## Outcome 36: Influenza Vaccination in the Past Year - All Ages

Internal variable name: outc36_flu_vacc
Definition: During the past 12 months, have you had either a flu shot or a flu vaccine that was sprayed in your nose?

## Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 36: Influenza <br> Vaccination in the Past Year <br> - All Ages |  |  |  |
| NH White | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| NH Black vs. NH White | -0.5446*** | -0.5236*** | -0.5236*** |
|  | (0.0941) | (0.0876) | (0.0966) |
| NH Asian vs. NH White | -0.0586 | -0.1182 | -0.1182 |
|  | (0.1786) | (0.1790) | (0.1797) |
| NH Other vs. NH White | -0.4479*** | -0.4712** | $-0.4712^{* * *}$ |
|  | (0.1263) | (0.1459) | (0.1274) |
| Hispanic vs. NH White | -0.4340** | $-0.4768^{* * *}$ | -0.4768** |
|  | (0.1608) | (0.1284) | (0.1578) |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT=1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Female | 0.2695*** | 0.2781*** | 0.2781*** |
|  | (0.0722) | (0.0632) | (0.0717) |
| Age 18-34 vs. 65+ | -1.1418*** | -1.1685*** | -1.1685*** |
|  | (0.0855) | (0.0893) | (0.0859) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | -1.0431*** | -1.0532*** | -1.0532*** |
|  | (0.1116) | (0.0983) | (0.1103) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | -0.7925*** | -0.8122*** | -0.8122*** |
|  | (0.1067) | (0.0944) | (0.1079) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | $-0.7054^{* * *}$ | -0.7092*** | $-0.7092^{* * *}$ |
|  | (0.0700) | (0.0858) | (0.0691) |
| 65+ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Percentage calculated by dividing <br> MLT_U10p_ACS_15_19 by <br> Tot_Housing_Units_ACS_15 |  | 1.4546*** | 1.4546*** |
|  |  | (0.3866) | (0.2645) |
| VA BRFSS 2014 SAE |  | -0.2309 | -0.2309 |


| outc36_oweight_obese |  |  | $(0.6191)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $-1.2178^{* * *}$ | $(0.5222)$ |
| Clinical care z-score, County <br> Rankings |  | $(0.3680)$ | $\left(0.2178^{* * *}\right.$ |
|  | $0.7265^{* * *}$ | 0.7272 | $0.7272^{*}$ |
| _cons | $(0.0710)$ | $(0.4343)$ | $(0.3636)$ |
|  |  |  | 0.0000 |
| Area effect variance | $0.0721^{* *}$ |  | $(0.0000)$ |
| var(_cons[FIPS_county]) | $(0.0273)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=6$.

## Results by health districts

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta <br> County | 51015 | $45.3 \%$ | $(34.7 \%, 56.4 \%)$ | $56.4 \%$ | $(54.0 \%, 58.8 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $72.1 \%$ | $(35.9 \%, 92.2 \%)$ | $49.3 \%$ | $(45.0 \%, 53.6 \%)$ |
| Caroline <br> County | 51033 | $42.7 \%$ | $(22.2 \%, 66.1 \%)$ | $45.7 \%$ | $(41.7 \%, 49.7 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $41.1 \%$ | $(18.1 \%, 68.7 \%)$ | $51.1 \%$ | $(47.2 \%, 55.0 \%)$ |
| Culpeper <br> County | 51047 | $39.1 \%$ | $(23.7 \%, 57.1 \%)$ | $52.1 \%$ | $(49.6 \%, 54.7 \%)$ |
| Fauquier <br> County | 51061 | $48.2 \%$ | $(34.2 \%, 62.4 \%)$ | $54.0 \%$ | $(51.7 \%, 56.2 \%)$ |
| Frederick <br> County | 51069 | $45.2 \%$ | $(31.8 \%, 59.4 \%)$ | $53.1 \%$ | $(50.6 \%, 55.6 \%)$ |
| Highland <br> County | 51091 | $33.8 \%$ | $(10.0 \%, 70.1 \%)$ | $50.0 \%$ | $(44.6 \%, 55.5 \%)$ |
| King George <br> County | 51099 | $36.5 \%$ | $(19.5 \%, 57.6 \%)$ | $47.8 \%$ | $(45.2 \%, 50.5 \%)$ |
| Madison <br> County | 51113 | $40.1 \%$ | $(19.2 \%, 65.4 \%)$ | $52.7 \%$ | $(49.8 \%, 55.7 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $42.1 \%$ | $(25.1 \%, 61.3 \%)$ | $53.4 \%$ | $(51.1 \%, 55.7 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $42.8 \%$ | $(21.8 \%, 66.8 \%)$ | $48.1 \%$ | $(43.3 \%, 53.1 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock <br> County | 51157 | $50.4 \%$ | $(17.8 \%, 82.7 \%)$ | $48.2 \%$ | $(44.9 \%, 51.5 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge <br> County | 51163 | $64.4 \%$ | $(43.7 \%, 80.8 \%)$ | $56.7 \%$ | $(54.3 \%, 59.1 \%)$ |
| Rockingham <br> County | 51165 | $38.7 \%$ | $(25.8 \%, 53.3 \%)$ | $45.2 \%$ | $(41.6 \%, 49.0 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah <br> County | 51171 | $41.1 \%$ | $(26.8 \%, 57.2 \%)$ | $52.4 \%$ | $(49.2 \%, 55.6 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania <br> County | 51177 | $49.8 \%$ | $(38.6 \%, 61.1 \%)$ | $49.7 \%$ | $(47.2 \%, 52.2 \%)$ |
| Stafford <br> County | 51179 | $42.0 \%$ | $(32.0 \%, 52.7 \%)$ | $48.2 \%$ | $(45.9 \%, 50.5 \%)$ |
| Warren <br> County | 51187 | $34.3 \%$ | $(19.3 \%, 53.3 \%)$ | $53.3 \%$ | $(50.5 \%, 56.0 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista <br> City | 51530 | $60.1 \%$ | $(14.8 \%, 92.9 \%)$ | $57.2 \%$ | $(54.8 \%, 59.5 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg <br> City | 51630 | $49.6 \%$ | $(28.8 \%, 70.5 \%)$ | $58.6 \%$ | $(55.8 \%, 61.3 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg <br> City | 51660 | $37.8 \%$ | $(15.9 \%, 66.0 \%)$ | $57.1 \%$ | $(52.3 \%, 61.8 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | $100.0 \%$ | $(0.6 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $63.0 \%$ | $(57.8 \%, 68.0 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $60.9 \%$ | $(22.1 \%, 89.5 \%)$ | $62.2 \%$ | $(59.7 \%, 64.5 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro | 51820 | $79.0 \%$ | $(16.9 \%, 97.0 \%)$ | $61.9 \%$ | $(58.1 \%, 65.5 \%)$ |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winchester <br> City | 51840 | $35.7 \%$ | $(12.0 \%, 69.3 \%)$ | $58.8 \%$ | $(56.3 \%, 61.2 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle <br> County | 51003 | $62.9 \%$ | $(50.9 \%, 73.4 \%)$ | $61.9 \%$ | $(59.2 \%, 64.5 \%)$ |
| Alleghany <br> County | 51005 | $45.4 \%$ | $(26.7 \%, 65.4 \%)$ | $53.4 \%$ | $(50.7 \%, 56.1 \%)$ |
| Amherst <br> County | 51009 | $52.4 \%$ | $(35.1 \%, 69.2 \%)$ | $50.3 \%$ | $(47.2 \%, 53.3 \%)$ |
| Appomattox <br> County | 51011 | $46.2 \%$ | $(29.7 \%, 63.5 \%)$ | $52.0 \%$ | $(49.2 \%, 54.7 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $62.9 \%$ | $(52.6 \%, 72.2 \%)$ | $70.1 \%$ | $(67.2 \%, 72.8 \%)$ |
| Bedford <br> County | 51019 | $41.4 \%$ | $(31.4 \%, 52.2 \%)$ | $55.2 \%$ | $(52.7 \%, 57.7 \%)$ |
| Botetourt <br> County | 51023 | $49.7 \%$ | $(29.7 \%, 69.8 \%)$ | $58.7 \%$ | $(55.8 \%, 61.5 \%)$ |
| Campbell <br> County | 51031 | $42.7 \%$ | $(31.1 \%, 55.0 \%)$ | $47.8 \%$ | $(45.2 \%, 50.4 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $19.1 \%$ | $(5.1 \%, 68.3 \%)$ | $54.5 \%$ | $(49.0 \%, 59.8 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $56.5 \%$ | $(51.8 \%, 61.1 \%)$ | $61.1 \%$ | $(59.1 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna <br> County | 51065 | $41.7 \%$ | $(21.1 \%, 65.5 \%)$ | $56.0 \%$ | $(52.0 \%, 59.8 \%)$ |
| Greene <br> County | 51079 | $87.8 \%$ | $(47.3 \%, 96.1 \%)$ | $49.4 \%$ | $(44.8 \%, 54.1 \%)$ |
| Loudoun <br> County | 51107 | $46.2 \%$ | $(38.7 \%, 53.9 \%)$ | $56.2 \%$ | $(53.3 \%, 59.1 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $36.5 \%$ | $(19.5 \%, 57.7 \%)$ | $52.0 \%$ | $(48.3 \%, 55.7 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $81.2 \%$ | $(45.8 \%, 95.6 \%)$ | $55.4 \%$ | $(52.7 \%, 58.0 \%)$ |
| Prince William <br> County | 51153 | $50.1 \%$ | $(43.1 \%, 57.1 \%)$ | $48.1 \%$ | $(45.5 \%, 50.8 \%)$ |
| Roanoke <br> County | 51161 | $63.4 \%$ | $(52.8 \%, 72.8 \%)$ | $63.7 \%$ | $(60.6 \%, 66.7 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $58.9 \%$ | $(47.8 \%, 69.1 \%)$ | $64.0 \%$ | $(59.8 \%, 68.1 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville <br> City | 51540 | $52.1 \%$ | $(32.2 \%, 71.3 \%)$ | $65.0 \%$ | $(61.4 \%, 68.3 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | $0.0 \%$ | $(-0.0 \%, 81.2 \%)$ | $54.3 \%$ | $(45.4 \%, 63.0 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $65.2 \%$ | $(48.4 \%, 79.0 \%)$ | $60.7 \%$ | $(57.9 \%, 63.5 \%)$ |
| Falls Church <br> City | 51610 | $42.1 \%$ | $(16.9 \%, 72.3 \%)$ | $75.8 \%$ | $(69.7 \%, 81.1 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $50.5 \%$ | $(37.1 \%, 63.7 \%)$ | $56.7 \%$ | $(54.3 \%, 59.0 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $36.2 \%$ | $(17.8 \%, 59.8 \%)$ | $47.1 \%$ | $(42.9 \%, 51.4 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park <br> City | 51685 | $20.6 \%$ | $(4.6 \%, 69.9 \%)$ | $48.1 \%$ | $(43.1 \%, 53.1 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $33.0 \%$ | $(10.1 \%, 68.3 \%)$ | $60.6 \%$ | $(57.7 \%, 63.4 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bland County | 51021 | $88.3 \%$ | $(35.3 \%, 96.4 \%)$ | $56.7 \%$ | $(52.9 \%, 60.3 \%)$ |
| Buchanan <br> County | 51027 | $36.3 \%$ | $(18.2 \%, 59.3 \%)$ | $43.5 \%$ | $(37.7 \%, 49.6 \%)$ |
| Carroll County | 51035 | $36.9 \%$ | $(17.4 \%, 61.8 \%)$ | $55.3 \%$ | $(52.8 \%, 57.8 \%)$ |
| Dickenson <br> County | 51051 | $39.4 \%$ | $(19.2 \%, 63.9 \%)$ | $51.7 \%$ | $(48.3 \%, 55.1 \%)$ |
| Floyd County | 51063 | $22.5 \%$ | $(9.7 \%, 44.0 \%)$ | $48.7 \%$ | $(44.8 \%, 52.6 \%)$ |
| Franklin <br> County | 51067 | $28.6 \%$ | $(17.6 \%, 42.8 \%)$ | $56.1 \%$ | $(53.7 \%, 58.4 \%)$ |
| Giles County | 51071 | $28.4 \%$ | $(10.0 \%, 58.6 \%)$ | $50.2 \%$ | $(47.4 \%, 53.0 \%)$ |
| Grayson <br> County | 51077 | $29.4 \%$ | $(13.2 \%, 53.2 \%)$ | $52.3 \%$ | $(48.2 \%, 56.4 \%)$ |
| Henry County | 51089 | $47.1 \%$ | $(33.7 \%, 60.9 \%)$ | $51.7 \%$ | $(49.3 \%, 54.2 \%)$ |
| Lee County | 51105 | $48.6 \%$ | $(27.5 \%, 70.3 \%)$ | $50.5 \%$ | $(46.7 \%, 54.3 \%)$ |
| Montgomery <br> County | 51121 | $54.3 \%$ | $(42.5 \%, 65.5 \%)$ | $58.8 \%$ | $(55.3 \%, 62.2 \%)$ |
| Patrick County | 51141 | $55.4 \%$ | $(33.1 \%, 75.6 \%)$ | $48.3 \%$ | $(45.1 \%, 51.5 \%)$ |
| Pittsylvania <br> County | 51143 | $49.9 \%$ | $(37.8 \%, 62.1 \%)$ | $51.2 \%$ | $(48.5 \%, 53.9 \%)$ |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | $50.3 \%$ | $(32.2 \%, 68.3 \%)$ | $51.2 \%$ | $(48.6 \%, 53.7 \%)$ |
| Russell County | 51167 | $54.7 \%$ | $(32.6 \%, 75.2 \%)$ | $48.9 \%$ | $(45.1 \%, 52.7 \%)$ |
| Scott County | 51169 | $46.6 \%$ | $(32.8 \%, 60.9 \%)$ | $54.5 \%$ | $(51.4 \%, 57.6 \%)$ |
| Smyth County | 51173 | $58.2 \%$ | $(40.3 \%, 74.1 \%)$ | $54.6 \%$ | $(52.2 \%, 57.0 \%)$ |
| Tazewell <br> County | 51185 | $36.4 \%$ | $(24.3 \%, 50.5 \%)$ | $51.1 \%$ | $(47.3 \%, 54.9 \%)$ |
| Washington <br> County | 51191 | $45.1 \%$ | $(32.3 \%, 58.5 \%)$ | $54.5 \%$ | $(51.9 \%, 57.1 \%)$ |
| Wise County | 51195 | $53.8 \%$ | $(40.4 \%, 66.7 \%)$ | $54.7 \%$ | $(51.9 \%, 57.4 \%)$ |
| Wythe County | 51197 | $53.1 \%$ | $(35.3 \%, 70.1 \%)$ | $54.8 \%$ | $(52.3 \%, 57.3 \%)$ |
| Bristol City | 51520 | $38.6 \%$ | $(7.5 \%, 83.0 \%)$ | $57.2 \%$ | $(51.9 \%, 62.3 \%)$ |
| Danville City | 51590 | $32.6 \%$ | $(13.8 \%, 59.3 \%)$ | $57.2 \%$ | $(54.9 \%, 59.5 \%)$ |
| Galax City | 51640 | $100.0 \%$ | $(7.8 \%, 100.0 \%)$ | $57.1 \%$ | $(51.2 \%, 62.8 \%)$ |
| Martinsville <br> City | 51690 | $77.4 \%$ | $(37.8 \%, 95.1 \%)$ | $54.3 \%$ | $(51.6 \%, 56.9 \%)$ |
| Norton City | 51720 | $21.8 \%$ | $(0.9 \%, 95.7 \%)$ | $58.4 \%$ | $(54.7 \%, 62.1 \%)$ |
| Radford City | 51750 | $58.4 \%$ | $(33.8 \%, 79.5 \%)$ | $62.3 \%$ | $(58.9 \%, 65.5 \%)$ |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | $49.0 \%$ | $(36.1 \%, 62.1 \%)$ | $56.1 \%$ | $(53.3 \%, 58.8 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amelia County | 51007 | $56.9 \%$ | $(27.0 \%, 82.5 \%)$ | $47.8 \%$ | $(44.0 \%, 51.6 \%)$ |
| Brunswick <br> County | 51025 | $42.1 \%$ | $(21.1 \%, 66.4 \%)$ | $47.6 \%$ | $(44.5 \%, 50.7 \%)$ |
| Buckingham <br> County | 51029 | $50.1 \%$ | $(23.5 \%, 76.7 \%)$ | $46.9 \%$ | $(42.7 \%, 51.1 \%)$ |
| Charles City <br> County | 51036 | $66.4 \%$ | $(15.7 \%, 95.5 \%)$ | $49.8 \%$ | $(46.6 \%, 53.0 \%)$ |
| Charlotte <br> County | 51037 | $29.2 \%$ | $(12.5 \%, 54.2 \%)$ | $46.8 \%$ | $(43.4 \%, 50.2 \%)$ |
| Chesterfield <br> County | 51041 | $52.5 \%$ | $(44.5 \%, 60.4 \%)$ | $55.9 \%$ | $(53.8 \%, 58.0 \%)$ |
| Cumberland <br> County | 51049 | $32.0 \%$ | $(12.4 \%, 60.9 \%)$ | $47.2 \%$ | $(43.3 \%, 51.1 \%)$ |
| Dinwiddie <br> County | 51053 | $40.6 \%$ | $(24.8 \%, 58.6 \%)$ | $44.4 \%$ | $(41.2 \%, 47.6 \%)$ |
| Goochland <br> County | 51075 | $51.2 \%$ | $(21.5 \%, 80.0 \%)$ | $60.4 \%$ | $(57.2 \%, 63.5 \%)$ |
| Greensville <br> County | 51081 | $60.5 \%$ | $(27.9 \%, 85.8 \%)$ | $45.7 \%$ | $(42.7 \%, 48.8 \%)$ |
| Halifax County | 51083 | $55.3 \%$ | $(39.9 \%, 69.7 \%)$ | $50.2 \%$ | $(47.3 \%, 53.1 \%)$ |
| Hanover <br> County | 51085 | $66.4 \%$ | $(51.9 \%, 78.4 \%)$ | $58.4 \%$ | $(55.8 \%, 60.9 \%)$ |
| Henrico <br> County | 51087 | $53.1 \%$ | $(44.2 \%, 61.8 \%)$ | $58.2 \%$ | $(55.9 \%, 60.5 \%)$ |
| Lunenburg <br> County | 51111 | $37.9 \%$ | $(17.1 \%, 64.3 \%)$ | $46.0 \%$ | $(42.0 \%, 50.2 \%)$ |
| Mecklenburg <br> County | 51117 | $61.8 \%$ | $(46.0 \%, 75.5 \%)$ | $50.3 \%$ | $(47.7 \%, 52.9 \%)$ |
| New Kent <br> County | 51127 | $37.6 \%$ | $(17.6 \%, 63.0 \%)$ | $53.9 \%$ | $(50.8 \%, 57.0 \%)$ |
| Nottoway <br> County | 51135 | $54.4 \%$ | $(30.8 \%, 76.1 \%)$ | $49.2 \%$ | $(46.4 \%, 52.0 \%)$ |
| Powhatan <br> County | 51145 | $27.5 \%$ | $(11.5 \%, 52.4 \%)$ | $55.5 \%$ | $(51.8 \%, 59.1 \%)$ |
| Prince Edward <br> County | 51147 | $53.3 \%$ | $(31.4 \%, 74.1 \%)$ | $51.1 \%$ | $(47.8 \%, 54.4 \%)$ |
| Prince George <br> County | 51149 | $50.5 \%$ | $(31.0 \%, 69.8 \%)$ | $47.1 \%$ | $(44.2 \%, 50.0 \%)$ |
| Surry County | 51181 | $43.3 \%$ | $(13.1 \%, 79.4 \%)$ | $50.3 \%$ | $(46.8 \%, 53.7 \%)$ |
| Sussex County | 51183 | $42.1 \%$ | $(19.0 \%, 69.3 \%)$ | $43.3 \%$ | $(39.8 \%, 46.8 \%)$ |
| Colonial <br> Heights City | 51570 | $66.6 \%$ | $(41.4 \%, 84.9 \%)$ | $50.9 \%$ | $(46.7 \%, 55.1 \%)$ |
| Emporia City | 51595 | $39.8 \%$ | $(17.2 \%, 59.4 \%)$ | $50.3 \%$ | $(46.1 \%, 54.4 \%)$ |
| Hopewell City | 51670 | 51730 | $45.3 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $(42.3 \%, 50.0 \%)$ |
| Petersburg |  |  |  |  |  |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $46.1 \%$ | $(35.8 \%, 56.9 \%)$ | $53.1 \%$ | $(50.2 \%, 56.0 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack <br> County | 51001 | $43.5 \%$ | $(29.7 \%, 58.5 \%)$ | $49.9 \%$ | $(46.6 \%, 53.3 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $60.6 \%$ | $(36.1 \%, 80.7 \%)$ | $55.3 \%$ | $(52.3 \%, 58.2 \%)$ |
| Gloucester <br> County | 51073 | $59.9 \%$ | $(43.7 \%, 74.2 \%)$ | $56.5 \%$ | $(53.6 \%, 59.4 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight <br> County | 51093 | $38.5 \%$ | $(21.5 \%, 58.9 \%)$ | $52.7 \%$ | $(50.2 \%, 55.2 \%)$ |
| James City <br> County | 51095 | $65.2 \%$ | $(52.9 \%, 75.8 \%)$ | $60.2 \%$ | $(56.9 \%, 63.4 \%)$ |
| King and Queen <br> County | 51097 | $71.5 \%$ | $(32.6 \%, 92.9 \%)$ | $52.8 \%$ | $(46.4 \%, 59.1 \%)$ |
| King William <br> County | 51101 | $63.4 \%$ | $(32.7 \%, 86.0 \%)$ | $54.0 \%$ | $(51.4 \%, 56.6 \%)$ |
| Lancaster <br> County | 51103 | $64.7 \%$ | $(38.4 \%, 84.4 \%)$ | $57.1 \%$ | $(52.9 \%, 61.1 \%)$ |
| Mathews <br> County | 51115 | $67.0 \%$ | $(42.8 \%, 84.6 \%)$ | $57.7 \%$ | $(53.0 \%, 62.3 \%)$ |
| Middlesex <br> County | 51119 | $42.4 \%$ | $(19.2 \%, 69.4 \%)$ | $54.3 \%$ | $(50.9 \%, 57.6 \%)$ |
| Northampton <br> County | 51131 | $46.4 \%$ | $(25.3 \%, 68.9 \%)$ | $54.6 \%$ | $(50.8 \%, 58.3 \%)$ |
| Northumberland <br> County | 51133 | $64.3 \%$ | $(40.3 \%, 82.7 \%)$ | $56.5 \%$ | $(50.6 \%, 62.3 \%)$ |
| Richmond <br> County | 51159 | $41.6 \%$ | $(25.7 \%, 59.5 \%)$ | $54.4 \%$ | $(49.8 \%, 58.9 \%)$ |
| Southampton <br> County | 51175 | $37.8 \%$ | $(18.4 \%, 62.0 \%)$ | $46.8 \%$ | $(43.6 \%, 49.9 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland <br> County | 51193 | $48.7 \%$ | $(29.3 \%, 68.4 \%)$ | $49.4 \%$ | $(45.7 \%, 53.1 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $59.6 \%$ | $(44.3 \%, 73.2 \%)$ | $61.4 \%$ | $(58.3 \%, 64.4 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $50.1 \%$ | $(42.0 \%, 58.2 \%)$ | $53.8 \%$ | $(51.6 \%, 56.0 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $68.1 \%$ | $(24.5 \%, 93.3 \%)$ | $51.3 \%$ | $(48.0 \%, 54.6 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $45.9 \%$ | $(36.5 \%, 55.6 \%)$ | $50.5 \%$ | $(47.6 \%, 53.4 \%)$ |
| Newport News <br> City | 51700 | $56.8 \%$ | $(47.1 \%, 66.0 \%)$ | $51.6 \%$ | $(48.8 \%, 54.3 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $48.7 \%$ | $(40.2 \%, 57.2 \%)$ | $49.6 \%$ | $(47.4 \%, 51.9 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $36.4 \%$ | $(11.8 \%, 71.1 \%)$ | $56.9 \%$ | $(53.7 \%, 60.1 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $48.1 \%$ | $(36.6 \%, 59.8 \%)$ | $49.0 \%$ | $(46.3 \%, 51.7 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $51.8 \%$ | $(38.8 \%, 64.6 \%)$ | $52.4 \%$ | $(49.5 \%, 55.3 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach <br> City | 51810 | $50.3 \%$ | $(43.3 \%, 57.3 \%)$ | $55.3 \%$ | $(53.6 \%, 57.0 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg <br> City | 51830 | $38.8 \%$ | $(13.2 \%, 72.5 \%)$ | $60.6 \%$ | $(56.0 \%, 65.0 \%)$ |

## Flu vaccination past yr Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Flu vaccination past yr Alleghany, Roanoke and Central Virginia HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

Flu vaccination past yr
Cumberland Plateau and Lenowisco HDs


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Flu vaccination past yr New River and Mount Rogers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

Flu vaccination past yr
Chesterfield, Chickahominy, Henrico and Richmond HDs


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Flu vaccination past yr Crater, Peninsula and Hampton HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Flu vaccination past yr Eastern Shore and Three Rivers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


## Flu vaccination past yr Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

Flu vaccination past yr Alexandria, Fairfax, Arlington, Loudon, Prince William HDs


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 21 areas.

Direct estimate is outside the 95\% SAE Wilson Cl : 112 areas.

SAE estimate is inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{Cl}: 124$ areas.

SAE estimate is outside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI : 9 areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl : 119 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \%$ CI partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 14$ areas.

SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.

SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 130 areas.

SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 0 areas.

Direct standard error is zero: 3 areas.

Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =2.19 \%$; med $=23.14 \% ; \max =71.37 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: min $=8.37 \%$; med $=61.42 \%$; $\max =89.56 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.18 \% ; \operatorname{med}=8.39 \% ; \max =85.70 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to area random effects: <identically zero>

