## Outcome 27: Doctor Inaccessible Due to Cost

Internal variable name: outc27_doctor_cost
Definition: Was there a time in the past 12 months when you needed to see a doctor but could not because of cost?

## Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 27: Doctor Inaccessible Due to Cost |  |  |  |
| NH White | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| NH Black vs. NH White | 0.2856* | 0.2798* | 0.2724* |
|  | (0.1140) | (0.1329) | (0.1138) |
| NH Asian vs. NH White | 0.0658 | 0.1047 | 0.1083 |
|  | (0.3216) | (0.3109) | (0.3075) |
| NH Other vs. NH White | 0.7670** | 0.7901*** | 0.7754** |
|  | (0.2284) | (0.1960) | (0.2329) |
| Hispanic vs. NH White | 1.0413*** | 1.0612*** | 1.0694*** |
|  | (0.1534) | (0.1518) | (0.1470) |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT=1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Female | 0.2207* | 0.2131* | 0.2131* |
|  | (0.0912) | (0.1052) | (0.0920) |
| Age 18-34 vs. 65+ | 1.4495*** | 1.4621*** | 1.4658*** |
|  | (0.1437) | (0.1599) | (0.1422) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | 1.1167*** | 1.1171*** | 1.1237*** |
|  | (0.1530) | (0.1685) | (0.1517) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | 0.9092*** | 0.9041*** | 0.9150*** |
|  | (0.2011) | (0.1749) | (0.2026) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | 0.6887*** | 0.6904*** | 0.6909*** |
|  | (0.1393) | (0.1695) | (0.1392) |
| 65+ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Average number of Person per occupied housing unit in the ACS |  | 0.1166 | 0.8412 |
|  |  | (1.4047) | (1.9072) |
| VA BRFSS 2014 SAE outc36_oweight_obese |  | 3.2042 | 6.1029 |
|  |  | (5.5878) | (7.5336) |


| Average number of <br> Person per occupied <br> housing unit in the ACS <br> \# VA BRFSS 2014 SAE <br> outc36_oweight_obese |  | 0.1461 | -1.0923 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| _cons | $-3.4930^{* * *}$ | $(2.1540)$ | $(3.0010)$ |
|  | $(0.1246)$ | $(3.6751)$ | -7.8025 |
| Area effect variance |  |  | $(4.8065)$ |
| var(_cons[FIPS_county]) | $0.1229^{*}$ |  | 0.0435 |
|  | $(0.0521)$ |  | $(0.0382)$ |

Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=6$.

## Results by health districts

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta <br> County | 51015 | $9.3 \%$ | $(4.4 \%, 18.5 \%)$ | $7.3 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 8.5 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 35.6 \%)$ | $6.5 \%$ | $(5.2 \%, 8.0 \%)$ |
| Caroline <br> County | 51033 | $8.2 \%$ | $(1.5 \%, 34.6 \%)$ | $7.5 \%$ | $(6.6 \%, 8.6 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 24.3 \%)$ | $6.1 \%$ | $(5.1 \%, 7.2 \%)$ |
| Culpeper <br> County | 51047 | $10.8 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 29.8 \%)$ | $8.2 \%$ | $(6.5 \%, 10.4 \%)$ |
| Fauquier <br> County | 51061 | $11.1 \%$ | $(4.6 \%, 24.7 \%)$ | $8.1 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 9.8 \%)$ |
| Frederick <br> County | 51069 | $18.1 \%$ | $(9.2 \%, 32.6 \%)$ | $10.3 \%$ | $(8.9 \%, 11.9 \%)$ |
| Highland <br> County | 51091 | $0.0 \%$ | $(-0.0 \%, 49.0 \%)$ | $5.1 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 7.8 \%)$ |
| King George <br> County | 51099 | $24.8 \%$ | $(10.2 \%, 48.9 \%)$ | $11.3 \%$ | $(8.6 \%, 14.7 \%)$ |
| Madison <br> County | 51113 | $15.9 \%$ | $(5.0 \%, 40.4 \%)$ | $10.2 \%$ | $(8.2 \%, 12.6 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $7.9 \%$ | $(2.4 \%, 22.8 \%)$ | $7.7 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 9.4 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $2.5 \%$ | $(1.1 \%, 22.3 \%)$ | $6.2 \%$ | $(5.2 \%, 7.3 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock <br> County | 51157 | $5.9 \%$ | $(1.9 \%, 46.8 \%)$ | $8.8 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 10.4 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge <br> County | 51163 | $7.2 \%$ | $(2.3 \%, 20.6 \%)$ | $6.7 \%$ | $(5.8 \%, 7.7 \%)$ |
| Rockingham <br> County | 51165 | $8.5 \%$ | $(4.1 \%, 17.0 \%)$ | $8.7 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 10.1 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah <br> County | 51171 | $6.7 \%$ | $(2.0 \%, 20.6 \%)$ | $6.7 \%$ | $(5.5 \%, 8.0 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania <br> County | 51177 | $9.2 \%$ | $(4.6 \%, 17.5 \%)$ | $8.5 \%$ | $(6.6 \%, 11.0 \%)$ |
| Stafford <br> County | 51179 | $8.0 \%$ | $(4.1 \%, 15.3 \%)$ | $8.7 \%$ | $(6.5 \%, 11.5 \%)$ |
| Warren <br> County | 51187 | $6.5 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 20.1 \%)$ | $7.6 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 9.1 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista <br> City | 51530 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 62.9 \%)$ | $6.4 \%$ | $(3.2 \%, 12.2 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg <br> City | 51630 | $1.6 \%$ | $(0.9 \%, 17.3 \%)$ | $7.2 \%$ | $(6.0 \%, 8.7 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg <br> City | 51660 | $8.1 \%$ | $(1.8 \%, 30.3 \%)$ | $5.4 \%$ | $(3.6 \%, 7.9 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 99.4 \%)$ | $8.1 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 11.3 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $14.5 \%$ | $(2.1 \%, 57.9 \%)$ | $5.5 \%$ | $(4.0 \%, 7.3 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro | 51820 | $10.8 \%$ | $4.0 \%, 6.0 \%)$ |  |  |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winchester <br> City | 51840 | $4.0 \%$ | $(1.6 \%, 37.6 \%)$ | $6.8 \%$ | $(5.8 \%, 7.9 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle <br> County | 51003 | $3.3 \%$ | $(1.3 \%, 7.8 \%)$ | $4.6 \%$ | $(3.6 \%, 5.9 \%)$ |
| Alleghany <br> County | 51005 | $6.3 \%$ | $(1.6 \%, 21.7 \%)$ | $6.8 \%$ | $(5.5 \%, 8.4 \%)$ |
| Amherst <br> County | 51009 | $12.1 \%$ | $(5.3 \%, 25.3 \%)$ | $8.1 \%$ | $(6.9 \%, 9.6 \%)$ |
| Appomattox <br> County | 51011 | $9.3 \%$ | $(2.4 \%, 29.7 \%)$ | $7.9 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 9.9 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $4.6 \%$ | $(2.2 \%, 9.3 \%)$ | $4.6 \%$ | $(3.0 \%, 7.0 \%)$ |
| Bedford <br> County | 51019 | $18.2 \%$ | $(9.9 \%, 31.2 \%)$ | $10.5 \%$ | $(9.2 \%, 11.8 \%)$ |
| Botetourt <br> County | 51023 | $7.9 \%$ | $(1.8 \%, 29.0 \%)$ | $7.4 \%$ | $(6.2 \%, 8.8 \%)$ |
| Campbell <br> County | 51031 | $8.2 \%$ | $(3.8 \%, 16.6 \%)$ | $8.7 \%$ | $(7.2 \%, 10.4 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $23.2 \%$ | $(5.6 \%, 60.6 \%)$ | $11.0 \%$ | $(6.9 \%, 17.2 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $8.3 \%$ | $(6.1 \%, 11.3 \%)$ | $6.9 \%$ | $(5.3 \%, 9.0 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna <br> County | 51065 | $12.7 \%$ | $(1.9 \%, 51.7 \%)$ | $8.1 \%$ | $(6.5 \%, 10.1 \%)$ |
| Greene <br> County | 51079 | $7.6 \%$ | $(1.1 \%, 37.0 \%)$ | $7.6 \%$ | $(6.1 \%, 9.4 \%)$ |
| Loudoun <br> County | 51107 | $5.3 \%$ | $(3.2 \%, 8.9 \%)$ | $5.8 \%$ | $(3.9 \%, 8.4 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $9.2 \%$ | $(4.1 \%, 27.2 \%)$ | $10.3 \%$ | $(7.9 \%, 13.2 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $4.4 \%$ | $(1.6 \%, 49.1 \%)$ | $7.7 \%$ | $(6.0 \%, 9.8 \%)$ |
| Prince William <br> County | 51153 | $19.6 \%$ | $(14.1 \%, 26.5 \%)$ | $15.5 \%$ | $(10.9 \%, 21.4 \%)$ |
| Roanoke <br> County | 51161 | $7.4 \%$ | $(3.1 \%, 16.6 \%)$ | $6.1 \%$ | $(5.2 \%, 7.1 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $12.3 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 22.6 \%)$ | $8.0 \%$ | $(6.4 \%, 9.9 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville <br> City | 51540 | $11.8 \%$ | $(3.1 \%, 35.9 \%)$ | $5.7 \%$ | $(4.4 \%, 7.3 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 81.2 \%)$ | $12.7 \%$ | $(8.5 \%, 18.6 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $6.2 \%$ | $(2.1 \%, 17.2 \%)$ | $6.2 \%$ | $(5.0 \%, 7.6 \%)$ |
| Falls Church <br> City | 51610 | $18.1 \%$ | $(4.4 \%, 51.4 \%)$ | $7.2 \%$ | $(6.0 \%, 8.6 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $12.3 \%$ | $(5.4 \%, 25.4 \%)$ | $7.8 \%$ | $(6.5 \%, 9.4 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $20.5 \%$ | $(7.7 \%, 44.4 \%)$ | $15.5 \%$ | $(9.0 \%, 25.3 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park <br> City | 51685 | $4.2 \%$ | $(1.5 \%, 54.2 \%)$ | $15.7 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 43.7 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $6.1 \%$ | $(0.9 \%, 31.9 \%)$ | $7.0 \%$ | $(5.8 \%, 8.4 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bland County | 51021 | $5.3 \%$ | $(1.6 \%, 61.3 \%)$ | $7.4 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 9.7 \%)$ |
| Buchanan <br> County | 51027 | $17.7 \%$ | $(5.6 \%, 43.8 \%)$ | $9.3 \%$ | $(6.9 \%, 12.3 \%)$ |
| Carroll County | 51035 | $25.1 \%$ | $(8.8 \%, 53.8 \%)$ | $8.4 \%$ | $(6.9 \%, 10.4 \%)$ |
| Dickenson <br> County | 51051 | $29.3 \%$ | $(11.0 \%, 58.2 \%)$ | $9.0 \%$ | $(6.2 \%, 13.0 \%)$ |
| Floyd County | 51063 | $37.3 \%$ | $(16.8 \%, 63.5 \%)$ | $11.7 \%$ | $(9.0 \%, 15.0 \%)$ |
| Franklin <br> County | 51067 | $8.2 \%$ | $(2.5 \%, 24.1 \%)$ | $7.3 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 8.6 \%)$ |
| Giles County | 51071 | $2.3 \%$ | $(1.1 \%, 45.0 \%)$ | $8.9 \%$ | $(7.2 \%, 10.8 \%)$ |
| Grayson <br> County | 51077 | $33.1 \%$ | $(13.3 \%, 61.6 \%)$ | $12.2 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 19.2 \%)$ |
| Henry County | 51089 | $5.2 \%$ | $(1.6 \%, 15.6 \%)$ | $6.9 \%$ | $(5.5 \%, 8.6 \%)$ |
| Lee County | 51105 | $8.3 \%$ | $(2.5 \%, 24.1 \%)$ | $8.4 \%$ | $(6.8 \%, 10.3 \%)$ |
| Montgomery <br> County | 51121 | $11.9 \%$ | $(5.1 \%, 25.6 \%)$ | $6.5 \%$ | $(5.0 \%, 8.3 \%)$ |
| Patrick County | 51141 | $10.5 \%$ | $(2.7 \%, 33.4 \%)$ | $9.7 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 12.6 \%)$ |
| Pittsylvania <br> County | 51143 | $10.4 \%$ | $(4.8 \%, 20.9 \%)$ | $9.0 \%$ | $(7.1 \%, 11.3 \%)$ |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | $4.7 \%$ | $(1.4 \%, 14.9 \%)$ | $7.8 \%$ | $(6.1 \%, 10.0 \%)$ |
| Russell County | 51167 | $4.8 \%$ | $(1.2 \%, 18.1 \%)$ | $7.5 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 9.8 \%)$ |
| Scott County | 51169 | $11.0 \%$ | $(4.7 \%, 23.6 \%)$ | $9.1 \%$ | $(7.3 \%, 11.3 \%)$ |
| Smyth County | 51173 | $9.6 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 24.7 \%)$ | $7.0 \%$ | $(5.3 \%, 9.3 \%)$ |
| Tazewell <br> County | 51185 | $13.6 \%$ | $(6.5 \%, 26.1 \%)$ | $8.8 \%$ | $(7.4 \%, 10.4 \%)$ |
| Washington <br> County | 51191 | $5.1 \%$ | $(2.0 \%, 12.6 \%)$ | $5.6 \%$ | $(4.2 \%, 7.3 \%)$ |
| Wise County | 51195 | $9.2 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 18.6 \%)$ | $7.5 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 8.9 \%)$ |
| Wythe County | 51197 | $9.2 \%$ | $(3.1 \%, 24.5 \%)$ | $6.8 \%$ | $(5.8 \%, 8.1 \%)$ |
| Bristol City | 51520 | $29.4 \%$ | $(5.9 \%, 73.5 \%)$ | $7.9 \%$ | $(4.5 \%, 13.5 \%)$ |
| Danville City | 51590 | $5.9 \%$ | $(0.9 \%, 29.3 \%)$ | $7.3 \%$ | $(5.5 \%, 9.6 \%)$ |
| Galax City | 51640 | $35.3 \%$ | $(1.3 \%, 96.4 \%)$ | $6.4 \%$ | $(1.8 \%, 19.9 \%)$ |
| Martinsville <br> City | 51690 | $29.0 \%$ | $(6.8 \%, 69.7 \%)$ | $8.5 \%$ | $(4.6 \%, 15.1 \%)$ |
| Norton City | 51720 | $75.3 \%$ | $(18.8 \%, 97.6 \%)$ | $6.7 \%$ | $(1.3 \%, 28.4 \%)$ |
| Radford City | 51750 | $5.6 \%$ | $(0.9 \%, 27.8 \%)$ | $5.3 \%$ | $(3.8 \%, 7.4 \%)$ |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | $8.5 \%$ | $(3.8 \%, 17.9 \%)$ | $6.2 \%$ | $(5.1 \%, 7.7 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amelia County | 51007 | $16.7 \%$ | $(3.0 \%, 56.8 \%)$ | $7.7 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 8.8 \%)$ |
| Brunswick <br> County | 51025 | $2.3 \%$ | $(1.2 \%, 26.4 \%)$ | $8.3 \%$ | $(5.8 \%, 11.7 \%)$ |
| Buckingham <br> County | 51029 | $9.8 \%$ | $(1.8 \%, 39.0 \%)$ | $12.3 \%$ | $(8.9 \%, 16.7 \%)$ |
| Charles City <br> County | 51036 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 78.6 \%)$ | $10.0 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 12.7 \%)$ |
| Charlotte <br> County | 51037 | $12.5 \%$ | $(3.2 \%, 38.5 \%)$ | $10.3 \%$ | $(8.1 \%, 12.9 \%)$ |
| Chesterfield <br> County | 51041 | $11.2 \%$ | $(6.5 \%, 18.8 \%)$ | $8.9 \%$ | $(7.9 \%, 10.2 \%)$ |
| Cumberland <br> County | 51049 | $34.3 \%$ | $(9.4 \%, 72.4 \%)$ | $9.6 \%$ | $(8.5 \%, 10.8 \%)$ |
| Dinwiddie <br> County | 51053 | $4.6 \%$ | $(2.6 \%, 17.7 \%)$ | $9.2 \%$ | $(7.3 \%, 11.4 \%)$ |
| Goochland <br> County | 51075 | $0.0 \%$ | $(-0.0 \%, 34.1 \%)$ | $5.6 \%$ | $(4.7 \%, 6.7 \%)$ |
| Greensville <br> County | 51081 | $8.1 \%$ | $(3.2 \%, 37.1 \%)$ | $9.1 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 10.9 \%)$ |
| Halifax County | 51083 | $16.0 \%$ | $(6.6 \%, 33.9 \%)$ | $10.4 \%$ | $(8.7 \%, 12.3 \%)$ |
| Hanover <br> County | 51085 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 7.3 \%)$ | $5.6 \%$ | $(4.7 \%, 6.8 \%)$ |
| Henrico <br> County | 51087 | $9.5 \%$ | $(5.9 \%, 15.0 \%)$ | $7.5 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 8.5 \%)$ |
| Lunenburg <br> County | 51111 | $4.0 \%$ | $(2.3 \%, 31.4 \%)$ | $11.4 \%$ | $(8.2 \%, 15.6 \%)$ |
| Mecklenburg <br> County | 51117 | $18.2 \%$ | $(8.2 \%, 35.6 \%)$ | $10.2 \%$ | $(8.9 \%, 11.6 \%)$ |
| New Kent <br> County | 51127 | $9.1 \%$ | $(4.4 \%, 29.5 \%)$ | $9.2 \%$ | $(7.3 \%, 11.4 \%)$ |
| Nottoway <br> County | 51135 | $4.4 \%$ | $(0.7 \%, 22.8 \%)$ | $8.0 \%$ | $(6.2 \%, 10.1 \%)$ |
| Powhatan <br> County | 51145 | $1.9 \%$ | $(1.2 \%, 28.0 \%)$ | $8.4 \%$ | $(6.9 \%, 10.2 \%)$ |
| Prince Edward <br> County | 51147 | $8.6 \%$ | $(4.0 \%, 26.7 \%)$ | $9.8 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 12.8 \%)$ |
| Prince George <br> County | 51149 | $22.2 \%$ | $(7.4 \%, 50.6 \%)$ | $12.1 \%$ | $(8.7 \%, 16.6 \%)$ |
| Surry County | 51181 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 48.8 \%)$ | $10.1 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 12.9 \%)$ |
| Sussex County | 51183 | $2.2 \%$ | $(1.1 \%, 26.3 \%)$ | $9.0 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 10.9 \%)$ |
| Colonial <br> Heights City | 51570 | $21.2 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 50.1 \%)$ | $13.1 \%$ | $(10.5 \%, 16.2 \%)$ |
| Emporia City | 51595 | $43.8 \%$ | $(4.5 \%, 13.5 \%)$ | $14.2 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| Hopewell City | 51670 | 51730 | Petersburg |  |  |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $13.4 \%$ | $(7.6 \%, 22.4 \%)$ | $10.4 \%$ | $(9.1 \%, 11.7 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack <br> County | 51001 | $13.0 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 24.9 \%)$ | $8.4 \%$ | $(7.2 \%, 9.9 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $27.1 \%$ | $(9.6 \%, 56.6 \%)$ | $9.9 \%$ | $(8.3 \%, 11.8 \%)$ |
| Gloucester <br> County | 51073 | $11.7 \%$ | $(5.1 \%, 24.9 \%)$ | $8.3 \%$ | $(7.1 \%, 9.6 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight <br> County | 51093 | $10.4 \%$ | $(2.4 \%, 35.2 \%)$ | $8.6 \%$ | $(7.1 \%, 10.3 \%)$ |
| James City <br> County | 51095 | $8.9 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 17.8 \%)$ | $5.9 \%$ | $(4.8 \%, 7.3 \%)$ |
| King and Queen <br> County | 51097 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 47.4 \%)$ | $4.7 \%$ | $(3.8 \%, 5.7 \%)$ |
| King William <br> County | 51101 | $9.3 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 43.6 \%)$ | $7.7 \%$ | $(6.2 \%, 9.5 \%)$ |
| Lancaster <br> County | 51103 | $4.7 \%$ | $(2.3 \%, 25.1 \%)$ | $8.1 \%$ | $(5.9 \%, 11.2 \%)$ |
| Mathews <br> County | 51115 | $11.1 \%$ | $(4.8 \%, 30.4 \%)$ | $6.3 \%$ | $(4.0 \%, 9.6 \%)$ |
| Middlesex <br> County | 51119 | $9.7 \%$ | $(2.4 \%, 31.9 \%)$ | $9.3 \%$ | $(6.8 \%, 12.6 \%)$ |
| Northampton <br> County | 51131 | $1.4 \%$ | $(0.8 \%, 20.0 \%)$ | $7.5 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 9.9 \%)$ |
| Northumberland <br> County | 51133 | $10.1 \%$ | $(2.5 \%, 33.4 \%)$ | $9.8 \%$ | $(7.0 \%, 13.4 \%)$ |
| Richmond <br> County | 51159 | $27.2 \%$ | $(12.7 \%, 48.9 \%)$ | $13.3 \%$ | $(10.2 \%, 17.3 \%)$ |
| Southampton <br> County | 51175 | $14.0 \%$ | $(5.3 \%, 32.0 \%)$ | $11.0 \%$ | $(8.6 \%, 13.9 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland <br> County | 51193 | $8.4 \%$ | $(2.4 \%, 25.2 \%)$ | $8.6 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 11.0 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $5.6 \%$ | $(2.0 \%, 14.9 \%)$ | $6.5 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 7.5 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $11.6 \%$ | $(7.0 \%, 18.8 \%)$ | $9.6 \%$ | $(8.1 \%, 11.4 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 41.9 \%)$ | $7.8 \%$ | $(6.1 \%, 10.1 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $7.1 \%$ | $(3.4 \%, 14.2 \%)$ | $8.2 \%$ | $(6.9 \%, 9.8 \%)$ |
| Newport News <br> City | 51700 | $12.7 \%$ | $(7.3 \%, 21.3 \%)$ | $10.2 \%$ | $(8.9 \%, 11.6 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $21.0 \%$ | $(14.1 \%, 30.0 \%)$ | $15.2 \%$ | $(13.6 \%, 17.0 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $11.7 \%$ | $(1.7 \%, 50.9 \%)$ | $7.7 \%$ | $(4.2 \%, 13.6 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $13.5 \%$ | $(6.9 \%, 24.6 \%)$ | $10.7 \%$ | $(9.3 \%, 12.4 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $8.0 \%$ | $(3.5 \%, 17.6 \%)$ | $8.9 \%$ | $(7.1 \%, 11.0 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach <br> City | 51810 | $11.9 \%$ | $(7.9 \%, 17.4 \%)$ | $9.3 \%$ | $(8.3 \%, 10.4 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg <br> City | 51830 | $9.5 \%$ | $(1.4 \%, 43.8 \%)$ | $8.8 \%$ | $(7.2 \%, 10.9 \%)$ |

# Doctor Inaccessible Due to Cost Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs 



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Doctor Inaccessible Due to Cost Alleghany, Roanoke and Central Virginia HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


## Doctor Inaccessible Due to Cost Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs



## Doctor Inaccessible Due to Cost New River and Mount Rogers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2 -sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Doctor Inaccessible Due to Cost Crater, Peninsula and Hampton HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Doctor Inaccessible Due to Cost Eastern Shore and Three Rivers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Doctor Inaccessible Due to Cost Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2 -sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 42 areas.
Direct estimate is outside the $95 \%$ SAE Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 91$ areas.
SAE estimate is inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 128$ areas.
SAE estimate is outside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 5 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \%$ CI is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 115 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 18 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.
SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 119 areas.
SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 2 areas.
Direct standard error is zero: 12 areas.
Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =0.05 \% ; \operatorname{med}=3.99 \% ; \max =15.32 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: $\min =0.46 \%$; med = 57.19\%; $\max =98.69 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.08 \% ; \operatorname{med}=17.54 \% ; \max =99.14 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to area random effects: $\min =0.28 \% ;$ med $=11.48 \% ; \max =36.77 \%$.

