Outcome 9: Ever had some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, o
Internal variable name: outc9_arthritis
Definition: (Ever told) you have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia?

## Predictive models

|  | Mixed, demos only | Logit, no area effects | Mixed with area covariates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b/se | b/se | b/se |
| Outcome 9: Ever had some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, o |  |  |  |
| NH White | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| NH Black vs. NH White | -0.1262 | -0.1043 | -0.1043 |
|  | (0.0752) | (0.0904) | (0.0786) |
| NH Asian vs. NH White | -0.4651* | -0.3592 | -0.3592 |
|  | (0.2183) | (0.2633) | (0.2018) |
| NH Other vs. NH White | 0.3359* | 0.3608* | 0.3608* |
|  | (0.1414) | (0.1695) | (0.1414) |
| Hispanic vs. NH White | -0.6037** | -0.5264** | -0.5264* |
|  | (0.2297) | (0.1823) | (0.2177) |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT=1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| Female | 0.3095*** | 0.3093*** | 0.3093*** |
|  | (0.0662) | (0.0690) | (0.0657) |
| Age 18-34 vs. 65+ | -2.8774*** | -2.8395*** | -2.8395*** |
|  | (0.1563) | (0.1582) | (0.1579) |
| Age 35-44 vs. 65+ | -1.8544*** | -1.8334*** | -1.8334*** |
|  | (0.1336) | (0.1190) | (0.1330) |
| Age 45-54 vs. 65+ | -1.1152*** | -1.0940*** | -1.0940*** |
|  | (0.0999) | (0.0960) | (0.0998) |
| Age 55-64 vs. 65+ | -0.5274*** | -0.5205*** | -0.5205*** |
|  | (0.0709) | (0.0819) | (0.0702) |
| 65+ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | (.) | (.) | (.) |
| \% age 65-74 |  | -1.0985 | -1.0987 |
|  |  | (2.1335) | (1.9063) |
| The percentage of the ACS population who have one or more disabilities |  | 3.6944** | 3.6944** |


|  |  | $(1.3147)$ | $(1.1870)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage calculated by <br> dividing <br> HHD_Moved_in_ACS_15_19 <br> by Tot_Occp_Units_ACS_1 |  | -0.6842 | -0.6843 |
|  |  | $(0.6284)$ | $(0.6275)$ |
| VA BRFSS 2014 SAE <br> outc21_phys_activity |  | -1.0866 | -1.0865 |
|  | -0.0261 | $(1.0849)$ | $(1.0577)$ |
| VA BRFSS 2017 SAE <br> outc9_arthritis | $(0.0715)$ | 1.1290 | 1.1290 |
|  |  | $(0.6751)$ | $(0.5170$ |
| _cons | $0.0929 * *$ | 0.3171 |  |
|  | $(0.0354)$ |  | $(0.9478)$ |
| Area effect variance |  |  | 0.0000 |
| var(_cons[FIPS_county]) |  |  | $(0.0000)$ |

Number of alternative models used to estimate model error $=6$.

## Results by health districts

| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augusta <br> County | 51015 | $31.9 \%$ | $(22.7 \%, 42.7 \%)$ | $38.4 \%$ | $(36.1 \%, 40.7 \%)$ |
| Bath County | 51017 | $62.9 \%$ | $(29.2 \%, 87.4 \%)$ | $35.1 \%$ | $(29.7 \%, 40.8 \%)$ |
| Caroline <br> County | 51033 | $22.9 \%$ | $(10.1 \%, 44.0 \%)$ | $33.9 \%$ | $(31.8 \%, 36.1 \%)$ |
| Clarke County | 51043 | $26.9 \%$ | $(10.3 \%, 54.1 \%)$ | $31.2 \%$ | $(29.0 \%, 33.5 \%)$ |
| Culpeper <br> County | 51047 | $31.2 \%$ | $(16.7 \%, 50.7 \%)$ | $36.0 \%$ | $(33.9 \%, 38.1 \%)$ |
| Fauquier <br> County | 51061 | $24.4 \%$ | $(14.8 \%, 37.6 \%)$ | $33.9 \%$ | $(31.9 \%, 36.1 \%)$ |
| Frederick <br> County | 51069 | $25.8 \%$ | $(16.6 \%, 37.8 \%)$ | $33.5 \%$ | $(31.7 \%, 35.4 \%)$ |
| Highland <br> County | 51091 | $50.4 \%$ | $(15.3 \%, 85.1 \%)$ | $37.1 \%$ | $(29.0 \%, 46.1 \%)$ |
| King George <br> County | 51099 | $32.8 \%$ | $(14.8 \%, 57.9 \%)$ | $30.3 \%$ | $(28.1 \%, 32.5 \%)$ |
| Madison <br> County | 51113 | $10.7 \%$ | $(5.2 \%, 33.8 \%)$ | $36.0 \%$ | $(32.8 \%, 39.2 \%)$ |
| Orange County | 51137 | $26.5 \%$ | $(13.2 \%, 45.9 \%)$ | $39.6 \%$ | $(36.8 \%, 42.6 \%)$ |
| Page County | 51139 | $40.6 \%$ | $(21.0 \%, 63.8 \%)$ | $45.9 \%$ | $(42.1 \%, 49.8 \%)$ |
| Rappahannock <br> County | 51157 | $34.4 \%$ | $(11.2 \%, 68.6 \%)$ | $40.6 \%$ | $(36.4 \%, 44.9 \%)$ |
| Rockbridge <br> County | 51163 | $25.5 \%$ | $(13.5 \%, 43.1 \%)$ | $37.2 \%$ | $(34.3 \%, 40.2 \%)$ |
| Rockingham <br> County | 51165 | $21.7 \%$ | $(14.4 \%, 31.4 \%)$ | $28.1 \%$ | $(25.2 \%, 31.2 \%)$ |
| Shenandoah <br> County | 51171 | $31.2 \%$ | $(19.7 \%, 45.6 \%)$ | $39.9 \%$ | $(37.3 \%, 42.5 \%)$ |
| Spotsylvania <br> County | 51177 | $20.7 \%$ | $(14.2 \%, 29.1 \%)$ | $31.7 \%$ | $(29.9 \%, 33.6 \%)$ |
| Stafford <br> County | 51179 | $14.4 \%$ | $(10.3 \%, 21.5 \%)$ | $24.8 \%$ | $(23.1 \%, 26.6 \%)$ |
| Warren <br> County | 51187 | $25.3 \%$ | $(13.4 \%, 42.4 \%)$ | $37.6 \%$ | $(35.1 \%, 40.1 \%)$ |
| Buena Vista <br> City | 51530 | $26.7 \%$ | $(2.9 \%, 81.5 \%)$ | $44.3 \%$ | $(38.0 \%, 50.8 \%)$ |
| Fredericksburg <br> City | 51630 | $16.4 \%$ | $(7.4 \%, 32.5 \%)$ | $24.8 \%$ | $(21.3 \%, 28.7 \%)$ |
| Harrisonburg <br> City | 51660 | $12.4 \%$ | $(6.0 \%, 39.8 \%)$ | $23.1 \%$ | $(20.2 \%, 26.3 \%)$ |
| Lexington City | 51678 | $0.0 \%$ | $(0.0 \%, 99.4 \%)$ | $32.0 \%$ | $(29.6 \%, 34.5 \%)$ |
| Staunton City | 51790 | $26.4 \%$ | $(7.6 \%, 61.1 \%)$ | $34.0 \%$ | $(29.8 \%, 38.6 \%)$ |
| Waynesboro | 51820 | $4.9 \%$ | $(35.3 \%, 42.1 \%)$ |  |  |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winchester <br> City | 51840 | $17.5 \%$ | $(5.4 \%, 54.2 \%)$ | $32.5 \%$ | $(29.1 \%, 36.1 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albemarle <br> County | 51003 | $18.0 \%$ | $(12.4 \%, 25.5 \%)$ | $26.7 \%$ | $(24.7 \%, 28.9 \%)$ |
| Alleghany <br> County | 51005 | $28.3 \%$ | $(15.9 \%, 45.1 \%)$ | $43.8 \%$ | $(40.9 \%, 46.8 \%)$ |
| Amherst <br> County | 51009 | $24.2 \%$ | $(13.4 \%, 39.7 \%)$ | $42.3 \%$ | $(39.1 \%, 45.6 \%)$ |
| Appomattox <br> County | 51011 | $28.0 \%$ | $(16.8 \%, 42.9 \%)$ | $44.4 \%$ | $(40.0 \%, 48.9 \%)$ |
| Arlington City | 51013 | $8.7 \%$ | $(6.3 \%, 14.2 \%)$ | $19.0 \%$ | $(16.1 \%, 22.2 \%)$ |
| Bedford <br> County | 51019 | $32.8 \%$ | $(23.9 \%, 43.1 \%)$ | $38.6 \%$ | $(36.5 \%, 40.8 \%)$ |
| Botetourt <br> County | 51023 | $14.9 \%$ | $(8.7 \%, 31.8 \%)$ | $36.7 \%$ | $(34.2 \%, 39.3 \%)$ |
| Campbell <br> County | 51031 | $31.4 \%$ | $(21.6 \%, 43.3 \%)$ | $32.6 \%$ | $(30.0 \%, 35.2 \%)$ |
| Craig County | 51045 | $34.5 \%$ | $(9.8 \%, 71.9 \%)$ | $39.3 \%$ | $(36.3 \%, 42.4 \%)$ |
| Fairfax County | 51059 | $18.6 \%$ | $(15.4 \%, 22.3 \%)$ | $24.4 \%$ | $(22.6 \%, 26.2 \%)$ |
| Fluvanna <br> County | 51065 | $28.0 \%$ | $(10.6 \%, 56.1 \%)$ | $38.5 \%$ | $(35.9 \%, 41.1 \%)$ |
| Greene <br> County | 51079 | $44.9 \%$ | $(15.8 \%, 78.0 \%)$ | $32.0 \%$ | $(27.3 \%, 37.2 \%)$ |
| Loudoun <br> County | 51107 | $17.4 \%$ | $(12.8 \%, 23.1 \%)$ | $22.4 \%$ | $(20.5 \%, 24.5 \%)$ |
| Louisa County | 51109 | $48.0 \%$ | $(28.5 \%, 68.0 \%)$ | $41.1 \%$ | $(38.0 \%, 44.2 \%)$ |
| Nelson County | 51125 | $21.9 \%$ | $(6.2 \%, 54.3 \%)$ | $37.3 \%$ | $(32.7 \%, 42.1 \%)$ |
| Prince William <br> County | 51153 | $16.9 \%$ | $(13.0 \%, 21.7 \%)$ | $23.2 \%$ | $(21.2 \%, 25.4 \%)$ |
| Roanoke <br> County | 51161 | $34.0 \%$ | $(25.9 \%, 43.3 \%)$ | $35.3 \%$ | $(32.9 \%, 37.9 \%)$ |
| Alexandria City | 51510 | $12.2 \%$ | $(7.3 \%, 19.6 \%)$ | $20.9 \%$ | $(17.8 \%, 24.4 \%)$ |
| Charlottesville <br> City | 51540 | $11.2 \%$ | $(6.0 \%, 27.3 \%)$ | $25.0 \%$ | $(22.2 \%, 28.0 \%)$ |
| Covington City | 51580 | $82.8 \%$ | $(14.0 \%, 98.3 \%)$ | $40.7 \%$ | $(36.7 \%, 44.9 \%)$ |
| Fairfax City | 51600 | $15.6 \%$ | $(7.0 \%, 31.3 \%)$ | $24.3 \%$ | $(22.1 \%, 26.6 \%)$ |
| Falls Church <br> City | 51610 | $21.3 \%$ | $(4.5 \%, 61.0 \%)$ | $26.9 \%$ | $(22.9 \%, 31.4 \%)$ |
| Lynchburg City | 51680 | $19.4 \%$ | $(12.3 \%, 29.3 \%)$ | $28.8 \%$ | $(26.3 \%, 31.5 \%)$ |
| Manassas City | 51683 | $12.9 \%$ | $(4.0 \%, 34.3 \%)$ | $19.9 \%$ | $(17.7 \%, 22.2 \%)$ |
| Manassas Park <br> City | 51685 | $28.1 \%$ | $(3.5 \%, 80.8 \%)$ | $20.2 \%$ | $(16.8 \%, 24.1 \%)$ |
| Salem City | 51775 | $7.6 \%$ | $(3.0 \%, 53.5 \%)$ | $32.6 \%$ | $(30.1 \%, 35.2 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bland County | 51021 | $56.2 \%$ | $(16.4 \%, 89.4 \%)$ | $43.6 \%$ | $(39.6 \%, 47.7 \%)$ |
| Buchanan <br> County | 51027 | $17.3 \%$ | $(8.9 \%, 37.8 \%)$ | $57.0 \%$ | $(51.1 \%, 62.7 \%)$ |
| Carroll County | 51035 | $19.2 \%$ | $(5.2 \%, 50.8 \%)$ | $45.8 \%$ | $(42.6 \%, 49.1 \%)$ |
| Dickenson <br> County | 51051 | $65.3 \%$ | $(40.8 \%, 83.8 \%)$ | $62.4 \%$ | $(53.2 \%, 70.8 \%)$ |
| Floyd County | 51063 | $25.1 \%$ | $(10.2 \%, 49.7 \%)$ | $29.6 \%$ | $(25.8 \%, 33.7 \%)$ |
| Franklin <br> County | 51067 | $33.1 \%$ | $(21.1 \%, 47.9 \%)$ | $41.3 \%$ | $(38.6 \%, 44.1 \%)$ |
| Giles County | 51071 | $19.5 \%$ | $(6.7 \%, 45.0 \%)$ | $29.5 \%$ | $(26.0 \%, 33.1 \%)$ |
| Grayson <br> County | 51077 | $55.4 \%$ | $(30.9 \%, 77.5 \%)$ | $51.6 \%$ | $(45.1 \%, 58.0 \%)$ |
| Henry County | 51089 | $53.0 \%$ | $(39.2 \%, 66.4 \%)$ | $48.3 \%$ | $(44.7 \%, 51.9 \%)$ |
| Lee County | 51105 | $61.7 \%$ | $(38.9 \%, 80.3 \%)$ | $54.9 \%$ | $(49.4 \%, 60.3 \%)$ |
| Montgomery <br> County | 51121 | $25.7 \%$ | $(16.6 \%, 37.6 \%)$ | $24.8 \%$ | $(22.6 \%, 27.1 \%)$ |
| Patrick County | 51141 | $43.2 \%$ | $(25.1 \%, 63.3 \%)$ | $47.3 \%$ | $(43.8 \%, 50.8 \%)$ |
| Pittsylvania <br> County | 51143 | $29.3 \%$ | $(20.3 \%, 40.3 \%)$ | $46.8 \%$ | $(42.8 \%, 50.9 \%)$ |
| Pulaski County | 51155 | $34.0 \%$ | $(18.5 \%, 53.8 \%)$ | $34.1 \%$ | $(30.8 \%, 37.5 \%)$ |
| Russell County | 51167 | $49.2 \%$ | $(29.0 \%, 69.6 \%)$ | $51.0 \%$ | $(44.5 \%, 57.4 \%)$ |
| Scott County | 51169 | $49.7 \%$ | $(35.8 \%, 63.7 \%)$ | $54.7 \%$ | $(48.7 \%, 60.6 \%)$ |
| Smyth County | 51173 | $38.0 \%$ | $(23.3 \%, 55.2 \%)$ | $52.1 \%$ | $(47.2 \%, 56.9 \%)$ |
| Tazewell <br> County | 51185 | $40.9 \%$ | $(28.1 \%, 55.2 \%)$ | $50.0 \%$ | $(46.0 \%, 53.9 \%)$ |
| Washington <br> County | 51191 | $31.2 \%$ | $(20.5 \%, 44.5 \%)$ | $45.8 \%$ | $(42.3 \%, 49.4 \%)$ |
| Wise County | 51195 | $45.6 \%$ | $(33.0 \%, 58.9 \%)$ | $56.5 \%$ | $(50.5 \%, 62.4 \%)$ |
| Wythe County | 51197 | $47.0 \%$ | $(30.1 \%, 64.6 \%)$ | $47.8 \%$ | $(43.9 \%, 51.8 \%)$ |
| Bristol City | 51520 | $29.2 \%$ | $(3.5 \%, 82.3 \%)$ | $49.4 \%$ | $(44.8 \%, 54.0 \%)$ |
| Danville City | 51590 | $25.6 \%$ | $(10.8 \%, 49.3 \%)$ | $42.4 \%$ | $(39.0 \%, 45.9 \%)$ |
| Galax City | 51640 | $64.7 \%$ | $(3.6 \%, 98.7 \%)$ | $49.6 \%$ | $(43.5 \%, 55.7 \%)$ |
| Martinsville <br> City | 51690 | $62.6 \%$ | $(24.2 \%, 89.8 \%)$ | $47.6 \%$ | $(40.8 \%, 54.5 \%)$ |
| Norton City | 51720 | $14.9 \%$ | $(0.7 \%, 95.1 \%)$ | $46.2 \%$ | $(41.3 \%, 51.2 \%)$ |
| Radford City | 51750 | $21.9 \%$ | $(9.1 \%, 43.8 \%)$ | $27.1 \%$ | $(24.4 \%, 30.0 \%)$ |
| Roanoke City | 51770 | $29.2 \%$ | $(19.5 \%, 41.3 \%)$ | $32.9 \%$ | $(29.9 \%, 36.1 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE Cl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amelia County | 51007 | 67.5\% | (40.8\%, 86.2\%) | 39.9\% | (36.1\%, 43.7\%) |
| Brunswick County | 51025 | 46.4\% | (23.3\%, 71.1\%) | 45.3\% | (41.6\%, 49.0\%) |
| Buckingham County | 51029 | 32.0\% | (15.3\%, 55.1\%) | 44.3\% | (38.7\%, 50.1\%) |
| Charles City County | 51036 | 64.3\% | (14.4\%, 95.1\%) | 44.6\% | (39.9\%, 49.4\%) |
| Charlotte County | 51037 | 14.1\% | (7.6\%, 36.6\%) | 47.4\% | (40.5\%, 54.3\%) |
| Chesterfield County | 51041 | 25.0\% | (19.2\%, 31.8\%) | 31.0\% | (29.5\%, 32.4\%) |
| Cumberland County | 51049 | 30.9\% | (10.2\%, 63.7\%) | 43.4\% | (38.9\%, 48.1\%) |
| Dinwiddie County | 51053 | 37.7\% | (21.9\%, 56.7\%) | 38.4\% | (35.1\%, 41.7\%) |
| Goochland County | 51075 | 35.5\% | (11.4\%, 70.2\%) | 35.3\% | (32.7\%, 38.0\%) |
| Greensville County | 51081 | 42.0\% | (18.4\%, 70.0\%) | 40.4\% | (35.5\%, 45.5\%) |
| Halifax County | 51083 | 42.0\% | (28.6\%, 56.6\%) | 46.3\% | (41.4\%, 51.2\%) |
| Hanover County | 51085 | 32.5\% | (21.5\%, 45.7\%) | 34.6\% | (32.7\%, 36.5\%) |
| Henrico County | 51087 | 21.3\% | (15.9\%, 28.0\%) | 30.1\% | (28.6\%, 31.7\%) |
| Lunenburg County | 51111 | 46.8\% | (22.1\%, 73.3\%) | 45.6\% | (40.5\%, 50.7\%) |
| Mecklenburg County | 51117 | 35.0\% | (22.7\%, 49.6\%) | 44.1\% | (40.9\%, 47.3\%) |
| New Kent County | 51127 | 39.5\% | (20.3\%, 62.6\%) | 36.6\% | (33.5\%, 39.8\%) |
| Nottoway County | 51135 | 27.1\% | (13.0\%, 48.1\%) | 44.9\% | (40.4\%, 49.4\%) |
| Powhatan County | 51145 | 29.3\% | (11.2\%, 57.7\%) | 37.2\% | (33.8\%, 40.8\%) |
| Prince Edward County | 51147 | 36.8\% | (19.4\%, 58.6\%) | 42.5\% | (37.9\%, 47.2\%) |
| Prince George County | 51149 | 45.6\% | (26.7\%, 65.8\%) | 37.6\% | (34.0\%, 41.3\%) |
| Surry County | 51181 | 35.0\% | (9.9\%, 72.5\%) | 40.7\% | (37.2\%, 44.3\%) |
| Sussex County | 51183 | 23.6\% | (10.4\%, 45.0\%) | 42.0\% | (38.0\%, 46.2\%) |
| Colonial Heights City | 51570 | 23.8\% | (9.3\%, 48.7\%) | 37.1\% | (33.8\%, 40.5\%) |
| Emporia City | 51595 | 27.2\% | (2.5\%, 84.5\%) | 38.1\% | (32.9\%, 43.7\%) |
| Hopewell City | 51670 | 26.4\% | (10.9\%, 51.2\%) | 35.8\% | (32.3\%, 39.4\%) |
| Petersburg | 51730 | 19.6\% | (10.0\%, 34.6\%) | 38.5\% | (33.7\%, 43.5\%) |


| City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richmond City | 51760 | $17.8 \%$ | $(12.2 \%, 25.1 \%)$ | $25.7 \%$ | $(22.8 \%, 28.9 \%)$ |


| County name | County FIPS | Direct <br> estimate | Direct 95\% CI | SAE composite | SAE CI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accomack <br> County | 51001 | $33.2 \%$ | $(21.9 \%, 46.8 \%)$ | $40.4 \%$ | $(37.7 \%, 43.1 \%)$ |
| Essex County | 51057 | $41.6 \%$ | $(20.5 \%, 66.3 \%)$ | $39.6 \%$ | $(36.7 \%, 42.6 \%)$ |
| Gloucester <br> County | 51073 | $47.7 \%$ | $(31.8 \%, 64.1 \%)$ | $44.1 \%$ | $(41.1 \%, 47.2 \%)$ |
| Isle of Wight <br> County | 51093 | $38.6 \%$ | $(22.0 \%, 58.3 \%)$ | $38.8 \%$ | $(34.6 \%, 43.1 \%)$ |
| James City <br> County | 51095 | $29.5 \%$ | $(20.1 \%, 41.1 \%)$ | $31.9 \%$ | $(28.5 \%, 35.4 \%)$ |
| King and Queen <br> County | 51097 | $59.5 \%$ | $(23.2 \%, 87.8 \%)$ | $42.0 \%$ | $(38.1 \%, 46.0 \%)$ |
| King William <br> County | 51101 | $7.2 \%$ | $(3.6 \%, 41.6 \%)$ | $41.3 \%$ | $(36.5 \%, 46.3 \%)$ |
| Lancaster <br> County | 51103 | $22.1 \%$ | $(11.2 \%, 38.9 \%)$ | $41.2 \%$ | $(35.8 \%, 46.8 \%)$ |
| Mathews <br> County | 51115 | $52.8 \%$ | $(32.0 \%, 72.7 \%)$ | $46.3 \%$ | $(41.6 \%, 51.2 \%)$ |
| Middlesex <br> County | 51119 | $39.2 \%$ | $(18.3 \%, 65.1 \%)$ | $42.7 \%$ | $(38.5 \%, 47.0 \%)$ |
| Northampton <br> County | 51131 | $46.9 \%$ | $(26.4 \%, 68.5 \%)$ | $44.2 \%$ | $(38.2 \%, 50.4 \%)$ |
| Northumberland <br> County | 51133 | $46.1 \%$ | $(25.3 \%, 68.3 \%)$ | $44.7 \%$ | $(37.8 \%, 51.7 \%)$ |
| Richmond <br> County | 51159 | $14.6 \%$ | $(8.1 \%, 24.9 \%)$ | $42.0 \%$ | $(38.6 \%, 45.5 \%)$ |
| Southampton <br> County | 51175 | $40.3 \%$ | $(20.5 \%, 63.9 \%)$ | $39.9 \%$ | $(36.5 \%, 43.4 \%)$ |
| Westmoreland <br> County | 51193 | $48.9 \%$ | $(29.7 \%, 68.3 \%)$ | $40.1 \%$ | $(36.6 \%, 43.8 \%)$ |
| York County | 51199 | $27.3 \%$ | $(16.2 \%, 42.1 \%)$ | $33.8 \%$ | $(31.9 \%, 35.7 \%)$ |
| Chesapeake City | 51550 | $27.6 \%$ | $(21.1 \%, 35.4 \%)$ | $31.1 \%$ | $(29.2 \%, 33.0 \%)$ |
| Franklin City | 51620 | $36.6 \%$ | $(10.8 \%, 73.4 \%)$ | $33.7 \%$ | $(31.0 \%, 36.6 \%)$ |
| Hampton City | 51650 | $30.9 \%$ | $(23.2 \%, 40.0 \%)$ | $33.4 \%$ | $(31.0 \%, 35.8 \%)$ |
| Newport News <br> City | 51700 | $20.5 \%$ | $(14.3 \%, 28.4 \%)$ | $29.3 \%$ | $(26.9 \%, 31.9 \%)$ |
| Norfolk City | 51710 | $21.3 \%$ | $(16.0 \%, 27.7 \%)$ | $25.1 \%$ | $(22.6 \%, 27.8 \%)$ |
| Poquoson City | 51735 | $18.4 \%$ | $(2.5 \%, 66.5 \%)$ | $33.8 \%$ | $(31.1 \%, 36.5 \%)$ |
| Portsmouth City | 51740 | $30.8 \%$ | $(21.8 \%, 41.5 \%)$ | $33.2 \%$ | $(30.6 \%, 36.0 \%)$ |
| Suffolk City | 51800 | $31.0 \%$ | $(20.4 \%, 43.9 \%)$ | $35.2 \%$ | $(32.6 \%, 37.9 \%)$ |
| Virginia Beach <br> City | 51810 | $23.9 \%$ | $(19.4 \%, 29.2 \%)$ | $28.0 \%$ | $(26.6 \%, 29.4 \%)$ |
| Williamsburg <br> City | 51830 | $29.7 \%$ | $(8.0 \%, 67.3 \%)$ | $31.9 \%$ | $(27.5 \%, 36.7 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Ever had arthritis Central Shenandoah and Lord Fairfax HDs 



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Ever had arthritis Alleghany, Roanoke and Central Virginia HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Ever had arthritis <br> Pittsylvania/Danville, Southside and West Piedmont HDs



## Ever had arthritis New River and Mount Rogers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

# Ever had arthritis Crater, Peninsula and Hampton HDs 



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Ever had arthritis Eastern Shore and Three Rivers HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval


Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB
Gray markers: $95 \%$ confidence interval

## Ever had arthritis Rappahannock/Rapidan and Rappahannock HDs



## Ever had arthritis Thomas Jefferson and Piedmont HDs



Text at right: 2-sided 95\% LCB | Point Estimate | 2-sided 95\% UCB Gray markers: 95\% confidence interval

## Ever had arthritis <br> Alexandria, Fairfax, Arlington, Loudon, Prince William HDs



## Additional diagnostics

Direct estimate is inside the 95\% SAE Wilson CI: 26 areas.
Direct estimate is outside the $95 \%$ SAE Wilson CI: 107 areas.
SAE estimate is inside the 95\% direct Wilson CI: 111 areas.
SAE estimate is outside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson $\mathrm{CI}: 22$ areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ is fully inside the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 99 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \%$ CI partially overlaps with the $95 \%$ direct Wilson CI: 34 areas.
SAE Wilson $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the $95 \%$ direct Wilson Cl do not overlap: 0 areas.
SAE standard error is less that the direct standard error: 132 areas.
SAE standard error is greater that the (nonzero) direct standard error: 0 areas.
Direct standard error is zero: 1 areas.
Proportion of SAE variance due to ACS sampling error: $\min =1.93 \% ;$ med $=10.53 \% ; \max =40.96 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to sampling error in regression coefficient estimates: $\min =51.68 \%$; med $=86.79 \%$; $\max =97.42 \%$.

Proportion of SAE variance due to model selection: $\min =0.04 \% ; \operatorname{med}=1.52 \% ; \max =44.21 \%$.
Proportion of SAE variance due to area random effects: <identically zero>

