Outcome 28: Current Tobacco Users (includes smoking and other forms of tobacco u
Internal variable name: outc28_tobacco_user
Definition: Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all? + Do you currently use chewing tobacco, snuff, or snus every day, some days, or not at all?

## Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 28: Current Tobacco Users (includes smoking and other forms of tobacco u |  |  |  |
| NH White | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| NH Black vs. NH White | -0.1097 | -0.1262 | -0.1262 |
|  | (0.0959) | (0.1150) | (0.1037) |
| NH Asian vs. NH White | -0.7332* | -0.6801* | -0.6801* |
|  | (0.2887) | (0.3224) | (0.2784) |
| NH Other vs. NH White | 0.0930 | 0.1318 | 0.1318 |
|  | (0.1711) | (0.1683) | (0.1719) |
| Hispanic vs. NH White | -0.4884** | -0.4108* | -0.4108* |
|  | (0.1710) | (0.1891) | (0.1747) |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT=1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Female | -0.7070*** | -0.7141*** | -0.7141*** |
|  | (0.1035) | (0.0803) | (0.1044) |
| Age 18-34 vs. 65+ | 0.5158*** | 0.5801*** | 0.5801*** |
|  | (0.1326) | (0.1339) | (0.1370) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | 0.6887*** | 0.7291*** | 0.7291*** |
|  | (0.1830) | (0.1401) | (0.1857) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | 0.6371*** | 0.6680*** | 0.6680*** |
|  | (0.1660) | (0.1363) | (0.1647) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | 0.6821*** | 0.7010*** | 0.7010*** |
|  | (0.1152) | (0.1254) | (0.1156) |
| 65+ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| \% education Bachelor+, population $25+y / 0$ |  | -4.2552*** | -4.2552*** |
|  |  | (1.0537) | (0.8046) |
| VA BRFSS 2017 SAE outc28_tobacco_user |  | 2.3059* | 2.3059** |


|  |  | (0.9836) | (0.7434) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% veterans |  | -5.7692 | -5.7692* |
|  |  | (3.0745) | (2.3856) |
| Log(Cervix Female Rate + 1) |  | -0.3112 | -0.3112** |
|  |  | (0.1645) | (0.1107) |
| \% veterans \# Log(Cervix <br> Female Rate + 1) |  | 3.7759* | 3.7759** |
|  |  | (1.6259) | (1.1295) |
| Compound \% lacking kitchen or complete plumbing |  | 25.1911 | 25.1911 |
|  |  | (16.1288) | (15.5979) |
| Percentage calculated by dividing <br> Pop_18_24_ACS_15_19 <br> by <br> Tot_Population_ACS_15_1 |  | 3.9951 | 3.9951* |
|  |  | (2.1898) | (1.6708) |
| Compound \% lacking kitchen or complete plumbing \# Percentage calculated by dividing Pop_18_24_ACS_15_19 by <br> Tot_Population_ACS_15_1 |  | -5.6e+02** | $-5.6 \mathrm{e}+02^{* *}$ |
|  |  | (199.2464) | (168.7874) |
| _cons | -1.5820*** | -0.9096 | -0.9096 |
|  | (0.0994) | (0.5458) | (0.4639) |
| Area effect variance |  |  |  |
| var(_cons[FIPS_county]) | 0.2196*** |  | 0.0000 |
|  | (0.0473) |  | (0.0000) |

Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=6$.

## Results by health districts

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta <br> County | 51015 | $24.8 \%$ | $(16.3 \%, 35.8 \%)$ | $22.3 \%$ | $(20.6 \%, 24.1 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $27.0 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 63.0 \%)$ | $18.8 \%$ | $(15.2 \%, 23.0 \%)$ |
| Caroline <br> County | 51033 | $39.9 \%$ | $(19.5 \%, 64.5 \%)$ | $20.1 \%$ | $(17.7 \%, 22.7 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $14.5 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 46.0 \%)$ | $18.2 \%$ | $(16.2 \%, 20.4 \%)$ |
| Culpeper <br> County | 51047 | $24.4 \%$ | $(11.2 \%, 45.3 \%)$ | $19.0 \%$ | $(16.5 \%, 21.8 \%)$ |
| Fauquier <br> County | 51061 | $17.2 \%$ | $(8.8 \%, 31.0 \%)$ | $15.3 \%$ | $(14.0 \%, 16.7 \%)$ |
| Frederick <br> County | 51069 | $25.3 \%$ | $(15.0 \%, 39.3 \%)$ | $19.0 \%$ | $(17.1 \%, 21.1 \%)$ |
| Highland <br> County | 51091 | $0.0 \%$ | $(-0.0 \%, 49.0 \%)$ | $29.4 \%$ | $(11.4 \%, 57.3 \%)$ |
| King George <br> County | 51099 | $9.7 \%$ | $(2.3 \%, 33.4 \%)$ | $9.3 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 13.5 \%)$ |
| Madison <br> County | 51113 | $20.0 \%$ | $(7.1 \%, 45.1 \%)$ | $17.2 \%$ | $(12.7 \%, 22.7 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $32.9 \%$ | $(16.3 \%, 55.2 \%)$ | $23.7 \%$ | $(20.3 \%, 27.4 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $32.8 \%$ | $(15.4 \%, 56.8 \%)$ | $24.9 \%$ | $(19.5 \%, 31.2 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock <br> County | 51157 | $13.9 \%$ | $(1.9 \%, 57.0 \%)$ | $11.5 \%$ | $(8.7 \%, 15.2 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge <br> County | 51163 | $16.4 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 34.7 \%)$ | $19.2 \%$ | $(16.9 \%, 21.8 \%)$ |
| Rockingham <br> County | 51165 | $5.1 \%$ | $(2.1 \%, 11.8 \%)$ | $13.3 \%$ | $(9.8 \%, 17.7 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah <br> County | 51171 | $23.4 \%$ | $(11.4 \%, 42.2 \%)$ | $19.5 \%$ | $(15.5 \%, 24.2 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania <br> County | 51177 | $20.3 \%$ | $(12.2 \%, 31.7 \%)$ | $17.6 \%$ | $(16.1 \%, 19.2 \%)$ |
| Stafford <br> County | 51179 | $22.1 \%$ | $(13.9 \%, 33.3 \%)$ | $13.9 \%$ | $(11.6 \%, 16.7 \%)$ |
| Warren <br> County | 51187 | $28.0 \%$ | $(14.7 \%, 46.9 \%)$ | $21.8 \%$ | $(19.7 \%, 24.1 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista <br> City | 51530 | $26.7 \%$ | $(2.9 \%, 81.5 \%)$ | $30.0 \%$ | $(25.5 \%, 34.9 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg <br> City | 51630 | $3.9 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 20.1 \%)$ | $6.6 \%$ | $(4.1 \%, 10.2 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg <br> City | 51660 | $6.6 \%$ | $(1.1 \%, 30.2 \%)$ | $8.0 \%$ | $(5.1 \%, 12.3 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 99.4 \%)$ | $0.1 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 75.6 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $31.9 \%$ | $(8.2 \%, 71.0 \%)$ | $16.6 \%$ | $(14.2 \%, 19.3 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro | 51820 | $13.5 \%$ | $(18.3 \%, 24.6 \%)$ |  |  |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winchester <br> City | 51840 | $17.2 \%$ | $(2.4 \%, 63.3 \%)$ | $11.3 \%$ | $(9.0 \%, 14.2 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle <br> County | 51003 | $11.6 \%$ | $(5.5 \%, 22.9 \%)$ | $10.7 \%$ | $(9.6 \%, 11.8 \%)$ |
| Alleghany <br> County | 51005 | $42.7 \%$ | $(24.6 \%, 62.9 \%)$ | $27.8 \%$ | $(23.7 \%, 32.3 \%)$ |
| Amherst <br> County | 51009 | $22.9 \%$ | $(11.2 \%, 41.2 \%)$ | $23.7 \%$ | $(21.5 \%, 26.0 \%)$ |
| Appomattox <br> County | 51011 | $25.0 \%$ | $(12.5 \%, 43.8 \%)$ | $27.5 \%$ | $(23.8 \%, 31.5 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $10.7 \%$ | $(6.0 \%, 18.3 \%)$ | $9.0 \%$ | $(7.7 \%, 10.5 \%)$ |
| Bedford <br> County | 51019 | $30.8 \%$ | $(20.7 \%, 43.2 \%)$ | $18.2 \%$ | $(15.9 \%, 20.7 \%)$ |
| Botetourt <br> County | 51023 | $25.5 \%$ | $(11.3 \%, 48.0 \%)$ | $18.6 \%$ | $(16.8 \%, 20.6 \%)$ |
| Campbell <br> County | 51031 | $27.2 \%$ | $(17.3 \%, 40.0 \%)$ | $23.7 \%$ | $(21.6 \%, 26.0 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $10.5 \%$ | $(2.7 \%, 63.4 \%)$ | $23.7 \%$ | $(21.0 \%, 26.6 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $9.3 \%$ | $(6.8 \%, 12.5 \%)$ | $9.0 \%$ | $(8.1 \%, 9.8 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna <br> County | 51065 | $18.4 \%$ | $(4.6 \%, 51.4 \%)$ | $15.2 \%$ | $(13.2 \%, 17.6 \%)$ |
| Greene <br> County | 51079 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 42.7 \%)$ | $19.0 \%$ | $(15.6 \%, 22.9 \%)$ |
| Loudoun <br> County | 51107 | $9.0 \%$ | $(5.5 \%, 14.6 \%)$ | $7.9 \%$ | $(6.9 \%, 9.0 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $36.7 \%$ | $(17.9 \%, 60.6 \%)$ | $20.6 \%$ | $(18.3 \%, 23.0 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 46.0 \%)$ | $20.3 \%$ | $(17.6 \%, 23.2 \%)$ |
| Prince William <br> County | 51153 | $11.8 \%$ | $(8.3 \%, 16.6 \%)$ | $13.6 \%$ | $(12.5 \%, 14.7 \%)$ |
| Roanoke <br> County | 51161 | $16.8 \%$ | $(10.5 \%, 25.9 \%)$ | $15.9 \%$ | $(14.1 \%, 18.0 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $10.3 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 18.1 \%)$ | $10.7 \%$ | $(9.5 \%, 12.0 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville <br> City | 51540 | $19.5 \%$ | $(7.4 \%, 42.5 \%)$ | $11.0 \%$ | $(9.0 \%, 13.4 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 81.2 \%)$ | $22.0 \%$ | $(16.5 \%, 28.8 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $9.8 \%$ | $(3.3 \%, 25.4 \%)$ | $9.9 \%$ | $(8.5 \%, 11.5 \%)$ |
| Falls Church <br> City | 51610 | $4.1 \%$ | $(1.6 \%, 37.3 \%)$ | $7.0 \%$ | $(5.9 \%, 8.2 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $13.4 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 26.0 \%)$ | $16.5 \%$ | $(13.4 \%, 20.2 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $2.4 \%$ | $(1.2 \%, 25.1 \%)$ | $13.3 \%$ | $(10.2 \%, 17.2 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park <br> City | 51685 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 56.6 \%)$ | $9.4 \%$ | $(6.4 \%, 13.7 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $23.6 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 61.2 \%)$ | $19.4 \%$ | $(17.6 \%, 21.5 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bland County | 51021 | $13.9 \%$ | $(4.3 \%, 65.8 \%)$ | $26.2 \%$ | $(21.6 \%, 31.3 \%)$ |
| Buchanan <br> County | 51027 | $49.8 \%$ | $(28.2 \%, 71.4 \%)$ | $44.3 \%$ | $(38.0 \%, 50.9 \%)$ |
| Carroll County | 51035 | $36.0 \%$ | $(17.2 \%, 60.3 \%)$ | $28.1 \%$ | $(25.2 \%, 31.2 \%)$ |
| Dickenson <br> County | 51051 | $51.9 \%$ | $(28.8 \%, 74.3 \%)$ | $37.1 \%$ | $(32.2 \%, 42.2 \%)$ |
| Floyd County | 51063 | $37.4 \%$ | $(16.5 \%, 64.2 \%)$ | $31.0 \%$ | $(27.0 \%, 35.3 \%)$ |
| Franklin <br> County | 51067 | $30.3 \%$ | $(16.9 \%, 48.1 \%)$ | $24.7 \%$ | $(22.4 \%, 27.1 \%)$ |
| Giles County | 51071 | $42.8 \%$ | $(11.1 \%, 81.8 \%)$ | $24.4 \%$ | $(20.2 \%, 29.1 \%)$ |
| Grayson <br> County | 51077 | $31.5 \%$ | $(12.6 \%, 59.6 \%)$ | $29.9 \%$ | $(26.7 \%, 33.3 \%)$ |
| Henry County | 51089 | $26.8 \%$ | $(16.2 \%, 41.0 \%)$ | $27.6 \%$ | $(25.1 \%, 30.2 \%)$ |
| Lee County | 51105 | $25.0 \%$ | $(11.0 \%, 47.3 \%)$ | $35.0 \%$ | $(30.8 \%, 39.4 \%)$ |
| Montgomery <br> County | 51121 | $7.7 \%$ | $(4.1 \%, 14.1 \%)$ | $9.1 \%$ | $(6.4 \%, 12.7 \%)$ |
| Patrick County | 51141 | $21.8 \%$ | $(9.3 \%, 43.0 \%)$ | $27.6 \%$ | $(23.9 \%, 31.6 \%)$ |
| Pittsylvania <br> County | 51143 | $28.0 \%$ | $(17.8 \%, 41.3 \%)$ | $29.7 \%$ | $(26.2 \%, 33.5 \%)$ |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | $45.8 \%$ | $(28.1 \%, 64.6 \%)$ | $27.2 \%$ | $(24.2 \%, 30.5 \%)$ |
| Russell County | 51167 | $39.5 \%$ | $(19.4 \%, 63.9 \%)$ | $33.7 \%$ | $(29.0 \%, 38.7 \%)$ |
| Scott County | 51169 | $36.9 \%$ | $(24.3 \%, 51.6 \%)$ | $30.5 \%$ | $(23.6 \%, 38.3 \%)$ |
| Smyth County | 51173 | $27.7 \%$ | $(15.1 \%, 45.2 \%)$ | $27.5 \%$ | $(25.3 \%, 29.9 \%)$ |
| Tazewell <br> County | 51185 | $28.2 \%$ | $(17.5 \%, 41.9 \%)$ | $29.6 \%$ | $(26.0 \%, 33.6 \%)$ |
| Washington <br> County | 51191 | $26.6 \%$ | $(16.2 \%, 40.3 \%)$ | $24.7 \%$ | $(22.6 \%, 27.0 \%)$ |
| Wise County | 51195 | $32.7 \%$ | $(21.3 \%, 46.6 \%)$ | $29.7 \%$ | $(25.3 \%, 34.6 \%)$ |
| Wythe County | 51197 | $24.5 \%$ | $(11.9 \%, 43.9 \%)$ | $26.1 \%$ | $(23.1 \%, 29.3 \%)$ |
| Bristol City | 51520 | $37.7 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 81.2 \%)$ | $18.9 \%$ | $(14.0 \%, 25.0 \%)$ |
| Danville City | 51590 | $35.3 \%$ | $(16.2 \%, 60.8 \%)$ | $24.9 \%$ | $(22.6 \%, 27.5 \%)$ |
| Galax City | 51640 | $0.0 \%$ | $(-0.0 \%, 92.2 \%)$ | $24.4 \%$ | $(20.3 \%, 29.1 \%)$ |
| Martinsville <br> City | 51690 | $10.7 \%$ | $(1.5 \%, 48.5 \%)$ | $24.5 \%$ | $(20.7 \%, 28.8 \%)$ |
| Norton City | 51720 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 94.0 \%)$ | $23.0 \%$ | $(19.1 \%, 27.4 \%)$ |
| Radford City | 51750 | $10.6 \%$ | $(2.7 \%, 34.0 \%)$ | $7.2 \%$ | $(3.2 \%, 15.4 \%)$ |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | $26.8 \%$ | $(15.7 \%, 41.7 \%)$ | $20.8 \%$ | $(18.1 \%, 23.7 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amelia County | 51007 | 19.2\% | (3.9\%, 58.2\%) | 24.6\% | (20.8\%, 28.8\%) |
| Brunswick County | 51025 | 16.1\% | (6.0\%, 36.6\%) | 24.0\% | (20.6\%, 27.7\%) |
| Buckingham County | 51029 | 9.3\% | (2.6\%, 28.4\%) | 27.8\% | (24.2\%, 31.8\%) |
| Charles City County | 51036 | 0.0\% | (0.0\%, 78.6\%) | 25.5\% | (22.4\%, 28.9\%) |
| Charlotte County | 51037 | 35.2\% | (13.2\%, 66.1\%) | 19.4\% | (13.1\%, 27.7\%) |
| Chesterfield County | 51041 | 12.3\% | (8.2\%, 18.2\%) | 13.0\% | (11.9\%, 14.2\%) |
| Cumberland County | 51049 | 3.9\% | (2.1\%, 35.4\%) | 23.6\% | (19.7\%, 28.0\%) |
| Dinwiddie County | 51053 | 21.6\% | (10.5\%, 39.3\%) | 18.6\% | (15.2\%, 22.5\%) |
| Goochland County | 51075 | 9.3\% | (2.6\%, 28.5\%) | 10.3\% | (8.5\%, 12.5\%) |
| Greensville County | 51081 | 22.4\% | (6.6\%, 54.2\%) | 35.6\% | (30.4\%, 41.0\%) |
| Halifax County | 51083 | 17.9\% | (7.9\%, 35.7\%) | 26.6\% | (23.5\%, 29.9\%) |
| Hanover County | 51085 | 5.8\% | (2.5\%, 13.1\%) | 12.2\% | (11.1\%, 13.4\%) |
| Henrico County | 51087 | 14.6\% | (9.7\%, 21.6\%) | 11.1\% | (9.8\%, 12.6\%) |
| Lunenburg County | 51111 | 5.5\% | (2.6\%, 35.3\%) | 24.8\% | (21.5\%, 28.5\%) |
| Mecklenburg County | 51117 | 33.4\% | (20.8\%, 48.9\%) | 21.2\% | (17.8\%, 25.1\%) |
| New Kent County | 51127 | 18.7\% | (7.7\%, 38.8\%) | 17.9\% | (14.2\%, 22.3\%) |
| Nottoway County | 51135 | 38.5\% | (18.3\%, 63.7\%) | 29.8\% | (25.2\%, 34.8\%) |
| Powhatan County | 51145 | 29.0\% | (9.3\%, 62.0\%) | 15.7\% | (13.5\%, 18.0\%) |
| Prince Edward County | 51147 | 1.2\% | (0.8\%, 19.5\%) | 9.4\% | (5.7\%, 15.2\%) |
| Prince George County | 51149 | 13.1\% | (4.9\%, 30.6\%) | 27.5\% | (22.8\%, 32.7\%) |
| Surry County | 51181 | 46.4\% | (12.5\%, 84.0\%) | 29.4\% | (23.0\%, 36.9\%) |
| Sussex County | 51183 | 24.0\% | (8.4\%, 52.2\%) | 22.8\% | (18.9\%, 27.1\%) |
| Colonial Heights City | 51570 | 26.4\% | (10.8\%, 51.5\%) | 16.7\% | (13.7\%, 20.1\%) |
| Emporia City | 51595 | 12.1\% | (1.0\%, 89.6\%) | 26.1\% | (20.1\%, 33.1\%) |
| Hopewell City | 51670 | 27.7\% | (10.8\%, 54.7\%) | 34.4\% | (29.4\%, 39.8\%) |
| Petersburg | 51730 | 20.0\% | (7.5\%, 43.5\%) | 24.7\% | (22.1\%, 27.6\%) |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $15.0 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 26.8 \%)$ | $11.3 \%$ | $(9.4 \%, 13.6 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack <br> County | 51001 | $26.1 \%$ | $(15.1 \%, 41.2 \%)$ | $22.4 \%$ | $(18.7 \%, 26.6 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $22.4 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 49.8 \%)$ | $20.8 \%$ | $(16.9 \%, 25.3 \%)$ |
| Gloucester <br> County | 51073 | $15.0 \%$ | $(7.3 \%, 28.0 \%)$ | $12.6 \%$ | $(9.3 \%, 17.0 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight <br> County | 51093 | $26.5 \%$ | $(10.9 \%, 51.6 \%)$ | $21.2 \%$ | $(19.2 \%, 23.3 \%)$ |
| James City <br> County | 51095 | $11.0 \%$ | $(5.5 \%, 20.8 \%)$ | $11.7 \%$ | $(10.3 \%, 13.2 \%)$ |
| King and Queen <br> County | 51097 | $35.1 \%$ | $(6.8 \%, 79.9 \%)$ | $19.8 \%$ | $(17.4 \%, 22.4 \%)$ |
| King William <br> County | 51101 | $28.6 \%$ | $(8.2 \%, 64.3 \%)$ | $20.4 \%$ | $(18.2 \%, 22.8 \%)$ |
| Lancaster <br> County | 51103 | $14.9 \%$ | $(4.9 \%, 36.9 \%)$ | $13.9 \%$ | $(10.9 \%, 17.6 \%)$ |
| Mathews <br> County | 51115 | $7.8 \%$ | $(1.9 \%, 26.6 \%)$ | $11.7 \%$ | $(8.7 \%, 15.7 \%)$ |
| Middlesex <br> County | 51119 | $43.7 \%$ | $(18.0 \%, 73.3 \%)$ | $15.1 \%$ | $(9.8 \%, 22.6 \%)$ |
| Northampton <br> County | 51131 | $5.5 \%$ | $(2.2 \%, 26.5 \%)$ | $18.7 \%$ | $(15.6 \%, 22.4 \%)$ |
| Northumberland <br> County | 51133 | $4.3 \%$ | $(2.1 \%, 24.1 \%)$ | $13.9 \%$ | $(10.1 \%, 18.9 \%)$ |
| Richmond <br> County | 51159 | $23.0 \%$ | $(12.1 \%, 39.2 \%)$ | $21.4 \%$ | $(18.0 \%, 25.2 \%)$ |
| Southampton <br> County | 51175 | $9.1 \%$ | $(4.0 \%, 31.3 \%)$ | $18.9 \%$ | $(15.4 \%, 22.9 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland <br> County | 51193 | $32.3 \%$ | $(15.8 \%, 54.7 \%)$ | $28.1 \%$ | $(24.3 \%, 32.2 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $20.1 \%$ | $(10.8 \%, 34.5 \%)$ | $16.7 \%$ | $(13.3 \%, 20.7 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $18.1 \%$ | $(12.1 \%, 26.0 \%)$ | $17.7 \%$ | $(16.1 \%, 19.3 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $21.3 \%$ | $(2.8 \%, 71.7 \%)$ | $26.5 \%$ | $(22.2 \%, 31.3 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $21.9 \%$ | $(15.2 \%, 30.4 \%)$ | $23.4 \%$ | $(20.2 \%, 27.0 \%)$ |
| Newport News <br> City | 51700 | $20.0 \%$ | $(13.3 \%, 29.1 \%)$ | $17.9 \%$ | $(15.8 \%, 20.3 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $25.0 \%$ | $(18.2 \%, 33.3 \%)$ | $21.6 \%$ | $(18.3 \%, 25.3 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $17.4 \%$ | $(2.4 \%, 64.7 \%)$ | $10.6 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 14.3 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $25.6 \%$ | $(15.8 \%, 38.7 \%)$ | $24.9 \%$ | $(22.4 \%, 27.6 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $11.4 \%$ | $(5.7 \%, 21.4 \%)$ | $17.7 \%$ | $(15.7 \%, 19.9 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach <br> City | 51810 | $14.5 \%$ | $(10.5 \%, 19.6 \%)$ | $13.6 \%$ | $(11.6 \%, 15.8 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg <br> City | 51830 | $18.8 \%$ | $(2.6 \%, 66.9 \%)$ | $19.3 \%$ | $(10.5 \%, 32.7 \%)$ |

# Current tobacco use Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs 



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Current tobacco use Alleghany, Roanoke and Central Virginia HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Current tobacco use <br> Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs



## Current tobacco use New River and Mount Rogers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2 -sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Current tobacco use Crater, Peninsula and Hampton HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Current tobacco use Eastern Shore and Three Rivers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2 -sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Current tobacco use Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Current tobacco use <br> Alexandria, Fairfax, Arlington, Loudon, Prince William HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the $95 \%$ SAE Wilson CI: 45 areas.
Direct estimate is outside the $95 \%$ SAE Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 88$ areas.
SAE estimate is inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 129 areas.
SAE estimate is outside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 4 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \%$ CI is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 119 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 14 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.
SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 123 areas.
SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 1 areas.
Direct standard error is zero: 9 areas.
Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =0.00 \%$; $\operatorname{med}=4.89 \% ; \max =20.92 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: $\mathrm{min}=0.34 \%$; med = 87.94\%; $\max =99.19 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.33 \% ; \operatorname{med}=5.54 \% ; \max =99.66 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to area random effects: <identically zero>

