## Outcome 18: Ever Tested for HIV

Internal variable name: outc18_hiv_test
Definition: Have you ever been tested for HIV? Do not count tests you may have had as part of a blood donation. Include testing fluid from your mouth.

## Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 18: Ever Tested for HIV |  |  |  |
| NH White | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| NH Black vs. NH White | 0.6395*** | 0.5269*** | 0.5269*** |
|  | (0.0860) | (0.0951) | (0.0887) |
| NH Asian vs. NH White | -0.8325*** | -0.8403*** | -0.8402*** |
|  | (0.1702) | (0.2010) | (0.1697) |
| NH Other vs. NH White | 0.2357 | 0.2009 | 0.2009 |
|  | (0.1380) | (0.1470) | (0.1381) |
| Hispanic vs. NH White | 0.3108** | 0.2741* | 0.2741** |
|  | (0.1007) | (0.1268) | (0.0979) |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT=1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Female | 0.1253 | 0.1288 | 0.1288 |
|  | (0.0784) | (0.0672) | (0.0770) |
| Age 18-34 vs. 65+ | 0.9024*** | 0.8917*** | 0.8916*** |
|  | (0.0756) | (0.0946) | (0.0748) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | 1.5067*** | 1.4998*** | 1.4998*** |
|  | (0.1277) | (0.1074) | (0.1283) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | 1.2480*** | 1.2516*** | 1.2515*** |
|  | (0.1238) | (0.1008) | (0.1261) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | 0.9394*** | 0.9387*** | 0.9387*** |
|  | (0.1013) | (0.0928) | (0.1015) |
| 65+ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| \% NH minority among adults 18 to 64 |  | 0.4978 | 0.4977 |
|  |  | (0.3798) | (0.3668) |
| \% veterans |  | 3.5536*** | 3.5531*** |
|  |  | (1.0270) | (0.8774) |
| Percentage calculated by |  | 1.1330 | 1.1343 |


| dividing <br> Pop_under_5_ACS_15_19 <br> by <br> Tot_Population_ACS_15 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $(5.2380)$ | $(4.9851)$ |
| Percentage calculated by <br> dividing <br> Pop_1yr_Over_ACS_15_19 <br> by Tot_Population_ACS_1 |  | -27.3342 | -27.3282 |
|  |  | $(17.2070)$ | $(15.9563)$ |
| VA BRFSS 2017 SAE <br> outc32_hiv_test | $-1.4477^{* * *}$ | $(0.7492)$ | 0.3980 |
|  | $(0.0875)$ | 24.8932 | $(0.7307)$ |
| _cons |  | $(17.2214)$ | 24.8873 |
|  | $0.0453^{*}$ |  | $(15.9756)$ |
| Area effect variance | $(0.0215)$ |  | 0.0000 |
| var(_cons[FIPS_county]) |  |  | $(0.0000)$ |

Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=6$.

## Results by health districts

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta <br> County | 51015 | $31.7 \%$ | $(22.1 \%, 43.0 \%)$ | $29.3 \%$ | $(26.3 \%, 32.6 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $43.5 \%$ | $(14.9 \%, 77.2 \%)$ | $31.6 \%$ | $(28.6 \%, 34.7 \%)$ |
| Caroline <br> County | 51033 | $56.6 \%$ | $(33.1 \%, 77.4 \%)$ | $41.0 \%$ | $(37.4 \%, 44.7 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $31.8 \%$ | $(12.6 \%, 60.2 \%)$ | $31.0 \%$ | $(28.1 \%, 34.0 \%)$ |
| Culpeper <br> County | 51047 | $37.0 \%$ | $(20.3 \%, 57.5 \%)$ | $32.9 \%$ | $(29.0 \%, 37.1 \%)$ |
| Fauquier <br> County | 51061 | $38.3 \%$ | $(25.3 \%, 53.3 \%)$ | $34.7 \%$ | $(32.1 \%, 37.5 \%)$ |
| Frederick <br> County | 51069 | $40.8 \%$ | $(27.9 \%, 55.1 \%)$ | $34.3 \%$ | $(31.3 \%, 37.4 \%)$ |
| Highland <br> County | 51091 | $39.6 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 84.1 \%)$ | $30.4 \%$ | $(25.8 \%, 35.5 \%)$ |
| King George <br> County | 51099 | $50.8 \%$ | $(29.5 \%, 71.8 \%)$ | $44.0 \%$ | $(41.0 \%, 47.1 \%)$ |
| Madison <br> County | 51113 | $49.9 \%$ | $(24.5 \%, 75.4 \%)$ | $32.6 \%$ | $(29.5 \%, 36.0 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $56.0 \%$ | $(35.7 \%, 74.4 \%)$ | $35.5 \%$ | $(32.0 \%, 39.1 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $20.7 \%$ | $(9.3 \%, 45.3 \%)$ | $30.9 \%$ | $(27.4 \%, 34.7 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock <br> County | 51157 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 50.4 \%)$ | $29.9 \%$ | $(25.7 \%, 34.4 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge <br> County | 51163 | $32.8 \%$ | $(18.4 \%, 51.2 \%)$ | $29.5 \%$ | $(26.5 \%, 32.8 \%)$ |
| Rockingham <br> County | 51165 | $23.5 \%$ | $(14.1 \%, 36.6 \%)$ | $31.8 \%$ | $(28.5 \%, 35.3 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah <br> County | 51171 | $30.1 \%$ | $(16.7 \%, 48.0 \%)$ | $31.9 \%$ | $(28.7 \%, 35.3 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania <br> County | 51177 | $50.0 \%$ | $(38.1 \%, 61.9 \%)$ | $42.2 \%$ | $(39.9 \%, 44.5 \%)$ |
| Stafford <br> County | 51179 | $47.2 \%$ | $(36.3 \%, 58.4 \%)$ | $48.6 \%$ | $(44.9 \%, 52.3 \%)$ |
| Warren <br> County | 51187 | $43.0 \%$ | $(25.2 \%, 62.8 \%)$ | $33.9 \%$ | $(29.6 \%, 38.5 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista <br> City | 51530 | $52.2 \%$ | $(11.3 \%, 90.3 \%)$ | $34.1 \%$ | $(28.1 \%, 40.8 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg <br> City | 51630 | $21.4 \%$ | $(9.9 \%, 40.2 \%)$ | $43.6 \%$ | $(39.0 \%, 48.3 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg <br> City | 51660 | $37.5 \%$ | $(14.0 \%, 68.8 \%)$ | $29.3 \%$ | $(26.1 \%, 32.6 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | .$\%$ | $(. \%, . \%)$ | $26.2 \%$ | $(19.1 \%, 34.8 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $71.1 \%$ | $(28.7 \%, 93.8 \%)$ | $33.0 \%$ | $(30.6 \%, 35.4 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro | 51820 | $46.1 \%$ | $(27.6 \%, 36.9 \%)$ |  |  |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winchester <br> City | 51840 | $35.3 \%$ | $(11.7 \%, 69.2 \%)$ | $39.6 \%$ | $(32.6 \%, 47.2 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle <br> County | 51003 | $37.1 \%$ | $(25.0 \%, 51.0 \%)$ | $33.8 \%$ | $(31.7 \%, 36.1 \%)$ |
| Alleghany <br> County | 51005 | $33.4 \%$ | $(14.0 \%, 60.8 \%)$ | $29.0 \%$ | $(26.2 \%, 31.8 \%)$ |
| Amherst <br> County | 51009 | $33.4 \%$ | $(19.1 \%, 51.6 \%)$ | $32.1 \%$ | $(29.6 \%, 34.8 \%)$ |
| Appomattox <br> County | 51011 | $42.9 \%$ | $(25.9 \%, 61.7 \%)$ | $35.3 \%$ | $(32.7 \%, 38.0 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $42.4 \%$ | $(32.9 \%, 52.4 \%)$ | $39.4 \%$ | $(33.8 \%, 45.3 \%)$ |
| Bedford <br> County | 51019 | $38.8 \%$ | $(28.1 \%, 50.8 \%)$ | $32.9 \%$ | $(29.8 \%, 36.2 \%)$ |
| Botetourt <br> County | 51023 | $37.5 \%$ | $(19.1 \%, 60.3 \%)$ | $27.7 \%$ | $(24.3 \%, 31.4 \%)$ |
| Campbell <br> County | 51031 | $39.2 \%$ | $(26.9 \%, 53.0 \%)$ | $37.6 \%$ | $(34.4 \%, 41.0 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $51.3 \%$ | $(11.3 \%, 89.6 \%)$ | $30.6 \%$ | $(26.2 \%, 35.4 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $40.1 \%$ | $(35.5 \%, 44.8 \%)$ | $36.1 \%$ | $(34.1 \%, 38.2 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna <br> County | 51065 | $17.4 \%$ | $(8.0 \%, 42.3 \%)$ | $33.0 \%$ | $(30.0 \%, 36.0 \%)$ |
| Greene <br> County | 51079 | $45.5 \%$ | $(14.4 \%, 80.5 \%)$ | $34.3 \%$ | $(31.5 \%, 37.2 \%)$ |
| Loudoun <br> County | 51107 | $45.5 \%$ | $(37.6 \%, 53.5 \%)$ | $36.9 \%$ | $(34.0 \%, 39.9 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $34.1 \%$ | $(16.7 \%, 57.1 \%)$ | $35.4 \%$ | $(33.0 \%, 37.9 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $32.0 \%$ | $(7.7 \%, 72.7 \%)$ | $33.0 \%$ | $(30.0 \%, 36.1 \%)$ |
| Prince William <br> County | 51153 | $47.8 \%$ | $(40.6 \%, 55.1 \%)$ | $45.6 \%$ | $(43.0 \%, 48.4 \%)$ |
| Roanoke <br> County | 51161 | $40.9 \%$ | $(30.9 \%, 51.8 \%)$ | $29.0 \%$ | $(26.4 \%, 31.6 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $49.2 \%$ | $(38.1 \%, 60.5 \%)$ | $44.8 \%$ | $(40.8 \%, 48.9 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville <br> City | 51540 | $38.8 \%$ | $(21.1 \%, 60.0 \%)$ | $30.4 \%$ | $(27.2 \%, 33.7 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | $100.0 \%$ | $(18.8 \%$, | $29.3 \%$ | $(24.2 \%, 35.0 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $39.6 \%$ | $(24.0 \%)$ | $(37.2 \%)$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| Falls Church <br> City | 51610 | $20.9 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 53.4 \%)$ | $31.9 \%$ | $(30.4 \%, 37.8 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $40.3 \%$ | $(27.4 \%, 54.7 \%)$ | $39.3 \%$ | $(37.3 \%, 36.8 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $22.7 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 50.6 \%)$ | $42.9 \%$ | $(37.6 \%, 48.3 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park <br> City | 51685 | $12.3 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 63.9 \%)$ | $36.7 \%$ | $(32.0 \%, 41.8 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $47.2 \%$ | $(11.1 \%, 86.4 \%)$ | $33.0 \%$ | $(30.1 \%, 36.1 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bland County | 51021 | $13.7 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 72.7 \%)$ | $23.8 \%$ | $(20.0 \%, 28.0 \%)$ |
| Buchanan <br> County | 51027 | $45.1 \%$ | $(22.9 \%, 69.3 \%)$ | $25.2 \%$ | $(22.1 \%, 28.6 \%)$ |
| Carroll County | 51035 | $31.8 \%$ | $(15.0 \%, 55.3 \%)$ | $27.8 \%$ | $(24.8 \%, 31.1 \%)$ |
| Dickenson <br> County | 51051 | $58.1 \%$ | $(33.4 \%, 79.3 \%)$ | $29.6 \%$ | $(26.1 \%, 33.4 \%)$ |
| Floyd County | 51063 | $25.0 \%$ | $(10.2 \%, 49.6 \%)$ | $32.2 \%$ | $(27.6 \%, 37.1 \%)$ |
| Franklin <br> County | 51067 | $22.6 \%$ | $(12.8 \%, 36.8 \%)$ | $29.6 \%$ | $(26.8 \%, 32.5 \%)$ |
| Giles County | 51071 | $15.7 \%$ | $(4.7 \%, 41.6 \%)$ | $28.8 \%$ | $(25.3 \%, 32.6 \%)$ |
| Grayson <br> County | 51077 | $43.0 \%$ | $(19.2 \%, 70.6 \%)$ | $26.9 \%$ | $(23.9 \%, 30.1 \%)$ |
| Henry County | 51089 | $46.0 \%$ | $(32.0 \%, 60.6 \%)$ | $34.6 \%$ | $(31.9 \%, 37.4 \%)$ |
| Lee County | 51105 | $4.7 \%$ | $(0.8 \%, 22.2 \%)$ | $27.1 \%$ | $(24.3 \%, 30.1 \%)$ |
| Montgomery <br> County | 51121 | $26.3 \%$ | $(17.4 \%, 37.8 \%)$ | $30.1 \%$ | $(26.9 \%, 33.6 \%)$ |
| Patrick County | 51141 | $11.6 \%$ | $(4.0 \%, 29.4 \%)$ | $28.4 \%$ | $(25.2 \%, 31.9 \%)$ |
| Pittsylvania <br> County | 51143 | $42.8 \%$ | $(30.2 \%, 56.3 \%)$ | $33.3 \%$ | $(30.0 \%, 36.9 \%)$ |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | $45.4 \%$ | $(27.6 \%, 64.4 \%)$ | $31.0 \%$ | $(27.7 \%, 34.5 \%)$ |
| Russell County | 51167 | $26.5 \%$ | $(11.9 \%, 49.2 \%)$ | $24.9 \%$ | $(21.9 \%, 28.2 \%)$ |
| Scott County | 51169 | $17.4 \%$ | $(8.2 \%, 33.0 \%)$ | $26.1 \%$ | $(22.4 \%, 30.2 \%)$ |
| Smyth County | 51173 | $30.5 \%$ | $(17.1 \%, 48.4 \%)$ | $28.4 \%$ | $(25.7 \%, 31.2 \%)$ |
| Tazewell <br> County | 51185 | $50.3 \%$ | $(36.1 \%, 64.5 \%)$ | $32.7 \%$ | $(27.3 \%, 38.6 \%)$ |
| Washington <br> County | 51191 | $13.4 \%$ | $(7.4 \%, 23.0 \%)$ | $26.9 \%$ | $(24.0 \%, 30.0 \%)$ |
| Wise County | 51195 | $36.3 \%$ | $(24.2 \%, 50.6 \%)$ | $27.7 \%$ | $(25.2 \%, 30.3 \%)$ |
| Wythe County | 51197 | $27.3 \%$ | $(15.3 \%, 43.9 \%)$ | $28.8 \%$ | $(26.2 \%, 31.7 \%)$ |
| Bristol City | 51520 | $27.1 \%$ | $(4.5 \%, 74.4 \%)$ | $31.1 \%$ | $(28.2 \%, 34.2 \%)$ |
| Danville City | 51590 | $56.6 \%$ | $(27.1 \%, 82.0 \%)$ | $39.0 \%$ | $(34.3 \%, 43.9 \%)$ |
| Galax City | 51640 | $100.0 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $32.7 \%$ | $(25.7 \%, 40.6 \%)$ |
| Martinsville <br> City | 51690 | $45.1 \%$ | $(13.2 \%, 81.6 \%)$ | $44.3 \%$ | $(37.2 \%, 51.6 \%)$ |
| Norton City | 51720 | $88.9 \%$ | $(2.2 \%, 99.8 \%)$ | $33.0 \%$ | $(25.1 \%, 41.9 \%)$ |
| Radford City | 51750 | $32.6 \%$ | $(13.8 \%, 59.4 \%)$ | $27.5 \%$ | $(23.8 \%, 31.6 \%)$ |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | $36.6 \%$ | $(24.4 \%, 50.8 \%)$ | $39.3 \%$ | $(36.0 \%, 42.6 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amelia County | 51007 | 39.2\% | (12.9\%, 73.8\%) | 35.0\% | (32.0\%, 38.0\%) |
| Brunswick County | 51025 | 39.6\% | (15.6\%, 70.1\%) | 40.0\% | (33.6\%, 46.8\%) |
| Buckingham County | 51029 | 45.8\% | (19.4\%, 74.8\%) | 36.7\% | (32.7\%, 40.8\%) |
| Charles City County | 51036 | 83.7\% | (12.4\%, 98.1\%) | 36.2\% | (30.3\%, 42.5\%) |
| Charlotte County | 51037 | 18.2\% | (5.4\%, 46.3\%) | 34.0\% | (30.5\%, 37.6\%) |
| Chesterfield County | 51041 | 37.6\% | (29.9\%, 46.0\%) | 39.7\% | (37.4\%, 42.1\%) |
| Cumberland County | 51049 | 26.5\% | (8.6\%, 58.2\%) | 37.6\% | (34.2\%, 41.1\%) |
| Dinwiddie County | 51053 | 39.8\% | (23.1\%, 59.3\%) | 39.7\% | (36.5\%, 42.9\%) |
| Goochland County | 51075 | 34.4\% | (12.5\%, 65.7\%) | 29.6\% | (25.7\%, 33.8\%) |
| Greensville County | 51081 | 37.3\% | (15.3\%, 66.2\%) | 39.5\% | (32.5\%, 47.0\%) |
| Halifax County | 51083 | 35.9\% | (21.0\%, 54.1\%) | 35.5\% | (31.6\%, 39.7\%) |
| Hanover County | 51085 | 35.9\% | (22.0\%, 52.7\%) | 31.1\% | (28.6\%, 33.7\%) |
| Henrico County | 51087 | 43.6\% | (34.6\%, 53.0\%) | 39.7\% | (36.5\%, 42.9\%) |
| Lunenburg County | 51111 | 19.8\% | (6.2\%, 48.1\%) | 36.0\% | (32.1\%, 40.0\%) |
| Mecklenburg County | 51117 | 53.6\% | (37.4\%, 69.1\%) | 38.5\% | (34.8\%, 42.3\%) |
| New Kent County | 51127 | 39.9\% | (18.5\%, 65.9\%) | 32.9\% | (29.6\%, 36.4\%) |
| Nottoway County | 51135 | 26.8\% | (9.0\%, 57.4\%) | 38.4\% | (34.9\%, 41.9\%) |
| Powhatan County | 51145 | 51.8\% | (24.2\%, 78.4\%) | 33.9\% | (30.1\%, 38.0\%) |
| Prince Edward County | 51147 | 22.2\% | (8.4\%, 46.8\%) | 32.5\% | (29.4\%, 35.8\%) |
| Prince George County | 51149 | 29.8\% | (15.4\%, 49.8\%) | 46.3\% | (42.0\%, 50.7\%) |
| Surry County | 51181 | 71.0\% | (34.1\%, 92.0\%) | 43.6\% | (39.0\%, 48.4\%) |
| Sussex County | 51183 | 52.5\% | (26.5\%, 77.3\%) | 44.7\% | (37.8\%, 51.7\%) |
| Colonial Heights City | 51570 | 53.5\% | (29.2\%, 76.3\%) | 38.9\% | (34.2\%, 43.9\%) |
| Emporia City | 51595 | 39.8\% | (3.1\%, 93.2\%) | 36.5\% | (28.5\%, 45.2\%) |
| Hopewell City | 51670 | 62.7\% | (32.9\%, 85.2\%) | 44.0\% | (38.4\%, 49.8\%) |
| Petersburg | 51730 | 69.0\% | (45.3\%, 85.7\%) | 48.9\% | (41.7\%, 56.1\%) |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $35.3 \%$ | $(26.0 \%, 45.8 \%)$ | $45.3 \%$ | $(39.9 \%, 50.8 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack <br> County | 51001 | $54.7 \%$ | $(39.0 \%, 69.5 \%)$ | $37.1 \%$ | $(33.6 \%, 40.8 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $20.1 \%$ | $(8.2 \%, 41.6 \%)$ | $33.2 \%$ | $(29.0 \%, 37.8 \%)$ |
| Gloucester <br> County | 51073 | $35.2 \%$ | $(20.0 \%, 54.1 \%)$ | $36.2 \%$ | $(32.9 \%, 39.6 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight <br> County | 51093 | $58.1 \%$ | $(35.1 \%, 78.0 \%)$ | $39.2 \%$ | $(35.5 \%, 43.2 \%)$ |
| James City <br> County | 51095 | $36.5 \%$ | $(25.1 \%, 49.7 \%)$ | $37.1 \%$ | $(33.7 \%, 40.6 \%)$ |
| King and Queen <br> County | 51097 | $49.2 \%$ | $(9.9 \%, 89.5 \%)$ | $35.5 \%$ | $(31.5 \%, 39.7 \%)$ |
| King William <br> County | 51101 | $52.1 \%$ | $(21.0 \%, 81.7 \%)$ | $31.7 \%$ | $(28.7 \%, 34.9 \%)$ |
| Lancaster <br> County | 51103 | $34.6 \%$ | $(13.2 \%, 64.7 \%)$ | $33.6 \%$ | $(28.5 \%, 39.1 \%)$ |
| Mathews <br> County | 51115 | $26.4 \%$ | $(11.9 \%, 48.7 \%)$ | $35.8 \%$ | $(31.7 \%, 40.1 \%)$ |
| Middlesex <br> County | 51119 | $38.6 \%$ | $(11.4 \%, 75.3 \%)$ | $35.3 \%$ | $(30.9 \%, 40.1 \%)$ |
| Northampton <br> County | 51131 | $48.8 \%$ | $(25.3 \%, 72.8 \%)$ | $34.4 \%$ | $(30.7 \%, 38.3 \%)$ |
| Northumberland <br> County | 51133 | $26.7 \%$ | $(9.6 \%, 55.4 \%)$ | $33.3 \%$ | $(28.2 \%, 38.9 \%)$ |
| Richmond <br> County | 51159 | $44.7 \%$ | $(28.2 \%, 62.4 \%)$ | $30.0 \%$ | $(25.0 \%, 35.5 \%)$ |
| Southampton <br> County | 51175 | $41.7 \%$ | $(19.2 \%, 68.3 \%)$ | $41.1 \%$ | $(37.2 \%, 45.1 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland <br> County | 51193 | $55.7 \%$ | $(34.1 \%, 75.4 \%)$ | $41.6 \%$ | $(36.8 \%, 46.5 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $47.9 \%$ | $(33.0 \%, 63.3 \%)$ | $42.1 \%$ | $(38.1 \%, 46.2 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $48.9 \%$ | $(40.4 \%, 57.6 \%)$ | $47.5 \%$ | $(44.9 \%, 50.1 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $37.0 \%$ | $(5.4 \%, 85.7 \%)$ | $46.5 \%$ | $(40.0 \%, 53.2 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $60.4 \%$ | $(50.4 \%, 69.5 \%)$ | $52.9 \%$ | $(47.5 \%, 58.3 \%)$ |
| Newport News <br> City | 51700 | $56.7 \%$ | $(46.5 \%, 66.2 \%)$ | $52.7 \%$ | $(49.3 \%, 56.1 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $56.3 \%$ | $(47.5 \%, 64.6 \%)$ | $53.8 \%$ | $(50.4 \%, 57.2 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $34.2 \%$ | $(9.5 \%, 72.1 \%)$ | $34.0 \%$ | $(30.1 \%, 38.1 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $43.5 \%$ | $(31.9 \%, 55.8 \%)$ | $53.6 \%$ | $(49.3 \%, 57.8 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $54.3 \%$ | $(40.2 \%, 67.8 \%)$ | $50.7 \%$ | $(47.0 \%, 54.3 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach <br> City | 51810 | $49.1 \%$ | $(41.8 \%, 56.4 \%)$ | $46.9 \%$ | $(44.6 \%, 49.3 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg <br> City | 51830 | $30.0 \%$ | $(7.6 \%, 69.1 \%)$ | $27.4 \%$ | $(22.0 \%, 33.6 \%)$ |

# Ever Tested for HIV Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs 



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

# Ever Tested for HIV <br> Alleghany, Roanoke and Central Virginia HDs 
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## Ever Tested for HIV Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs
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## Ever Tested for HIV <br> New River and Mount Rogers HDs
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## Ever Tested for HIV Crater, Peninsula and Hampton HDs
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Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Ever Tested for HIV Eastern Shore and Three Rivers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Ever Tested for HIV Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Ever Tested for HIV Alexandria, Fairfax, Arlington, Loudon, Prince William HDs



## Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 35 areas.
Direct estimate is outside the $95 \%$ SAE Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 98$ areas.
SAE estimate is inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 124$ areas.
SAE estimate is outside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 9 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \%$ CI is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 111 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \%$ CI partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 22 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.
SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 129 areas.
SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 0 areas.
Direct standard error is zero: 4 areas.
Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =1.73 \% ; \operatorname{med}=12.35 \% ; \max =51.86 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: $\min =47.49 \%$; med = 82.01\%; $\max =95.42 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.03 \% ; \operatorname{med}=2.64 \% ; \max =33.16 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to area random effects: <identically zero>

