Outcome 19: Have you had a test for high blood sugar or diabetes within the past

Internal variable name: outc19_diabetes_test
Definition: Have you had a test for high blood sugar or diabetes within the past three years?

## Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 19: Have you had a test for high blood sugar or diabetes within the past |  |  |  |
| NH White | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| NH Black vs. NH White | 0.2839** | 0.2855** | 0.2839** |
|  | (0.0951) | (0.0957) | (0.0951) |
| NH Asian vs. NH White | -0.2159 | -0.2166 | -0.2159 |
|  | (0.1175) | (0.1976) | (0.1175) |
| NH Other vs. NH White | 0.1272 | 0.1274 | 0.1272 |
|  | (0.1285) | (0.1559) | (0.1285) |
| Hispanic vs. NH White | -0.0258 | -0.0253 | -0.0258 |
|  | (0.1353) | (0.1413) | (0.1353) |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT=1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Female | 0.0155 | 0.0156 | 0.0155 |
|  | (0.0602) | (0.0702) | (0.0602) |
| Age 18-34 vs. 65+ | -1.4262*** | -1.4250*** | -1.4262*** |
|  | (0.0918) | (0.1015) | (0.0918) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | -0.6509*** | -0.6503*** | -0.6509*** |
|  | (0.0899) | (0.1061) | (0.0899) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | -0.1918 | -0.1914 | -0.1918 |
|  | (0.1074) | (0.1027) | (0.1074) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | 0.0788 | 0.0792 | 0.0788 |
|  | (0.1018) | (0.0971) | (0.1018) |
| 65+ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| _cons | 0.6415*** | 0.6413*** | 0.6415*** |
|  | (0.0649) | (0.0737) | (0.0649) |
| Area effect variance |  |  |  |
| var(_cons[FIPS_county]) | 0.0024 |  | 0.0024 |
|  | (0.0264) |  | (0.0264) |

Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=5$.

## Results by health districts

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta <br> County | 51015 | $45.7 \%$ | $(34.0 \%, 58.0 \%)$ | $58.7 \%$ | $(55.9 \%, 61.4 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $70.4 \%$ | $(31.8 \%, 92.4 \%)$ | $59.4 \%$ | $(55.1 \%, 63.6 \%)$ |
| Caroline <br> County | 51033 | $55.2 \%$ | $(26.7 \%, 80.6 \%)$ | $59.8 \%$ | $(57.3 \%, 62.2 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $76.8 \%$ | $(40.7 \%, 94.1 \%)$ | $59.6 \%$ | $(56.7 \%, 62.5 \%)$ |
| Culpeper <br> County | 51047 | $42.3 \%$ | $(23.2 \%, 63.9 \%)$ | $59.9 \%$ | $(57.2 \%, 62.5 \%)$ |
| Fauquier <br> County | 51061 | $45.3 \%$ | $(30.7 \%, 60.7 \%)$ | $59.7 \%$ | $(57.0 \%, 62.4 \%)$ |
| Frederick <br> County | 51069 | $50.4 \%$ | $(36.3 \%, 64.5 \%)$ | $59.1 \%$ | $(56.2 \%, 61.9 \%)$ |
| Highland <br> County | 51091 | $51.1 \%$ | $(13.8 \%, 87.2 \%)$ | $59.3 \%$ | $(55.1 \%, 63.3 \%)$ |
| King George <br> County | 51099 | $86.1 \%$ | $(58.1 \%, 94.4 \%)$ | $60.1 \%$ | $(57.3 \%, 62.9 \%)$ |
| Madison <br> County | 51113 | $78.6 \%$ | $(49.6 \%, 93.2 \%)$ | $60.4 \%$ | $(57.5 \%, 63.3 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $66.1 \%$ | $(44.2 \%, 82.8 \%)$ | $60.4 \%$ | $(57.6 \%, 63.2 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $55.7 \%$ | $(28.9 \%, 79.5 \%)$ | $59.9 \%$ | $(55.9 \%, 63.7 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock <br> County | 51157 | $56.3 \%$ | $(14.0 \%, 91.0 \%)$ | $60.2 \%$ | $(57.2 \%, 63.2 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge <br> County | 51163 | $39.1 \%$ | $(20.5 \%, 61.5 \%)$ | $59.0 \%$ | $(56.1 \%, 61.7 \%)$ |
| Rockingham <br> County | 51165 | $38.3 \%$ | $(25.2 \%, 53.4 \%)$ | $54.4 \%$ | $(51.5 \%, 57.4 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah <br> County | 51171 | $67.4 \%$ | $(50.5 \%, 80.8 \%)$ | $60.2 \%$ | $(57.2 \%, 63.1 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania <br> County | 51177 | $60.5 \%$ | $(47.8 \%, 71.9 \%)$ | $59.8 \%$ | $(57.1 \%, 62.4 \%)$ |
| Stafford <br> County | 51179 | $50.5 \%$ | $(38.7 \%, 62.2 \%)$ | $57.9 \%$ | $(55.2 \%, 60.5 \%)$ |
| Warren <br> County | 51187 | $63.0 \%$ | $(44.1 \%, 78.6 \%)$ | $60.0 \%$ | $(56.9 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista <br> City | 51530 | $52.2 \%$ | $(11.3 \%, 90.3 \%)$ | $59.3 \%$ | $(56.5 \%, 62.1 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg <br> City | 51630 | $43.7 \%$ | $(24.2 \%, 65.3 \%)$ | $58.0 \%$ | $(55.2 \%, 60.7 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg <br> City | 51660 | $16.9 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 47.9 \%)$ | $54.7 \%$ | $(50.6 \%, 58.8 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | .$\%$ | $(. \%, . \%)$ | $59.3 \%$ | $(56.0 \%, 62.6 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $27.4 \%$ | $(7.7 \%, 62.8 \%)$ | $59.0 \%$ | $(56.1 \%, 61.9 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro | 51820 | $60.6 \%$ | $(55.5 \%, 63.1 \%)$ |  |  |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winchester <br> City | 51840 | $27.8 \%$ | $(10.1 \%, 62.1 \%)$ | $59.2 \%$ | $(56.1 \%, 62.2 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle <br> County | 51003 | $50.1 \%$ | $(37.1 \%, 63.1 \%)$ | $57.7 \%$ | $(55.0 \%, 60.3 \%)$ |
| Alleghany <br> County | 51005 | $45.4 \%$ | $(24.9 \%, 67.6 \%)$ | $60.1 \%$ | $(57.5 \%, 62.6 \%)$ |
| Amherst <br> County | 51009 | $62.8 \%$ | $(43.8 \%, 78.5 \%)$ | $60.9 \%$ | $(57.9 \%, 63.8 \%)$ |
| Appomattox <br> County | 51011 | $40.3 \%$ | $(24.1 \%, 58.9 \%)$ | $60.1 \%$ | $(56.6 \%, 63.6 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $53.0 \%$ | $(40.3 \%, 65.4 \%)$ | $56.9 \%$ | $(54.5 \%, 59.3 \%)$ |
| Bedford <br> County | 51019 | $67.7 \%$ | $(55.4 \%, 78.0 \%)$ | $61.4 \%$ | $(58.6 \%, 64.2 \%)$ |
| Botetourt <br> County | 51023 | $54.1 \%$ | $(31.9 \%, 74.8 \%)$ | $60.3 \%$ | $(57.6 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |
| Campbell <br> County | 51031 | $57.3 \%$ | $(43.1 \%, 70.4 \%)$ | $56.3 \%$ | $(53.4 \%, 59.2 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $25.6 \%$ | $(4.2 \%, 72.9 \%)$ | $60.2 \%$ | $(57.4 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $51.6 \%$ | $(46.1 \%, 57.0 \%)$ | $57.3 \%$ | $(55.5 \%, 59.0 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna <br> County | 51065 | $57.5 \%$ | $(33.2 \%, 78.7 \%)$ | $60.5 \%$ | $(57.4 \%, 63.6 \%)$ |
| Greene <br> County | 51079 | $89.1 \%$ | $(34.5 \%, 97.7 \%)$ | $56.5 \%$ | $(53.5 \%, 59.4 \%)$ |
| Loudoun <br> County | 51107 | $51.1 \%$ | $(42.4 \%, 59.8 \%)$ | $57.8 \%$ | $(55.8 \%, 59.8 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $51.1 \%$ | $(28.9 \%, 72.9 \%)$ | $60.4 \%$ | $(57.0 \%, 63.7 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $45.9 \%$ | $(14.7 \%, 80.7 \%)$ | $60.5 \%$ | $(57.5 \%, 63.5 \%)$ |
| Prince William <br> County | 51153 | $56.1 \%$ | $(47.9 \%, 63.9 \%)$ | $59.1 \%$ | $(56.8 \%, 61.3 \%)$ |
| Roanoke <br> County | 51161 | $52.5 \%$ | $(40.8 \%, 64.0 \%)$ | $60.0 \%$ | $(57.3 \%, 62.6 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $46.3 \%$ | $(33.3 \%, 59.9 \%)$ | $58.8 \%$ | $(56.0 \%, 61.5 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville <br> City | 51540 | $15.8 \%$ | $(8.1 \%, 34.6 \%)$ | $55.5 \%$ | $(51.2 \%, 59.7 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | $100.0 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $60.4 \%$ | $(56.4 \%, 64.3 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $51.1 \%$ | $(34.2 \%, 67.6 \%)$ | $57.3 \%$ | $(55.0 \%, 59.7 \%)$ |
| Falls Church <br> City | 51610 | $36.9 \%$ | $(12.1 \%, 71.4 \%)$ | $58.8 \%$ | $(55.8 \%, 61.7 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $54.1 \%$ | $(39.5 \%, 68.0 \%)$ | $56.2 \%$ | $(53.0 \%, 59.3 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $32.3 \%$ | $(14.6 \%, 57.0 \%)$ | $57.2 \%$ | $(53.6 \%, 60.8 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park <br> City | 51685 | $59.8 \%$ | $(14.8 \%, 92.7 \%)$ | $57.6 \%$ | $(52.9 \%, 62.2 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $65.1 \%$ | $(26.0 \%, 90.8 \%)$ | $59.7 \%$ | $(56.4 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bland County | 51021 | $50.4 \%$ | $(10.5 \%, 89.8 \%)$ | $60.3 \%$ | $(57.0 \%, 63.6 \%)$ |
| Buchanan <br> County | 51027 | $64.0 \%$ | $(40.3 \%, 82.4 \%)$ | $60.2 \%$ | $(56.6 \%, 63.8 \%)$ |
| Carroll County | 51035 | $34.0 \%$ | $(16.6 \%, 57.1 \%)$ | $60.0 \%$ | $(57.4 \%, 62.7 \%)$ |
| Dickenson <br> County | 51051 | $57.3 \%$ | $(30.1 \%, 80.7 \%)$ | $60.1 \%$ | $(55.9 \%, 64.2 \%)$ |
| Floyd County | 51063 | $22.2 \%$ | $(9.9 \%, 42.4 \%)$ | $53.8 \%$ | $(48.2 \%, 59.3 \%)$ |
| Franklin <br> County | 51067 | $54.8 \%$ | $(38.7 \%, 69.9 \%)$ | $60.2 \%$ | $(57.6 \%, 62.7 \%)$ |
| Giles County | 51071 | $23.4 \%$ | $(5.9 \%, 59.6 \%)$ | $54.1 \%$ | $(50.7 \%, 57.4 \%)$ |
| Grayson <br> County | 51077 | $79.2 \%$ | $(53.3 \%, 92.7 \%)$ | $60.6 \%$ | $(56.9 \%, 64.3 \%)$ |
| Henry County | 51089 | $44.9 \%$ | $(29.5 \%, 61.4 \%)$ | $61.3 \%$ | $(58.5 \%, 64.1 \%)$ |
| Lee County | 51105 | $86.2 \%$ | $(57.3 \%, 96.7 \%)$ | $60.4 \%$ | $(57.1 \%, 63.6 \%)$ |
| Montgomery <br> County | 51121 | $36.1 \%$ | $(25.0 \%, 49.0 \%)$ | $53.5 \%$ | $(50.2 \%, 56.8 \%)$ |
| Patrick County | 51141 | $40.4 \%$ | $(19.5 \%, 65.5 \%)$ | $61.5 \%$ | $(58.8 \%, 64.2 \%)$ |
| Pittsylvania <br> County | 51143 | $57.5 \%$ | $(43.2 \%, 70.6 \%)$ | $61.7 \%$ | $(58.9 \%, 64.4 \%)$ |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | $62.1 \%$ | $(41.4 \%, 79.2 \%)$ | $54.5 \%$ | $(51.2 \%, 57.7 \%)$ |
| Russell County | 51167 | $35.9 \%$ | $(18.0 \%, 58.9 \%)$ | $59.7 \%$ | $(54.1 \%, 65.1 \%)$ |
| Scott County | 51169 | $59.4 \%$ | $(43.0 \%, 73.9 \%)$ | $60.1 \%$ | $(56.6 \%, 63.5 \%)$ |
| Smyth County | 51173 | $75.4 \%$ | $(56.5 \%, 87.9 \%)$ | $60.8 \%$ | $(58.1 \%, 63.4 \%)$ |
| Tazewell <br> County | 51185 | $50.1 \%$ | $(32.5 \%, 67.8 \%)$ | $59.9 \%$ | $(56.3 \%, 63.4 \%)$ |
| Washington <br> County | 51191 | $48.7 \%$ | $(34.1 \%, 63.4 \%)$ | $60.0 \%$ | $(56.9 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |
| Wise County | 51195 | $66.9 \%$ | $(49.8 \%, 80.4 \%)$ | $60.6 \%$ | $(57.1 \%, 63.9 \%)$ |
| Wythe County | 51197 | $56.4 \%$ | $(35.2 \%, 75.5 \%)$ | $60.3 \%$ | $(57.4 \%, 63.2 \%)$ |
| Bristol City | 51520 | $32.7 \%$ | $(4.7 \%, 82.6 \%)$ | $60.2 \%$ | $(57.4 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |
| Danville City | 51590 | $58.1 \%$ | $(24.7 \%, 85.4 \%)$ | $61.8 \%$ | $(59.0 \%, 64.6 \%)$ |
| Galax City | 51640 | $100.0 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $60.4 \%$ | $(57.1 \%, 63.7 \%)$ |
| Martinsville <br> City | 51690 | $85.9 \%$ | $(32.2 \%, 97.6 \%)$ | $62.0 \%$ | $(59.4 \%, 64.5 \%)$ |
| Norton City | 51720 | $14.5 \%$ | $(0.3 \%, 97.9 \%)$ | $60.1 \%$ | $(57.1 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |
| Radford City | 51750 | $35.9 \%$ | $(16.5 \%, 61.4 \%)$ | $54.0 \%$ | $(50.2 \%, 57.7 \%)$ |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | $38.6 \%$ | $(26.2 \%, 52.7 \%)$ | $58.9 \%$ | $(55.6 \%, 62.1 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct estimate | Direct 95\% Cl | SAE composite | SAE Cl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amelia County | 51007 | 54.5\% | (23.3\%, 82.6\%) | 61.0\% | (58.1\%, 63.7\%) |
| Brunswick County | 51025 | 37.2\% | (14.2\%, 67.8\%) | 60.8\% | (57.4\%, 64.0\%) |
| Buckingham County | 51029 | 45.4\% | (20.7\%, 72.6\%) | 60.9\% | (57.8\%, 63.9\%) |
| Charles City County | 51036 | 61.4\% | (8.4\%, 96.5\%) | 60.5\% | (57.2\%, 63.8\%) |
| Charlotte County | 51037 | 50.8\% | (24.1\%, 77.1\%) | 61.0\% | (57.8\%, 64.1\%) |
| Chesterfield County | 51041 | 58.3\% | (49.9\%, 66.2\%) | 60.2\% | (57.9\%, 62.5\%) |
| Cumberland County | 51049 | 47.0\% | (16.8\%, 79.6\%) | 60.9\% | (57.6\%, 64.2\%) |
| Dinwiddie County | 51053 | 69.8\% | (52.8\%, 82.7\%) | 61.7\% | (58.3\%, 64.9\%) |
| Goochland County | 51075 | 63.1\% | (20.1\%, 92.1\%) | 60.6\% | (58.0\%, 63.1\%) |
| Greensville County | 51081 | 66.3\% | (34.4\%, 88.0\%) | 61.4\% | (58.4\%, 64.3\%) |
| Halifax County | 51083 | 56.3\% | (38.8\%, 72.4\%) | 61.0\% | (58.1\%, 63.7\%) |
| Hanover County | 51085 | 51.4\% | (36.4\%, 66.1\%) | 60.2\% | (57.5\%, 62.9\%) |
| Henrico County | 51087 | 56.9\% | (47.3\%, 66.1\%) | 60.4\% | (58.0\%, 62.8\%) |
| Lunenburg County | 51111 | 41.2\% | (14.8\%, 73.9\%) | 60.9\% | (57.8\%, 63.9\%) |
| Mecklenburg County | 51117 | 52.4\% | (33.2\%, 70.9\%) | 60.9\% | (58.1\%, 63.6\%) |
| New Kent County | 51127 | 52.1\% | (27.9\%, 75.4\%) | 60.4\% | (57.6\%, 63.2\%) |
| Nottoway County | 51135 | 58.9\% | (32.7\%, 80.8\%) | 61.1\% | (57.9\%, 64.2\%) |
| Powhatan County | 51145 | 80.8\% | (54.5\%, 93.7\%) | 60.9\% | (58.3\%, 63.4\%) |
| Prince Edward County | 51147 | 46.1\% | (24.4\%, 69.4\%) | 60.9\% | (57.4\%, 64.3\%) |
| Prince George County | 51149 | 70.7\% | (51.8\%, 84.4\%) | 61.7\% | (57.5\%, 65.7\%) |
| Surry County | 51181 | 63.3\% | (22.7\%, 91.0\%) | 61.4\% | (58.0\%, 64.8\%) |
| Sussex County | 51183 | 59.7\% | (30.4\%, 83.4\%) | 61.4\% | (58.2\%, 64.5\%) |
| Colonial Heights City | 51570 | 60.7\% | (30.5\%, 84.5\%) | 61.5\% | (58.4\%, 64.5\%) |
| Emporia City | 51595 | 61.7\% | (3.5\%, 98.5\%) | 61.4\% | (55.9\%, 66.6\%) |
| Hopewell City | 51670 | 64.6\% | (32.7\%, 87.2\%) | 61.5\% | (58.4\%, 64.5\%) |
| Petersburg | 51730 | 72.5\% | (48.6\%, 88.0\%) | 61.7\% | (58.5\%, 64.8\%) |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $46.4 \%$ | $(35.2 \%, 58.0 \%)$ | $58.1 \%$ | $(55.0 \%, 61.1 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack <br> County | 51001 | $61.3 \%$ | $(44.5 \%, 75.8 \%)$ | $62.1 \%$ | $(59.0 \%, 65.2 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $39.9 \%$ | $(15.3 \%, 70.8 \%)$ | $61.9 \%$ | $(58.5 \%, 65.1 \%)$ |
| Gloucester <br> County | 51073 | $56.3 \%$ | $(38.9 \%, 72.3 \%)$ | $62.0 \%$ | $(59.0 \%, 64.9 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight <br> County | 51093 | $63.2 \%$ | $(38.3 \%, 82.6 \%)$ | $61.7 \%$ | $(58.8 \%, 64.5 \%)$ |
| James City <br> County | 51095 | $43.8 \%$ | $(30.4 \%, 58.2 \%)$ | $58.5 \%$ | $(55.8 \%, 61.1 \%)$ |
| King and Queen <br> County | 51097 | $55.5 \%$ | $(18.8 \%, 87.0 \%)$ | $62.0 \%$ | $(58.4 \%, 65.6 \%)$ |
| King William <br> County | 51101 | $50.2 \%$ | $(18.9 \%, 81.4 \%)$ | $60.5 \%$ | $(57.9 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |
| Lancaster <br> County | 51103 | $50.4 \%$ | $(27.1 \%, 73.7 \%)$ | $62.0 \%$ | $(58.3 \%, 65.5 \%)$ |
| Mathews <br> County | 51115 | $45.6 \%$ | $(24.0 \%, 69.1 \%)$ | $61.9 \%$ | $(57.9 \%, 65.7 \%)$ |
| Middlesex <br> County | 51119 | $63.6 \%$ | $(34.3 \%, 85.4 \%)$ | $62.2 \%$ | $(58.9 \%, 65.3 \%)$ |
| Northampton <br> County | 51131 | $44.8 \%$ | $(21.8 \%, 70.3 \%)$ | $61.9 \%$ | $(58.9 \%, 64.7 \%)$ |
| Northumberland <br> County | 51133 | $62.3 \%$ | $(28.4 \%, 87.3 \%)$ | $62.1 \%$ | $(58.8 \%, 65.2 \%)$ |
| Richmond <br> County | 51159 | $56.8 \%$ | $(35.0 \%, 76.3 \%)$ | $62.1 \%$ | $(58.5 \%, 65.7 \%)$ |
| Southampton <br> County | 51175 | $41.6 \%$ | $(19.2 \%, 68.1 \%)$ | $61.3 \%$ | $(58.4 \%, 64.1 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland <br> County | 51193 | $68.2 \%$ | $(44.3 \%, 85.3 \%)$ | $62.3 \%$ | $(57.7 \%, 66.6 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $52.3 \%$ | $(36.2 \%, 67.9 \%)$ | $59.0 \%$ | $(56.4 \%, 61.6 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $52.4 \%$ | $(43.0 \%, 61.8 \%)$ | $59.6 \%$ | $(57.1 \%, 62.0 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $63.4 \%$ | $(23.9 \%, 90.5 \%)$ | $61.6 \%$ | $(58.2 \%, 64.8 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $59.8 \%$ | $(48.2 \%, 70.4 \%)$ | $60.2 \%$ | $(57.1 \%, 63.3 \%)$ |
| Newport News <br> City | 51700 | $52.9 \%$ | $(42.1 \%, 63.5 \%)$ | $58.7 \%$ | $(56.0 \%, 61.4 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $49.8 \%$ | $(40.5 \%, 59.0 \%)$ | $55.9 \%$ | $(53.3 \%, 58.5 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $65.5 \%$ | $(23.1 \%, 92.3 \%)$ | $59.2 \%$ | $(56.5 \%, 61.9 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $41.2 \%$ | $(29.7 \%, 53.7 \%)$ | $59.4 \%$ | $(56.2 \%, 62.6 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $72.8 \%$ | $(58.5 \%, 83.6 \%)$ | $62.2 \%$ | $(59.1 \%, 65.2 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach <br> City | 51810 | $55.6 \%$ | $(47.4 \%, 63.6 \%)$ | $58.6 \%$ | $(56.4 \%, 60.7 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg <br> City | 51830 | $48.2 \%$ | $(15.3 \%, 82.8 \%)$ | $59.1 \%$ | $(56.0 \%, 62.1 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Had a diabetes test past yr Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs 



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Had a diabetes test past yr Alleghany, Roanoke and Central Virginia HDs
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## Had a diabetes test past yr Cumberland Plateau and Lenowisco HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Had a diabetes test past yr Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs



## Had a diabetes test past yr New River and Mount Rogers HDs
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## Had a diabetes test past yr Crater, Peninsula and Hampton HDs
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Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Had a diabetes test past yr Eastern Shore and Three Rivers HDs
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Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval
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## Had a diabetes test past yr Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs
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## Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 22 areas.

Direct estimate is outside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 111 areas.

SAE estimate is inside the 95\% direct Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 118$ areas.

SAE estimate is outside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 15$ areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl : 109 areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \%$ CI partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 24$ areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.

SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 130 areas.

SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 0 areas.

Direct standard error is zero: 3 areas.

Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =9.19 \% ;$ med $=35.38 \% ; \max =59.25 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: $\min =10.32 \%$; med = 40.94\%; $\max =79.99 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.21 \% ; \operatorname{med}=15.57 \% ; \max =79.48 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to area random effects: $\min =0.61 \% ; \operatorname{med}=2.85 \% ; \max =5.83 \%$.

