \%)$ | $75.5 \%$ | $(70.1 \%, 80.3 \%)$ |
| Greene <br> County | 51079 | $87.3 \%$ | $(44.5 \%, 96.1 \%)$ | $74.5 \%$ | $(70.2 \%, 78.4 \%)$ |
| Loudoun <br> County | 51107 | $72.1 \%$ | $(63.1 \%, 79.6 \%)$ | $74.7 \%$ | $(71.6 \%, 77.5 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $72.6 \%$ | $(43.1 \%, 90.3 \%)$ | $75.1 \%$ | $(71.3 \%, 78.5 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $47.6 \%$ | $(11.8 \%, 86.1 \%)$ | $72.3 \%$ | $(68.0 \%, 76.2 \%)$ |
| Prince William <br> County | 51153 | $73.8 \%$ | $(66.8 \%, 79.8 \%)$ | $67.8 \%$ | $(64.8 \%, 70.8 \%)$ |
| Roanoke <br> County | 51161 | $80.4 \%$ | $(69.5 \%, 88.1 \%)$ | $76.3 \%$ | $(73.6 \%, 78.7 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $65.7 \%$ | $(52.3 \%, 77.0 \%)$ | $68.8 \%$ | $(65.8 \%, 71.6 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville <br> City | 51540 | $78.0 \%$ | $(58.2 \%, 90.1 \%)$ | $76.1 \%$ | $(70.8 \%, 80.8 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | $42.8 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 94.1 \%)$ | $56.8 \%$ | $(41.3 \%, 71.1 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $77.0 \%$ | $(60.5 \%, 88.0 \%)$ | $76.0 \%$ | $(71.3 \%, 80.2 \%)$ |
| Falls Church <br> City | 51610 | $66.4 \%$ | $(28.0 \%, 90.9 \%)$ | $73.9 \%$ | $(67.9 \%, 79.2 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $68.0 \%$ | $(51.9 \%, 80.7 \%)$ | $69.7 \%$ | $(65.4 \%, 73.8 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $46.0 \%$ | $(21.0 \%, 73.2 \%)$ | $64.6 \%$ | $(57.6 \%, 71.1 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park <br> City | 51685 | $44.1 \%$ | $(6.5 \%, 89.9 \%)$ | $74.5 \%$ | $(64.4 \%, 82.6 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $89.4 \%$ | $(39.4 \%, 96.6 \%)$ | $70.9 \%$ | $(65.8 \%, 75.5 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bland County | 51021 | $55.0 \%$ | $(12.1 \%, 91.6 \%)$ | $68.4 \%$ | $(63.4 \%, 73.0 \%)$ |
| Buchanan <br> County | 51027 | $55.1 \%$ | $(31.0 \%, 77.0 \%)$ | $65.3 \%$ | $(58.4 \%, 71.7 \%)$ |
| Carroll County | 51035 | $70.3 \%$ | $(41.6 \%, 88.7 \%)$ | $67.4 \%$ | $(62.0 \%, 72.4 \%)$ |
| Dickenson <br> County | 51051 | $88.9 \%$ | $(61.8 \%, 96.9 \%)$ | $77.0 \%$ | $(71.8 \%, 81.5 \%)$ |
| Floyd County | 51063 | $49.8 \%$ | $(24.8 \%, 74.8 \%)$ | $71.7 \%$ | $(65.2 \%, 77.4 \%)$ |
| Franklin <br> County | 51067 | $59.4 \%$ | $(42.5 \%, 74.2 \%)$ | $74.6 \%$ | $(70.8 \%, 78.1 \%)$ |
| Giles County | 51071 | $58.2 \%$ | $(25.2 \%, 85.3 \%)$ | $67.8 \%$ | $(60.7 \%, 74.2 \%)$ |
| Grayson <br> County | 51077 | $46.0 \%$ | $(21.0 \%, 73.2 \%)$ | $63.4 \%$ | $(56.4 \%, 69.9 \%)$ |
| Henry County | 51089 | $72.5 \%$ | $(57.6 \%, 83.6 \%)$ | $62.6 \%$ | $(58.7 \%, 66.4 \%)$ |
| Lee County | 51105 | $70.0 \%$ | $(43.7 \%, 87.5 \%)$ | $68.8 \%$ | $(63.7 \%, 73.4 \%)$ |
| Montgomery <br> County | 51121 | $71.9 \%$ | $(58.9 \%, 82.0 \%)$ | $75.5 \%$ | $(72.1 \%, 78.7 \%)$ |
| Patrick County | 51141 | $60.2 \%$ | $(36.8 \%, 79.7 \%)$ | $65.8 \%$ | $(61.7 \%, 69.8 \%)$ |
| Pittsylvania <br> County | 51143 | $63.6 \%$ | $(49.6 \%, 75.6 \%)$ | $66.8 \%$ | $(63.3 \%, 70.1 \%)$ |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | $77.5 \%$ | $(57.8 \%, 89.6 \%)$ | $72.3 \%$ | $(67.0 \%, 77.0 \%)$ |
| Russell County | 51167 | $60.2 \%$ | $(33.9 \%, 81.7 \%)$ | $68.7 \%$ | $(64.0 \%, 73.0 \%)$ |
| Scott County | 51169 | $73.9 \%$ | $(56.9 \%, 85.9 \%)$ | $72.7 \%$ | $(68.9 \%, 76.1 \%)$ |
| Smyth County | 51173 | $77.0 \%$ | $(58.7 \%, 88.7 \%)$ | $69.4 \%$ | $(65.5 \%, 73.1 \%)$ |
| Tazewell <br> County | 51185 | $74.1 \%$ | $(56.8 \%, 86.2 \%)$ | $75.4 \%$ | $(71.6 \%, 78.8 \%)$ |
| Washington <br> County | 51191 | $80.2 \%$ | $(66.2 \%, 89.3 \%)$ | $74.3 \%$ | $(71.0 \%, 77.4 \%)$ |
| Wise County | 51195 | $69.2 \%$ | $(53.9 \%, 81.1 \%)$ | $75.2 \%$ | $(71.0 \%, 79.0 \%)$ |
| Wythe County | 51197 | $57.9 \%$ | $(37.7 \%, 75.8 \%)$ | $70.7 \%$ | $(66.6 \%, 74.5 \%)$ |
| Bristol City | 51520 | $50.8 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 92.9 \%)$ | $65.4 \%$ | $(57.7 \%, 72.4 \%)$ |
| Danville City | 51590 | $34.6 \%$ | $(14.1 \%, 63.1 \%)$ | $63.1 \%$ | $(59.4 \%, 66.7 \%)$ |
| Galax City | 51640 | $100.0 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $66.3 \%$ | $(57.0 \%, 74.5 \%)$ |
| Martinsville <br> City | 51690 | $77.0 \%$ | $(36.4 \%, 95.1 \%)$ | $60.3 \%$ | $(50.9 \%, 69.0 \%)$ |
| Norton City | 51720 | $23.2 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 97.0 \%)$ | $70.7 \%$ | $(62.1 \%, 78.0 \%)$ |
| Radford City | 51750 | $81.0 \%$ | $(50.9 \%, 94.6 \%)$ | $72.4 \%$ | $(64.8 \%, 78.8 \%)$ |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | $72.7 \%$ | $(58.3 \%, 83.6 \%)$ | $71.4 \%$ | $(66.8 \%, 75.6 \%)$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { County name } & \text { County FIPS } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Direct } \\ \text { estimate }\end{array} & \text { Direct 95\% CI } & \text { SAE composite } & \text { SAE CI } \\ \hline \text { Amelia County } & 51007 & 91.8 \% & (59.8 \%, 96.7 \%) & 73.4 \% & (69.5 \%, 77.0 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Brunswick } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51025 & 31.5 \% & (14.1 \%, 56.3 \%) & 63.0 \% & (52.3 \%, 72.6 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Buckingham } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51029 & 58.7 \% & (25.9 \%, 85.3 \%) & 60.0 \% & (51.4 \%, 68.0 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Charles City } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51036 & 85.3 \% & (16.3 \%, 97.9 \%) & 70.7 \% & (65.8 \%, 75.2 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Charlotte } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51037 & 44.7 \% & (21.1 \%, 71.0 \%) & 53.8 \% & (44.7 \%, 62.5 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Chesterfield } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51041 & 70.7 \% & (62.9 \%, 77.5 \%) & 71.6 \% & (69.5 \%, 73.7 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Cumberland } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51049 & 49.3 \% & (19.3 \%, 79.9 \%) & 61.6 \% & (56.6 \%, 66.3 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Dinwiddie } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51053 & 71.0 \% & (49.9 \%, 85.8 \%) & 58.5 \% & (54.0 \%, 62.8 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Goochland } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51075 & 68.8 \% & (26.7 \%, 93.0 \%) & 76.4 \% & (73.0 \%, 79.5 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Greensville } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51081 & 63.3 \% & (34.7 \%, 84.9 \%) & 50.6 \% & (41.7 \%, 59.4 \%) \\ \hline \text { Halifax County } & 51083 & 66.1 \% & (47.4 \%, 80.9 \%) & 59.3 \% & (53.7 \%, 64.7 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Hanover } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51085 & 86.0 \% & (72.7 \%, 93.4 \%) & 77.4 \% & (74.2 \%, 80.4 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Henrico } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51087 & 66.0 \% & (55.8 \%, 74.8 \%) & 68.7 \% & (66.2 \%, 71.1 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Lunenburg } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51111 & 54.4 \% & (21.8 \%, 83.6 \%) & 52.9 \% & (45.0 \%, 60.7 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Mecklenburg } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51117 & 66.4 \% & (46.9 \%, 81.5 \%) & 65.7 \% & (61.8 \%, 69.3 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { New Kent } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51127 & 71.3 \% & (43.2 \%, 89.1 \%) & 77.9 \% & (74.8 \%, 80.7 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Nottoway } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51135 & 70.7 \% & (47.4 \%, 86.6 \%) & 67.5 \% & (63.1 \%, 71.5 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Powhatan } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51145 & 76.6 \% & (43.7 \%, 93.3 \%) & 79.1 \% & (75.8 \%, 82.0 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Prince Edward } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51147 & 57.1 \% & (31.3 \%, 79.6 \%) & 71.3 \% & (67.7 \%, 74.6 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Prince George } \\ \text { County }\end{array} & 51149 & 73.6 \% & (54.6 \%, 86.6 \%) & 70.6 \% & (66.8 \%, 74.2 \%) \\ \hline \text { Surry County } & 51181 & 79.6 \% & (31.1 \%, 94.9 \%) & 72.0 \% & (67.1 \%, 76.4 \%) \\ \hline \text { Sussex County } & 51183 & 41.6 \% & (19.0 \%, 68.5 \%) & 63.9 \% & (57.4 \%, 69.8 \%) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Colonial } \\ \text { Heights City }\end{array} & 51570 & 65.1 \% & (38.7 \%, 84.7 \%) & 68.0 \% & (61.8 \%, 73.7 \%) \\ \hline \text { Emporia City } & 51595 & 100.0 \% & (3.0 \%, 100.0 \%) & 23.7 \% & (13.2 \%, 38.8 \%) \\ \hline \text { Hopewell City } & 51670 & 53.2 \% & (52.7 \%, 80.2 \%) & 61.4 \% & (55.1 \%, 67.4 \%) \\ \hline \text { Petersburg }\end{array} \quad 517309.3 \%\right)$

| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $64.7 \%$ | $(53.6 \%, 74.4 \%)$ | $59.0 \%$ | $(52.9 \%, 64.9 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack <br> County | 51001 | $60.2 \%$ | $(41.0 \%, 76.7 \%)$ | $60.4 \%$ | $(53.2 \%, 67.1 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $85.0 \%$ | $(63.2 \%, 94.9 \%)$ | $69.4 \%$ | $(63.0 \%, 75.2 \%)$ |
| Gloucester <br> County | 51073 | $78.6 \%$ | $(59.8 \%, 90.0 \%)$ | $73.7 \%$ | $(70.6 \%, 76.6 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight <br> County | 51093 | $75.2 \%$ | $(53.6 \%, 88.9 \%)$ | $70.3 \%$ | $(67.0 \%, 73.4 \%)$ |
| James City <br> County | 51095 | $74.5 \%$ | $(60.1 \%, 85.0 \%)$ | $77.2 \%$ | $(73.9 \%, 80.1 \%)$ |
| King and Queen <br> County | 51097 | $52.3 \%$ | $(14.8 \%, 87.4 \%)$ | $73.6 \%$ | $(68.8 \%, 77.9 \%)$ |
| King William <br> County | 51101 | $90.6 \%$ | $(53.6 \%, 96.0 \%)$ | $76.0 \%$ | $(73.4 \%, 78.5 \%)$ |
| Lancaster <br> County | 51103 | $71.9 \%$ | $(37.2 \%, 91.7 \%)$ | $61.0 \%$ | $(53.7 \%, 67.8 \%)$ |
| Mathews <br> County | 51115 | $87.7 \%$ | $(66.1 \%, 94.3 \%)$ | $79.1 \%$ | $(74.2 \%, 83.3 \%)$ |
| Middlesex <br> County | 51119 | $73.1 \%$ | $(40.3 \%, 91.6 \%)$ | $64.6 \%$ | $(58.4 \%, 70.4 \%)$ |
| Northampton <br> County | 51131 | $72.5 \%$ | $(48.6 \%, 88.0 \%)$ | $68.3 \%$ | $(64.0 \%, 72.3 \%)$ |
| Northumberland <br> County | 51133 | $83.4 \%$ | $(56.1 \%, 95.2 \%)$ | $56.7 \%$ | $(45.3 \%, 67.5 \%)$ |
| Richmond <br> County | 51159 | $58.5 \%$ | $(38.1 \%, 76.4 \%)$ | $62.3 \%$ | $(54.7 \%, 69.4 \%)$ |
| Southampton <br> County | 51175 | $75.6 \%$ | $(53.2 \%, 89.4 \%)$ | $66.2 \%$ | $(60.7 \%, 71.3 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland <br> County | 51193 | $68.6 \%$ | $(43.6 \%, 86.0 \%)$ | $64.8 \%$ | $(60.3 \%, 69.0 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $88.9 \%$ | $(74.3 \%, 95.7 \%)$ | $76.8 \%$ | $(73.8 \%, 79.5 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $68.4 \%$ | $(59.6 \%, 76.0 \%)$ | $71.2 \%$ | $(68.5 \%, 73.8 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $85.0 \%$ | $(28.8 \%, 97.6 \%)$ | $71.5 \%$ | $(62.8 \%, 78.9 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $62.9 \%$ | $(52.2 \%, 72.4 \%)$ | $62.1 \%$ | $(58.7 \%, 65.2 \%)$ |
| Newport News <br> City | 51700 | $56.3 \%$ | $(44.7 \%, 67.3 \%)$ | $63.9 \%$ | $(60.0 \%, 67.6 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $61.3 \%$ | $(51.9 \%, 70.0 \%)$ | $60.7 \%$ | $(55.1 \%, 66.1 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $56.1 \%$ | $(19.9 \%, 86.7 \%)$ | $76.1 \%$ | $(71.2 \%, 80.4 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $47.2 \%$ | $(34.9 \%, 59.9 \%)$ | $59.7 \%$ | $(53.4 \%, 65.8 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $78.1 \%$ | $(65.8 \%, 86.9 \%)$ | $70.2 \%$ | $(67.1 \%, 73.2 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach <br> City | 51810 | $79.3 \%$ | $(72.8 \%, 84.5 \%)$ | $74.7 \%$ | $(72.0 \%, 77.2 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg <br> City | 51830 | $82.7 \%$ | $(34.6 \%, 97.8 \%)$ | $79.7 \%$ | $(75.1 \%, 83.6 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years
Cumberland Plateau and Lenowisco HDs


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs



## Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years New River and Mount Rogers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years Crater, Peninsula and Hampton HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years Eastern Shore and Three Rivers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Tetanus Vaccination in the Past 10 Years Alexandria, Fairfax, Arlington, Loudon, Prince William HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 43 areas.

Direct estimate is outside the 95\% SAE Wilson Cl : 90 areas.

SAE estimate is inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 128$ areas.

SAE estimate is outside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI : 5 areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl : 116 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \%$ CI partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 17$ areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.

SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 129 areas.

SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 0 areas.

Direct standard error is zero: 4 areas.

Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =0.35 \%$; med $=25.72 \% ; \max =77.42 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: $\min =21.97 \%$; med $=71.04 \%$; $\max =97.73 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.03 \% ; \operatorname{med}=1.14 \% ; \max =37.41 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to area random effects: <identically zero>

